# Assessment of Neuroendocrine Changes and Hypothalamo-Pituitary Autoimmunity in Patients with COVID-19

#### Authors

Mustafa Sait Gonen<sup>1</sup>, Annamaria De Bellis<sup>2</sup>, Emre Durcan<sup>1</sup>, Giuseppe Bellastella<sup>2</sup>, Paolo Cirillo<sup>3</sup>, Lorenzo Scappaticcio<sup>3</sup>, Miriam Longo<sup>3</sup>, Basak Ecem Bircan<sup>1</sup>, Serdar Sahin<sup>1</sup>, Cem Sulu<sup>1</sup>, Hande Mefkure Ozkaya<sup>1</sup>, Dildar Konukoglu<sup>4</sup>, Fatma Ferda Kartufan<sup>5</sup>, Fahrettin Kelestimur<sup>6</sup>

#### **Affiliations**

- 1 Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa, Istanbul, Turkey
- 2 Unit of Endocrinology and Metabolic Diseases, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Naples, Italy
- 3 Department of Advanced Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Naples, Italy
- 4 Department of Medical Biochemistry, Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa, Istanbul, Turkey
- 5 Department of Anaesthesiology and Reanimation, Yeditepe University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 6 Department of Endocrinology, Yeditepe University, Istanbul, Turkey

#### **Key words**

COVID-19, hypothalamic-pituitary axis, antihypothalamic antibodies, antipituitary antibodies, ACTH stimulation test, adrenal insufficiency

received 21.11.2021 accepted after revision 27.01.2022

#### **Bibliography**

Horm Metab Res 2022; 54: 153–161

DOI 10.1055/a-1764-1260

ISSN 0018-5043

© 2022. Thieme. All rights reserved.

Georg Thieme Verlag, Rüdigerstraße 14,
70469 Stuttgart, Germany

#### Correspondence

fktimur@erciyes.edu.tr

Fahrettin Kelestimur, MD
Department of Endocrinology
Yeditepe University
Medical School
34718 Kadikoy
Istanbul
Turkey
Tel.:+90/533/922 52 82/+90/216/578 50 16
fahrettin.kelestemur@yeditepe.edu.tr or

#### **ABSTRACT**

SARS-CoV-2 may affect the hypothalamic-pituitary axis and pituitary dysfunction may occur. Therefore, we investigated neuroendocrine changes, in particular, secondary adrenal insufficiency, using a dynamic test and the role of autoimmunity in pituitary dysfunction in patients with COVID-19. The single-center, prospective, case-control study included patients with polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-confirmed COVID-19 and healthy controls. Basal hormone levels were measured, and the adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) stimulation test was performed. Antipituitary (APA) and antihypothalamic antibodies (AHA) were also determined. We examined a total of 49 patients with COVID-19 and 28 healthy controls. The frequency of adrenal insufficiency in patients with COVID-19 was found as 8.2%. Patients with COVID-19 had lower free T<sub>3</sub>, IGF-1, and total testosterone levels, and higher cortisol and prolactin levels when compared with controls. We also demonstrated the presence of APA in three and AHA in one of four patients with adrenal insufficiency. In conclusion, COVID-19 may result in adrenal insufficiency, thus routine screening of adrenal functions in these patients is needed. Endocrine disturbances in COVID-19 are similar to those seen in acute stressful conditions or infections. Pituitary or hypothalamic autoimmunity may play a role in neuroendocrine abnormalities in COVID-19.

## Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), which is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has already affected more than 250 million people and caused over 5 million deaths worldwide since the first case was confirmed, in Wuhan, China, in late December 2019 [1]. SARS-CoV-2 is known to primarily cause disease in the lungs. However, studies show that its effects are not limited to the lungs, they also extend to other organs such as the brain, heart, kidneys, skin, gastrointestinal and endocrine organs [2–5]. The disease can progress in different severities, from asymptomatic to severe respiratory distress that may require mechanical ventilation [6, 7]. Sepsis may develop very quickly in some patients, which can lead to organ failure and death [6].

SARS-CoV-2 enters the body through the respiratory system and infects the host pneumocytes via angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2) receptors. In addition, viral RNA has also been isolated from plasma or serum of patients with COVID-19 [8]. This indicates that the virus may interact with ACE-2 receptors expressed in other tissues apart from pneumocytes. It is also possible that SARS-CoV-2 causes endocrine disorders due to its interaction with ACE-2 receptors expressed in several endocrine organs such as the pituitary, adrenal glands, thyroid, pancreas, testis, and ovary [9]. The SARS-CoV-2 can cause variable endocrine abnormalities including hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) insufficiency, euthyroid sick syndrome (ESS), decreased sex steroids, and worsening in diabetes and obesity [10–16].

SARS-CoV-2 may affect the hypothalamic-pituitary axis directly or through an immune-mediated mechanism and various clinical pictures due to a deficiency of anterior pituitary hormones may occur [17]. In our previous study, we assessed pituitary functions in adults with acute bacterial or viral meningitis and demonstrated a considerable risk of hypopituitarism during the acute phase and 6 and 12 months after acute bacterial and/or viral meningitis. We suggested that pituitary dysfunction might occur after acute bacterial or viral meningitis associated with the presence of antipituitary antibodies (APA) and antihypothalamus antibodies (AHA) in these patients [18]. The occurrence and roles of APA and AHA in patients with COVID-19 have not been reported so far.

Although there are a few studies in the literature, neuroendocrine changes due to COVID-19 have not been investigated in detail [19–23]. In the present study, we aimed to investigate neuroendocrine changes, particularly the presence of adrenal insufficiency using a dynamic test in patients with COVID-19. The correct diagnosis of adrenal insufficiency is crucially important to decide whether the patient needs glucocorticoid replacement therapy. Moreover, we intended to investigate whether autoimmune mechanisms could play a role in pituitary dysfunction due to COVID-19, for the first time in the literature.

