Mapping the Posterior Ledge and Optic Foramen in Orbital Floor Blowout Fractures

Yu Cong Wong, MBBS (S'pore)¹⁰ Doreen S.L. Goh, MBBS (S'pore)¹⁰ Celine S.Y. Yoong, MBBS (S'pore)¹ Cowan Ho, MBBS (S'pore)¹ Elijah Z. Cai, MBBS (S'pore)² Angela Hing, BSC (S'pore)^{1,3} Hanjing Lee, MBBS (S'pore), MRCS (Edin), Mmed (Surgery)² Vigneswaran Nallathamby, MB BCh BAO, LRCP & SI (NUI), MRCS (Edin), MMed (Surgery)⁴⁰ Yan L. Yap, MBBS (S'pore), MRCS (Edin), Mmed (Surgery), FAMS (Plastic Surgery)²⁰ Jane Lim, MBBS (S'pore), FRCSEd (Gen Surg), FRCS (Gen Surg) (Glas), FAMS (Plastic Surgery)²⁰ Sundar Gangadhara, DO, FRCSEd, FAMS⁵ Thiam C. Lim, MD (Mal), FRCS, AM (Mal), FAMS (Plastic Surgery)^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Surgery, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore

²Department of Surgery, Division of Plastic, Reconstructive and

Aesthetic Surgery, National University Health Systems, Singapore ³Department of Surgery, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University Health Systems, Singapore

⁴Department of Surgery, Division of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, Ng Teng Fong General Hospital, Singapore

Arch Plast Surg 2023;50:370-376.

Address for correspondence Thiam C. Lim, Division of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, National University Health Systems, Tower Block, Level 8, 1East Kent Ridge Road Singapore 119228, Singapore (e-mail: surlimtc@nus.edu.sg).

(i) (i)

⁵Department of Ophthalmology, Division of Orbit and Oculofacial Surgery, National University Hospital, Singapore

Abstract Background The posterior ledge (PL) is a vital structure that supports the implant posteriorly during orbital floor reconstruction. This study describes a technique for mapping the PL in relation to the infraorbital margin (IM) in patients with orbital floor blowout fractures. This study establishes the location of the optic foramen in relation to the PL. Methods Facial computed tomography (FCT) scans of 67 consecutive patients with isolated orbital floor blowout fractures were analyzed using Osirix. Planes of reference for orbital fractures, a standardized technique for performing measurements on FCT, was used. Viewed coronally, the orbit was divided into seven equal sagittal slices (L1 laterally to L7 medially) with reference to the midorbital plane. The distances of PL from IM and location of optic foramen were determined. Results The greatest distance to PL is found at L5 (median: 30.1 mm, range: 13.5-37.1 mm). The median and ranges for each slice are as follows: L1 (median: 0.0 mm, range: 0.0–19.9 mm), L2 (median: 0.0 mm, range: 0.0–21.5 mm), L3 (median: 15.8 mm, range: 0.0-31.7 mm), L4 (median: 26.1 mm, range: 0.0-34.0 mm), L5

Keywords

orbital fractures

- blowout fractures
- optic nerve

(median: 30.1 mm, range: 13.5–37.1 mm), L6 (median: 29.0 mm, range: 0.0– 36.3 mm), L7 (median: 20.8 mm, range: 0.0–39.2 mm). The median distance of the optic foramen from IM is 43.7 mm (range: 37.0-49.1) at L7.

received June 11, 2022 accepted after revision February 7, 2023 accepted manuscript online April 14, 2023

DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/a-2074-2092. eISSN 2234-6171.

© 2023. The Author(s).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction so long as the original work is properly cited. (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/bv/4.0/) Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc., 333 Seventh Avenue, 18th Floor, New York, NY 10001, USA

Conclusion Distance to PL from IM increases medially until the L5 before decreasing. A reference map of the PL in relation to the IM and optic foramen is generated. The optic foramen is located in close proximity to the PL at the medial orbital floor. This aids in preoperative planning and intraoperative dissection.

Introduction

Orbital floor reconstruction involves the placement of a reconstruction plate over the infraorbital margin (IM) and posterior ledge (PL) to restore contour and volume.¹ Computed tomography (CT) remains the gold standard for identifying and characterizing orbital fractures.^{2,3} A reference map of the defect will assist surgeons in dissection and choosing appropriately sized implants. The optic foramen is a critical structure to avoid in orbital dissection, and it is difficult to delineate intraoperatively.⁴ Trauma to the optic nerve during surgery causes blindness.⁵ This study presents a reproducible technique for mapping the outline of PL and assessing the location of the optic foramen in relation to PL and IM.