# Subjects and Methods

### **Ethical approval**

The study was approved by the local ethics committee of Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa, Cerrahpasa Medical Faculty (Decision No: 73186 dated 16 June 2020). All procedures performed in studies

involving human participants were conducted in accordance with ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Informed consent was obtained from all participants included in the study.

#### **Participants**

This single-center, prospective, case-control study included 49 patients with real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)-confirmed COVID-19 who were consecutively hospitalized to the COVID-19 inpatient clinic of Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa, Cerrahpasa Medical School between June 18th and 28th, 2020. Twenty-eight healthy subjects with similar age and sex who were COVID-19 negative with no known chronic disease and concomitant medications were recruited from among people who were admitted to the "Outpatient Clinic for Healthy Subjects" during the study period and included in the study as the control group.

Participants with any of the following characteristics were excluded from the study: endocrine disorders related to the hypothalamic-pituitary-target organ axes; use of any drugs that might affect hypothalamic-pituitary function such as antidepressants, psychostimulants, oral contraceptives, anti-epileptics, and all forms of steroids including intravenous, intramuscular, intra-articular, oral, inhaled or topical; pregnancy.

#### Diagnostic method

Combined pharyngeal and nasopharyngeal swab samples were obtained for the RT-PCR assay. RNA was extracted using a commercial kit (BioSpeedy Nucleic Acid extraction kit; Bioeksen R & D Technologies Ltd., Istanbul, Turkey), followed by the detection of COVID-19 RNA using a commercial RT-PCR kit (Bio-Speedy COVID-19 RT-qPCR kit; Bioeksen R & D Technologies Ltd., Istanbul, Turkey) that targeted the RdRP gene of COVID-19 in the samples. Both kits were used according to the manufacturer's guidelines. The RT-PCR test was performed using a 20  $\mu$ l final volume using the following protocol: 5 minutes RT-PCR at 52 °C, 10 seconds initial denaturation step at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles of 1 second at 95 °C, and 30 seconds at 60 °C. The Rotor-Gene Q 5 plex HRM platform was used for amplification and detection.

### Case definitions

The disease severity of COVID-19 was defined according to the Diagnosis and Treatment Protocol for COVID-19 Patients (Tentative 8th Edition) [24]. COVID-19 was categorized as mild, moderate, severe, and critical according to the disease severity. Mild was defined as mild symptoms and no pneumonia on imaging. Moderate was defined as having respiratory tract symptoms and imaging with pneumonia. Severe was defined as any of the following items: (a) respiratory distress and respiratory frequency  $\geq$  30/min; (b) blood oxygen saturation  $\leq$  93 % at rest; (c) PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio  $\leq$  300 mm Hg; and (d) lung infiltrates > 50 % within 24–48 hours. Critical was defined when any of the following items were satisfied: (a) respiratory failure and requiring mechanical ventilation, (b) shock, (c) COVID-19 combined with other organ failure and requiring intensive care unit monitoring and treatment.

## **Biochemical analysis**

Biochemical parameters such as adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), basal cortisol, thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), free  $T_3$ , free  $T_4$ , growth hormone (GH), insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1), prolactin, follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), luteinizing hormone (LH), total testosterone in men, and estradiol in women were collected from the participants in a fasting state in the morning. The venous blood samples were centrifuged at 3200 rpm and serum samples were separated and stored at  $-20\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  until required for analysis. All blood samples collected at the initial and follow-up visits were analyzed at the same time.

Venous blood samples from each study participant were collected into vacutainer tubes with or without anticoagulants. Serum ACTH, basal cortisol, TSH, free  $T_3$ , free  $T_4$ , GH, IGF-1, prolactin, FSH, LH, total testosterone, and estradiol levels were assayed using an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (ECLIA) on a Roche Cobas e system (Roche, Cobas e 602, Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany).

#### Evaluation of basal serum hormone levels

The normal serum reference ranges were 0–46 pg/ml for ACTH; 6.2–19.4 µg/dl for cortisol; 0.27–4.2 µIU/ml for TSH; 2–4.4 pg/ml for free T $_3$ ; 0.93–1.7 ng/dl for free T $_4$ ; 0.03–2.47 ng/ml in males and 0.126–9.88 ng/ml in females for GH; 4.1–15.2 ng/ml in males and 4.3–23.3 ng/ml in females for prolactin; 1.6–12.4 mIU/ml for FSH; 0.8–6 mIU/ml for LH; 280–800 ng/dl for total testosterone. The estradiol reference ranges were as follows: for premenopausal women, 12.5–166 pg/ml in the follicular phase; 43.8–211 pg/ml in the luteal phase, and < 54.7 pg/ml for postmenopausal women. IGF-1 levels were assessed according to age- and sex-adjusted reference ranges [25]. ESS was described as normal or low TSH and free T $_4$  levels accompanied by low free T $_3$  [26].

# The low-dose (1 µg) ACTH stimulation test (LDST)

Intravenous tetracosactrin (0.25 mg) [1–24] (Synacthen, Novartis, Switzerland) was used for the LDST. Tetracosactrin (0.25 mg) was mixed with 250 ml 0.9 % NaCl solution and preserved at + 4 °C for not more than 4 weeks; 1 ml of this mixture contained 1  $\mu$ g ACTH. Blood samples for the measurement of cortisol were obtained in the basal state and 30 and 60 minutes after the administration of 1  $\mu$ g intravenous ACTH [27].

#### Assessment of adrenal insufficiency

We used the minimum peak cortisol levels of the healthy controls after the LDST for the assessment of adrenal insufficiency in patients with COVID-19. Adrenal insufficiency was considered for those who had peak cortisol levels below this level. Follow-up visits for patients with adrenal insufficiency were scheduled approximately 6 months later.

#### Immunologic evaluation

All patients were tested for APA and AHA at the Endocrinology and Metabolic Unit, Department of Advanced Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Naples, Italy, using a simple indirect immunofluorescence method on cryostat sections of young baboon pituitary gland and young baboon hypothalamus supplied by Halifax spa (Polverara, Pordenone, Italy)

and Biomedis Srl (Rome, Italy), respectively, as previously described [28]. Unfixed cryostat sections of young normal baboon pituitary and hypothalamus were initially incubated with sera. Then, serum samples were subsequently tested with fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated goat antihuman immunoglobulin (Iq) to detect the presence of APA and AHA. To improve the sensitivity and specificity of this method, we considered sera-positive starting from a titer of 1:8 and, as regards to APA, onwards and with immunostaining involving a few isolated pituitary cells (type 1 pattern) but not with a diffuse immunostaining pattern involving all cells in the pituitary section (type 2 pattern) [29]. Finally, we considered sera-positive for APA and AHA at low titer at a dilution of 1:8, at middle titer at dilution 1:16/1:32, at high titer at dilution > 1:32). APA and AHA were evaluated by two different operators in a double-blind manner. The collaborators performing the immunologic evaluation were also blinded by a possible pituitary impairment in these patients.

#### Data analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software (version 21.0). Data were first analyzed for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and/or medians [interquartile range (IQR)]. Student's *t*-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to compare means between groups with normal data distribution. Medians were compared using the Mann-Whitney U-test and the Kruskal-Wallis test. Spearman's rank-order test and Pearson's correlation test were used for calculating the correlation coefficients between continuous variables. Frequencies were compared using Pearson's and Fisher's exact tests. The results were evaluated at a 95% confidence interval, and p-values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### Results

We examined a total of 49 patients with COVID-19 and 28 healthy controls. The mean age was  $54.3\pm16.6$  years in the patients with COVID-19 and  $54\pm5$  years in the controls. Twenty-seven (55.1%) of the patients with COVID-19 and 14 (50%) of the controls were female. There was no significant difference between the groups in terms of age and sex (p=0.905 and p=0.666, respectively).

The routine and COVID-19-related prognostic biochemical findings in the patients with COVID-19 and healthy controls are shown in **Table 1**.

# Serum hormone profiles in the patients with COVID-19 and healthy controls

In all participants, ACTH levels were within the normal range. The median basal cortisol levels were higher in the patients than in the control group [11.74 (IQR: 8.11–16.84) vs. 8.89 (IQR: 6.64–12.1); p = 0.012]. Free  $T_4$  levels were within the normal limits in both groups. Fourteen (28.6%) of the patients had free  $T_3$  levels lower than the reference range, and four (8.2%) had TSH levels lower than normal reference; all controls had normal free  $T_3$  and TSH levels (p = 0.001 and p = 0.049, respectively). ESS was diagnosed in 14 (28.6%) patients with COVID-19. There was no significant difference between the groups in terms of GH levels. The IGF-1 levels

► Table 1 Comparison of the routine and COVID-19-related prognostic biochemical findings in the patients with COVID-19 and healthy controls.

Variables	COVID-19 (n=49)	Con	Controls (n=28)	
	Median (IQR 25–75)		Р	
WBC, 10³/μl	6.3 (4.85–8.45)	6.35 (5.7–7.93)	0.882	
Neutrophil, 10³/μl	4 (2.75–5.6)	3.55 (2.93–5.08)	0.354	
Lymphocyte, 10³/μl	1.3 (0.85–1.75)	2.1 (1.63–2.45)	<0.001	
Platelet, 10³/μl	205.8 (144.5–269.4)	236.4 (206.4–301.5)	0.051	
Glucose, mg/dl	110 (93.5–124.5)	91.5 (81.3–108.5)	0.004	
Fibrinogen, mg/dl	414 (354–599)	275 (233–337)	<0.001	
D-dimer, mg/l	0.63 (0.38-1.21)	0.31 (0.23-0.42)	<0.001	
BUN, mg/dl	28 (22–37.5)	32.5 (27–35)	0.299	
Creatinine, mg/dl	0.85 (0.71–1.13)	0.8 (0.72-0.92)	0.394	
ALT, IU/I	21 (15.5–33.1)	18 (17–23.8)	0.387	
AST, IU/I	25 (19.5–32.5)	19 (16.3–24.3)	0.014	
LDH, IU/l	220 (191–271,5)	217 (188–256.8)	0.695	
Ferritin, ng/ml	155 (76–419)	75.4 (45.8–130.5)	<0.001	
CRP, mg/l	16 (8–79)	1.4 (1.1–3.1)	< 0.001	
CPK, IU/I	79 (40.5–126)	NA		
Troponin-T, ng/ml	0.007 (0.003–0.017)	NA		

WBC: White blood cell; BUN: Blood urea nitrogen; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase; CRP: C-reactive protein; CPK: Creatine-phosphokinase; NA: No assessment.

were lower than the reference range in 18 (36.7%) patients, all controls had normal IGF-1 levels (p < 0.001). The median IGF-1 levels were lower in the patients than in the control group [96.45 (56.98–128.45) versus 128.2 (103.5–151.2); p = 0.003]. Of the 49 patients, 20.4% had prolactin levels higher than normal reference; prolactin levels were higher than the reference range in only one control (p = 0.042). The median levels of prolactin were higher in patients with COVID-19 than in the controls [14 (9.26–20.2) versus 10.52 (7.61–12.52); p=0.013]. Fifteen (68.2%) of the male patients had total testosterone levels lower than the reference range, whereas this rate was 14.3% (n=2) in the male controls (p=0.002). On the other hand, the median total testosterone levels were lower in the male patients than in the male controls [151.2 (57.22–365) versus 388.3 (312.5–553), p=0.001]. The anterior pituitary and other hormone profiles in the patients with COVID-19 and controls are shown in **Table 2**.

#### The clinical course of the patients with COVID-19

The clinical characteristics such as vital signs, elevated oxygen demand, and pharmacotherapy of COVID-19 are summarized in **Table 3**.

The clinical course of COVID-19 was mild in 26.5%, moderate in 36.7%, and severe in 36.7% of the patients; no patients were in the critical disease group. All of the patients with COVID-19 were followed at the inpatient clinic. The median hospitalization time was 7 (IQR: 5–12) days. Four patients were considered to have died of COVID-19-related acute respiratory failure (21st, 23rd, 46th, and 92nd days after diagnosis). In addition, in the 5th month of the

study, three patients died at different times (16th, 80th, and 90th days after discharge) according to the national death reporting system. Two of these patients had been diagnosed as having lung cancer before they died.

#### **Evaluation of adrenal insufficiency**

After the LDST, the minimum peak cut-off level of cortisol was  $14.88 \,\mu\text{g}/\text{dl}$  in the control group. According to this cut-off, adrenal insufficiency was detected in four  $(8.2\,\%)$  patients.

# Patients with adrenal insufficiency and their followup visits

We examined a total of four patients with adrenal insufficiency. One patient who died while being followed up could not be re-evaluated. Also, three patients were called by phone to come to the hospital, but one could not be reached. Finally, the presence of adrenal insufficiency was investigated using the LDST in two patients. After about 6 months, adrenal insufficiency had disappeared in both in the follow-up visit. The clinical and follow-up characteristics and biochemical parameters at baseline and in the recovery period of COVID-19 of all four participants who had adrenal insufficiency are summarized in detail in > Table 4.

# Serum hormone profiles according to disease severity of COVID-19

ESS was found to be more common in patients with severe disease when compared with the other groups (50 % for severe; 5.6 % for

▶ Table 2 Comparison of the anterior pituitary and other hormone profiles in the patients with COVID-19 and healthy controls.

Hormones	COVID-19 (n = 49)	Controls (n = 28)	
	Median (IQR 25–75)		P
ACTH, pg/ml	10.36 (5.78–17.89)	16.39 (7.24–26.7)	0.095
Basal Cortisol, μg/dl	11.74 (8.11–16.84)	8.89 (6.64–12.1)	0.012
TSH, μIU/ml	1.3 (0.76–2.07)	1.59 (1.2–2.1)	0.282
Free T <sub>3</sub> , pg/ml	2.34 (1.9–2.87)	3.21 (2.74–3.34)	<0.001
Free T <sub>4</sub> , ng/dl	1.32 (1.13–1.48)	1.34 (1.12–1.47)	0.966
GH, ng/ml	0.45 (0.15–1.16)	0.26 (0.07-0.84)	0.179
IGF-1, ng/ml	96.45 (56.98–128.45)	128.2 (103.5–151.2)	0.003
PRL, ng/ml	14 (9.26–20.2)	10.52 (7.61–12.52)	0.013
FSH, mIU/ml	6.87 (3.85–14.8)	6.42 (4.71–9.07)	0.885
LH, mIU/ml	5.61 (4.83–15)	5.59 (4.76–7.57)	0.490
Total testosterone*, ng/dl	151.2 (57.22–365)	388.3 (312.5–553)	0.001
Estradiol*, pg/ml	8.48 (3.95–45.3)	6.65 (5–55.16)	0.406

ACTH: Adrenocorticotropic hormone; TSH: Thyroid stimulating hormone; Free T<sub>3</sub>: Free triiodothyronine; Free T<sub>4</sub>: Free thyroxine; GH: Growth hormone; IGF-1: Insulin-like growth factor; PRL: Prolactin; FSH: Follicle stimulating hormone; LH: Luteinizing hormone; \*Total testosterone was evaluated only in males and estradiol was evaluated only in females.

moderate; 30.8% for mild, p = 0.013). The IGF-1 levels in patients with severe disease were lower than the reference range than in all the other groups (61.1% for severe; 27.8% for moderate; 15.4% for mild, p = 0.021). The median levels of IGF-1 in patients who had severe disease were lower than in patients who had mild disease [65.45 (36.33–93.87) versus 120.9 (93.34–143.7); p = 0.015] and moderate disease [65.45 (36.33–93.87) versus 116.1 (57.18–142.98); p = 0.043]. Also, the median total testosterone levels in patients with severe disease were lower than in patients with moderate disease [53.2 (46.55–193.4) versus 319.65 (159–432); p = 0.029].

# The presence of APA and/or AHA in patients with COVID-19

APA was present in 25 out of 49 (51%) patients, nine (36%) at a titer of ≤ 1:32, and in 16 (64%) patients at a titer of > 1:32. Regarding the immunostaining pattern, four patients had a type 1 (selective) immunostaining pattern, and 21 patients had a type 2 immunostaining pattern (> Table 5). AHA was present in 15 out of 49 (31%) patients, five (33.3%) at a titer of > 1:32, and in 10 (66.7%) patients at a titer of < 1:32. APA and AHA were not correlated with disease severity. Regarding the behavior of the baseline APA and AHA in the four patients with hypocortisolism (> Table 4), APA was present in three out of four patients (titer > 1:32 in all three patients). Concerning the immunostaining pattern, type 1 APA was observed in one patient and type 2 in the remaining two patients. AHA was also present in one patient (titer 1:128) and absent in another three patients (> Table 4). Moreover, in two patients in whom adrenal functions recovered, APA was present at a titer of > 1:32 (with immunostaining type 2), and AHA was absent in both. Finally, in seven

patients without hypocortisolism with low basal GH and IGF1 levels, baseline APA was also present (titer > 1:32) in five, and AHA in two.

#### Discussion

In the present study, we found that the frequency of adrenal insufficiency was 8.2% in patients with COVID-19. After about six months, in the follow-up visits, we observed that adrenal insufficiency disappeared in two patients who were still alive. On the other hand, the patients with COVID-19 had lower free  $T_3$ , IGF-1, total testosterone levels, and higher cortisol and prolactin levels when compared with healthy volunteers. Similarly, we determined that free  $T_3$ , IGF-1, and total testosterone levels were lower in patients who had severe disease than in all the other disease severity groups. Also, we detected that ESS was more common in patients with COVID-19 than in controls, especially in those in the severe group. Finally, we demonstrated the presence of APA in three and AHA in one of four patients with adrenal insufficiency.

In patients who had severe disease, it has been shown that cytokines affect deiodinase activity in thyroid tissue and can mimic the acute stress response of the thyroid axis [30, 31]. This change results in ESS accompanied by distinctly low free  $T_3$  [26]. Similarly, in the current study, the frequency of ESS characterized by reduced free  $T_3$  levels was higher in patients who had severe disease. On the other hand, when all patients with COVID-19 and healthy controls were compared, regardless of disease severity, the patients had lower TSH and  $T_3$  levels, whereas all controls had normal levels. Leow et al. showed that 5 % of survivors of the SARS outbreak had central hypothyroidism [32]. In another study, free  $T_3$ , free  $T_4$ , and

▶ **Table 3** The clinical characteristics of the patients with COVID-19.

Variables	n (%) or Median (IQR)	
Initial Symptoms		
Fever	20 (40.8)	
Fatigue	22 (44.9)	
Body aches	10 (20.4)	
A dry cough	16 (32.7)	
Dyspnea	15 (30.6)	
Phlegm	3 (6.1)	
Loss of smell and taste	5 (10.2)	
Nausea or vomiting	6 (12.2)	
Diarrhea	3 (6.1)	
Total number of initial symptoms	2 (1–3)	
Vital signs		
Body temperature, °C	36.5 (36.3-37.5)	
Pulse rate, per min	88 (80-97)	
Systolic Blood Pressure, mmHg	113 (100–124)	
Diastolic Blood pressure, mmHg	70 (60–80)	
Respiratory rate, per min	20 (18–26)	
SpO <sub>2</sub> ,%	95 (93-97)	
Elevated oxygen demand		
No	29 (59.2)	
Nasal cannula	9 (18.4)	
Oxygen mask	3 (6.1)	
High flow oxygen therapy	1 (2)	
NIVM	1 (2)	
Mechanical ventilation	6 (12.2)	
Pharmacotherapy of COVID-19*		
Hydroxychloroquine	35 (42.7)	
Favipiravir	35 (42.7)	
Azithromycin	15 (18.3)	
Oseltamivir	2 (2.4)	
Tocilizumab	5 (6.1)	
Lopinavir/ritonavir	0	

CT: Computer tomography; SpO $_2$ : Peripheral oxygen saturation; NIVM: Non-invasive mechanical ventilation; \* The current pharmacotherapy of COVID-19 at the time the study period was summarized. None of the patients received corticosteroid, anakinra, or remdesivir during this period.

TSH were detected to be lower in patients with SARS compared with controls. In the same study, the authors found a positive association between disease severity and low free  $T_3$  [33]. In several studies conducted on patients with COVID-19, the authors reported similar results to studies performed during the SARS outbreak [34–36].

We found that the IGF-1 levels were lower in patients who had severe disease than in all the other disease severity groups. When we evaluated the patients with COVID-19 and controls regardless of disease severity, the patients had lower IGF-1 levels compared with controls. In the early stage of illness, decreased negative feedback as a result of low IGF-1 levels may cause abundant GH release [37]. Inhibition of the IGF-mediated anabolic effects and stimulation of the GH effects is an adaptive response against the illness, which protects the organism. In this way, energy-consuming anabolic activities are reduced [38, 39]. On the other hand, because the prognosis of COVID-19 has been reported to depend on sex and age, Lubrano et al. stated that the decrease in GH levels in old age and men was an important factor in the course of COVID-19 [40].

Reproductive hormone levels change significantly in acute severe disease. Although LH levels increase as a result of acute physical stress, serum testosterone levels continue to decrease. The reduction in testosterone, which is an anabolic hormone, is a life-saving response for the organism to reduce energy consumption [41]. On the other hand, high levels of ACE-2 expression are seen in the testicles, which is almost the highest producing testosterone site in the human body. In an earlier study, SARS-CoV was shown to cause orchitis and widespread germ cell destruction in human testicles [42]. In an animal study, a decrease in serum testosterone was shown in male mice infected with SARS-CoV [43]. However, serum testosterone levels in COVID-19 need to be interpreted with caution because any acute severe disease can lead to suppression of the hypothalamic-pituitary-testicular axis [44]. In our study, male patients with severe disease had lower levels of total testosterone than those with moderate disease. When all patients with COVID-19 and controls were compared, regardless of disease severity, the rate of male patients with COVID-19 who had total testosterone lower than the reference range was higher than in the controls. Similar to our findings, in a recent study including 81 males with COVID-19, the authors showed that serum total testosterone was lower compared with the controls, although it was not statistically significant [44]. We observed that prolactin levels were higher in patients with COVID-19 than in controls. Hyperprolactinemia is known to develop in response to many stressors, including infections [45]. Ma et al. showed that prolactin levels were significantly higher in patients with COVID-19, as reported by Gu et. al [44, 46].

It would be expected that the HPA axis would have been affected in COVID-19. Gu et al. showed that ACTH levels were increased in patients with COVID-19 compared with healthy controls. By contrast, ACTH levels were within the normal range in all participants in our study [46]. The basal cortisol levels were also higher in patients with COVID-19 than in controls. Similarly, in a short report, Tan et al. described that patients with COVID-19 had higher cortisol levels than patients without COVID-19 [22]. As expected, patients with high basal cortisol levels had lower median survival times [47]. Moreover, in Tan et al.'s study, failure to perform cortisol analysis after adjustment for disease severity may not reflect the true predictive potential of cortisol [22]. Conflictingly, in another study involving 28 patients with COVID-19, no robust response was observed in cortisol levels in any patients. In fact, cortisol levels were close to the lower end of the reference range [19]. According to an interesting hypothesis, SARS-CoV expresses an amino acid sequence that has a molecular homology with ACTH, it can block the stress-induced host's cortisol response as a result of antibodies against ACTH [48]. This hypothesis can also be con-

▶ **Table 4** Comparison from baseline APA and AHA evaluation and the clinical, follow-up characteristics and biochemical parameters at baseline and recovery period of the COVID-19 in the patients with adrenal insufficiency.

Variables	Case 12	Case 17 <sup>*</sup>	Case 23	Case 42
Age, years/Sex	78/Male	43/Male	82/Male	59/Male
Disease severity	severe	moderate	severe	mild
Hospitalization, day	28	7	21	5
Exitus/when after diagnosis, day	no	no	yes/20	no
Control visit after diagnosis, day	169	no visit	no visit	157
Baseline APA titer	1/64 • •	1/256•	Absent	1/256 • •
Baseline AHA titer	Absent	Absent	1/128	Absent
Evaluation of AI <sup>†</sup>				
ACTH, pg/ml	16.43	4.23	1.9	18.77
Baseline, peak cortisol	12.48	13.04	11.82	13.96
Recovery, peak cortisol	20.43	na	na	16.46
Abnormal hormone profiles at paseline	fT3 ↓ (ESS) IGF-1, T.Testo↓ FSH, LH, PRL↑	FSH, LH↑ T. Testo↓	fT3 ↓ (ESS) IGF-1, T. Testo↓ PRL↑	fT3 ↓ (ESS) T.Testo
Abnormal hormone profiles at recovery	IGF-1, T.Testo↓ FSH, LH↑	na	па	normal levels
Poor prognostic biomarkers (first/end	d)			
Lympho < 800 µl	- -	-/na	-/na	-/-
D-dimer>1000 ng/l	+/+	+/na	+/na	-/-
Ferritin>500 µg/l	+/-	+/na	-/na	-/-
CRP>100 mg/l	+/-	–/na	+/na	-/-
LDH>245 IU/I	-/-	–/na	+/na	-/-
CPK>340 IU/I	-/-	–/na	-/na	+/-
Trop-T > 0.028 ng/ml	+/-	–/na	+/na	-/-

APA: Antipituitary antibodies; AHA: Antihypothalamus antibodies; Al: Adrenal insufficiency; ACTH: Adrenocorticotropic hormone; fT<sub>3</sub>: Free triiodothyronine; ESS: Euthyroid sick syndrome; IGF-1: Insulin-like growth factor; T.Testo: Total testosterone; FSH: Follicle stimulating hormone; LH: Luteinizing hormone; PRL: Prolactin; na: No assessment; CRP: C-reactive protein; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase; CPK: Creatine-phosphokinase; Trop T: Troponin T; \*Case 17 was reached, but he did not come to visit; † ACTH stimulation test; Type 1 immunostaining pattern • Type 2 immunostaining pattern • .

▶ Table 5 Frequency of APA titer and immunostaining pattern.

#### Total APA positive (n = 25)

	Total	Type 1 immuno- staining pattern	Type 2 immuno- staining pattern
APA≤1/32, n (%)	9 (36)	0 (0)	9 (100)
APA > 1/32, n (%)	16 (64)	4 (25)	12 (75)

sidered for the new virus because SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 share 90 to 99% homology in their proteins. Also, the hypothalamus and pituitary were shown to express ACE-2 and SARS genomes in autopsy specimens. Therefore, this novel coronavirus may also cause acute adrenal insufficiency by affecting the HPA axis [32, 49]. Although there is no evidence of the direct hypothalamic-pituitary effect of COVID-19, Leow et al. reported findings of hypothalamic-pituitary involvement in 61 post-SARS survivors [32].

In our study, we detected the presence of adrenal insufficiency using the LDST. Actually, in non-critically ill patients, it is debated whether the 1 mcg or 250 µg ACTH stimulation test is more useful in the diagnosis of central adrenal insufficiency [50–53]. We chose the LDST whose results are more concordant than the 250 µg-ACTH test in comparison with the insulin tolerance test (ITT) in an acute situation [54]. In our study, we determined a cut-off value for the LDST based on the healthy controls because of all these interpretations. In the present study, we revealed that the frequency of adrenal insufficiency was 8.2% in patients with COVID-19. In Leow et al.'s study, which investigated the function of the HPA axis in 61 SARS survivors, 39.3% of patients had hypocortisolism, and among these, 83.3% had central adrenal insufficiency using basal cortisol (<5 µg/dl) and/or peak cortisol response on LDST (20 µg/dl). Forty percent of these people had evidence of central hypocortisolism and most resolved within a year [32]. Therefore, we considered all cases as secondary adrenal insufficiency. Also, in Leow et al.'s study, most of the patients with hypocortisolism detected in the 3rd month recovered in the 1st year. We observed that adrenal insufficiency disappeared in two patients who were still alive after about six months. Similar to our results, in a recent study, researchers found that adrenal function was preserved 3 months after admission with COVID-19 [55].

In the present study, a possible autoimmune mechanism in the hypothalamic-pituitary region in patients with COVID-19 was observed due to the presence of APA and AHA in patients with secondary adrenal insufficiency, suggesting for the first time that secondary hypocortisolism might have been due to autoimmune hypophysitis in three out four patients and autoimmune hypothalamitis occurring in one of them. Another important result is that the basal presence of a type of immunostaining is perfectly correlated to the evolution of adrenal insufficiency observed in patients who achieve remission over time despite having a high APA titer but with type 2 immunostaining. This has been demonstrated previously in a large cohort of patients with autoimmune polyendocrine syndrome, suggesting that the presence of APA at high titer both in patients with normal pituitary function and in those with early-stage hypophysitis with subclinical impairment (ACTHD, GHD) not yet requiring therapy, and APA positive patients with type 1 immunostaining pattern, had a worsening of pituitary dysfunction with respect to those with type 2 immunostaining pattern, who, on the contrary, showed spontaneous remission [29]. Based on these observations, we suggest that in some patients with COVID-19, the initial autoimmune involvement of the pituitary and/or hypothalamus can be reversible, and the basal presence of APA and AHA, their titer, and immunostaining of APA in secondary adrenal insufficiency can predict the possible evolution of the disease in subsequent observations. It would be interesting to re-evaluate these antibodies over time (study in progress). Finally, the presence of APA in five patients and AHA in two at high titers suggests that an autoimmune hypophysitis or hypothalamitis seems to be the cause of GH/IGF1 axis impairment.

The limitations of our study can be summarized as follows: our study was a case-control study and had a limited number of patients and controls. This situation can be explained by the inclusion of only steroid-naive patients and the addition of corticosteroids to the routine treatment protocol in many patients with COVID-19 in the later period of the outbreak. Regardless, for more precise results, our findings need to be tested with larger numbers of patients. After dividing the patients into groups according to disease severity, it made the number of patients low in some groups, which makes it difficult to generalize the results.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that most of the anterior hypothalamic-pituitary-target hormone changes seen in patients with COVID-19 were characterized by physiologic responses to acute disease. COVID-19 may result in adrenal insufficiency, thus routine screening of adrenal functions in these patients is needed. The presence of AHA and APA positivity in patients with COVID-19 was demonstrated for the first time. Further prospective studies are needed to clarify the role of autoimmunity in pituitary function in the acute and chronic phases of COVID-19.

### **Authors' Contributions**

All authors made substantial contributions to conception and design, and/or acquisition of data, and/or analysis and interpretation of data; participated in drafting the article or revising it critically

for important intellectual content; and gave final approval of the version to be submitted.

# Availability of Data and Material

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### References

- [1] Johns Hopkins University. "COVID-19 dashboard by the center for systems science and engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU)." Im Internet: https://gisanddata.maps.arcgis.com/apps/ dashboards/bda7594740fd40299423467b48e9ecf6 Stand: 11/09/2021
- [2] Baj J, Karakuła-Juchnowicz H, Teresiński G et al. COVID-19: Specific and non-specific clinical manifestations and symptoms: The current state of knowledge. | Clin Med 2020; 9: 1–22
- [3] Roberts CM, Levi M, McKee M et al. COVID-19: a complex multisystem disorder. Br I Anaesth 2020: 125: 238–242
- [4] Wang W, Xu Y, Gao R et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in different types of clinical specimens. JAMA 2020; 323: 1843–1844
- [5] Yuki K, Fujiogi M, Koutsogiannaki S. COVID-19 pathophysiology: A review. Clin Immunol 2020; 215: 108427
- [6] Huang C, Wang Y, Li X et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet 2020; 395: 497–506
- [7] Li B, Yang J, Zhao F et al. Prevalence and impact of cardiovascular metabolic diseases on COVID-19 in China. Clin Res Cardiol 2020; 109: 531–538
- [8] Chang L, Yan Y, Wang L. Coronavirus disease 2019: coronaviruses and blood safety. Transfus Med Rev 2020; 34: 75–80
- [9] Liu F, Long X, Zhang B et al. ACE2 expression in pancreas may cause pancreatic damage after SARS-CoV-2 infection. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 18: 2128–2130.e2
- [10] Marazuela M, Giustina A, Puig-Domingo M. Endocrine and metabolic aspects of the COVID-19 pandemic. Rev Endocr Metab Disord 2020; 21: 495–507
- [11] Lundholm MD, Poku C, Emanuele N et al. SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) and the Endocrine System. | Endocr Soc 2020; 4: 1–13
- [12] Somasundaram NP, Ranathunga I, Ratnasamy V et al. The impact of SARS-Cov-2 virus infection on the endocrine system. J Endocr Soc 2020; 4: bvaa082
- [13] Mongioì LM, Barbagallo F, Condorelli RA et al. Possible long-term endocrine-metabolic complications in COVID-19: lesson from the SARS model. Endocrine 2020; 68: 467–470
- [14] Pal R, Banerjee M. COVID-19 and the endocrine system: exploring the unexplored. J Endocrinol Invest 2020; 43: 1027–1031
- [15] Rhee E-J, Kim JH, Moon SJ et al. Encountering COVID-19 as endocrinologists. Endocrinol Metab 2020; 35: 197–205
- [16] Puig-Domingo M, Marazuela M, Giustina A. COVID-19 and endocrine diseases. A statement from the European Society of Endocrinology. Endocrine 2020; 68: 2–5

- [17] Chiloiro S, Capoluongo ED, Tartaglione T et al. The changing clinical spectrum of hypophysitis. Trends Endocrinol Metab 2019; 30: 590–602
- [18] Tanriverdi F, De Bellis A, Teksahin H et al. Prospective investigation of pituitary functions in patients with acute infectious meningitis: Is acute meningitis induced pituitary dysfunction associated with autoimmunity? Pituitary 2012; 15: 579–588
- [19] Alzahrani AS, Mukhtar N, Aljomaiah A et al. The impact of COVID-19 viral infection on the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. Endocr Pract 2021; 27: 83–89
- [20] Hashim M, Athar S, Gaba WH. New onset adrenal insufficiency in a patient with COVID-19. BMJ Case Rep 2021; 14: e237690
- [21] Heidarpour M, Vakhshoori M, Abbasi S et al. Adrenal insufficiency in coronavirus disease 2019: a case report. | Med Case Rep 2020; 14: 134
- [22] Tan T, Khoo B, Mills EG et al. Association between high serum total cortisol concentrations and mortality from COVID-19. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2020: 8: 659–660
- [23] Mao Y, Xu B, Guan W et al. The adrenal cortex, an underestimated site of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2021; 11: 593179
- [24] National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. Full-text: diagnosis and treatment protocol for COVID-19 patients (Tentative 8th Edition). In Internet: http://regional.chinadaily.com.cn/pdf/DiagnosisandTreatmentProtocolforCOVID-19Patients(Tentative8thEdition).pdf Stand: 01/17/2021
- [25] Bidlingmaier M, Friedrich N, Emeny RT et al. Reference intervals for insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-I) from birth to senescence: results from a multicenter study using a new automated chemiluminescence IGF-I immunoassay conforming to recent international recommendations. | Clin Endocrinol Metab 2014; 99: 1712–1721
- [26] Fliers E, Bianco AC, Langouche L et al. Thyroid function in critically ill patients. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2015; 3: 816–825
- [27] Dickstein G. Low dose ACTH test: a word of caution to the word of caution: when and how to use it. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1997; 82: 322–322
- [28] Patti G, Calandra E, De Bellis A et al. Antibodies against hypothalamus and pituitary gland in childhood-onset brain tumors and pituitary dysfunction. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2020; 11: 16
- [29] Bellastella G, Rotondi M, Pane E et al. Predictive role of the immunostaining pattern of immunofluorescence and the titers of antipituitary antibodies at presentation for the occurrence of autoimmune hypopituitarism in patients with autoimmune polyendocrine syndromes over a five-year follow-up. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2010; 95: 3750–3757
- [30] Michalaki M. Dissociation of the early decline in serum T3 concentration and serum IL-6 rise and TNF in nonthyroidal illness syndrome induced by abdominal surgery. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2001; 86: 4198–4205
- [31] Croce L, Gangemi D, Ancona G et al. The cytokine storm and thyroid hormone changes in COVID-19. J Endocrinol Invest 2021; 44: 891–904
- [32] Leow MK-S, Kwek DS-K, Ng AW-K et al. Hypocortisolism in survivors of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2005; 63: 197–202
- [33] Wang W, Ye Y, Yao H. Evaluation and observation of serum thyroid hormone and parathyroid hormone in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome. J Chin Antituberculous Assoc 2003; 25: 232–234
- [34] Chen M, Zhou W, Xu W. Thyroid function analysis in 50 patients with COVID-19: a retrospective study. Thyroid 2021; 31: 8–11
- [35] Chen T, Wu D, Chen H et al. Clinical characteristics of 113 deceased patients with coronavirus disease 2019: retrospective study. BMJ 2020; m1091

- [36] Gao W, Guo W, Guo Y et al. Thyroid hormone concentrations in severely or critically ill patients with COVID-19. J Endocrinol Invest 2021; 44: 1031–1040
- [37] Arnold J, Campbell IT, Samuels TA et al. Increased whole body protein breakdown predominates over increased whole body protein synthesis in multiple organ failure. Clin Sci 1993; 84: 655–661
- [38] Baxter RC. Changes in the IGF–IGFBP axis in critical illness. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 2001; 15: 421–434
- [39] Langouche L, Van den Berghe G. Hypothalamic–pituitary hormones during critical illness. Handb Clin Neurol 2014; 124: 115–126
- [40] Lubrano C, Masi D, Risi R et al. Is growth hormone insufficiency the missing link between obesity, male gender, age, and COVID-19 severity? Obesity 2020; 28: 2038–2039
- [41] Gilliver SC. Sex steroids as inflammatory regulators. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2010; 120: 105–115
- [42] Xu J, Qi L, Chi X et al. Orchitis: A complication of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)1. Biol Reprod 2006; 74: 410–416
- [43] Channappanavar R, Fett C, Mack M et al. Sex-based differences in susceptibility to severe acute respiratory syndromecoronavirus infection. | Immunol 2017; 198: 4046–4053
- [44] Ma L, Xie W, Li D et al. Evaluation of sex-related hormones and semen characteristics in reproductive-aged male COVID-19 patients. J Med Virol 2021; 93: 456–462
- [45] Vilar L, Abucham J, Albuquerque JL et al. Controversial issues in the management of hyperprolactinemia and prolactinomas – an overview by the neuroendocrinology department of the Brazilian society of endocrinology and metabolism. Arch Endocrinol Metab 2018; 62: 236–263
- [46] Gu WT, Zhou F, Xie WQ et al. A potential impact of SARS-CoV-2 on pituitary glands and pituitary neuroendocrine tumors. Endocrine 2021; 72: 340–348
- [47] Aygen B, Inan M, Doğanay M et al. Adrenal functions in patients with sepsis. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 2009; 105: 182–186
- [48] Wheatland R. Molecular mimicry of ACTH in SARS implications for corticosteroid treatment and prophylaxis. Med Hypotheses 2004; 63: 855–862
- [49] Pal R. COVID-19, hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis and clinical implications. Endocrine 2020; 68: 251–252
- [50] Tanriverdi F, Karaca Z, Unluhizarci K et al. The hypothalamo-pituitaryadrenal axis in chronic fatigue syndrome and fibromyalgia syndrome. Stress 2007; 10: 13–25
- [51] Karaca Z, Lale A, Tanriverdi F et al. The comparison of low and standard dose ACTH and glucagon stimulation tests in the evaluation of hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis in healthy adults. Pituitary 2011; 14: 134–140
- [52] Simsek Y, Karaca Z, Tanriverdi F et al. A comparison of low-dose ACTH, glucagon stimulation and insulin tolerance test in patients with pituitary disorders. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2015; 82: 45–52
- [53] Karaca Z, Grossman A, Kelestimur F. Investigation of the hypothalamopituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis: a contemporary synthesis. Rev Endocr Metab Disord 2021; 22: 179–204
- [54] Dökmetaş HS, Çolak R, Keleştimur F et al. A comparison between the 1-mg adrenocorticotropin (ACTH) test, the short ACTH (250 μg) test, and the insulin tolerance test in the assessment of hypothalamopituitary-adrenal axis immediately after pituitary surgery. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2000; 85: 3713–3719
- [55] Clarke SA, Phylactou M, Patel B et al. Normal adrenal and thyroid function in patients who survive COVID-19 infection. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2021; 106: 2208–2220