Methods

A total of 278 consecutive patients with orbital floor fractures who sought treatment from 2002 to 2015 were recruited for the study. Patients with bilateral orbital floor fractures and those with fractured IM were excluded. Sixtyseven patients with unilateral isolated orbital floor blowout were eligible for this study.

CT scans were analyzed using Osirix Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine Viewer v9.0.1 (Pixmeo SARL, Geneva, Switzerland).

Measurements were made using the following window width (ww) and window level (wl) settings:

• Bone window: ww: 1,500 Hounsfield unit (HU); wl: 300 HU⁶

• Brain window: ww: 100 HU; wl: 50 HU⁷

Bone window was the default window setting for all measurements except when obtaining the retroglobe plane (brain window was used).

Procedure

- 1. Planes of reference for orbital fracture (PROF):
 - PROF was a methodology previously developed to perform reproducible measurements of the orbit in orbital blowout fractures.⁸ Four planes were identified on the CT scans:⁸ Frankfurt plane⁹ (\succ Fig. 1A), midsagittal plane⁹ (\succ Fig. 1B), plane passing through the lowest point of the sella turcica (\succ Fig. 1C), and the retroglobe plane of the unfractured orbit (\succ Fig. 1D).
- 2. Midpoint of orbit:

At the retroglobe plane, the midorbital point of the unfractured orbit was obtained by identifying the midpoint of the medial and lateral most points of the orbit (**~Fig. 1E**). The midorbital point, L4, was reflected across the midsagittal plane to identify the midorbital point of the fractured orbit (**~Fig. 1F**).

3. Obtaining L1–7:

Viewed coronally, the distance between the medial and lateral most points of the unfractured orbit was measured and divided to obtain nine separate sagittal slices. Excluding the medial and lateral most points, we derived seven slices (L1–7), with L4 as the midorbital point. L1 (lateral-most) to L7 (medial-most) were plotted on the fractured orbit (**– Fig. 1G**).



Fig. 1 Frankfurt plane (A), midsagittal plane (B), lowest point of sella turcica (C), retroglobe plane (D), medial and lateral most points of unfractured orbit (E), midpoint of fractured orbit/L4 (F), L1–7 (G), distance between infraorbital margin and posterior ledge (H), change in angle between superior edge of sella turcica and base of cranial fossa (I), vertical line intersecting with anterior–superior most point of sphenoid bone forming the optic foramen (J). All figures are in the bone window except (D; brain window). Measurements were all made using the following Hounsfield unit (HU) settings. Bone window width (ww): 1,500 HU; window level (wl): 300 HU; brain window: ww: 100 HU; wl: 50 HU.

Distance to Posterior Ledge

At L1–7, the distance between the anterosuperior-most point of IM and the anterosuperior-most point of the PL was measured (**-Fig. 1H**). With measurements for the seven sagittal slices identified, we were able to plot the outline of the orbital floor fracture. Measurements were done in the bone window setting.

Optic Foramen

The optic nerve is a critical structure to be avoided during dissection within the deep orbit. The location of the optic foramen in relation to L1–7 was determined on the fractured orbit.

On the sagittal view, a change in angle between the anterior cranial fossa and the sella turcica was used to determine an axial slice of interest (**~Fig. 11**). The position of the optic foramen on this axial slice was located with reference to L1-7. The optic foramen was visualized at L7. Measurements were taken at L7 to determine the distance between the anterosuperior-most point of the sphenoid bone forming the optic foramen and the anterosuperior-most point of the IM (**~Fig. 1**).

Results

Demographic Data

The 67 patients' ages range from 21 to 69 years old (mean: 33.9). There are 14 (20.9%) women and 53 (79.1%) men. Thirty-one (46.3%) of the fractures are located in the left orbit, and 36 (53.7%) in the right. Assault caused the majority of the fractures (31.3%; **-Table 1**).

Distance of Posterior Ledge from Infraorbital Margin

The median distance of PL from IM increases medially until L5 before decreasing (**-Table 2**). An outline of the PL is plotted from these data (**-Fig. 2**).

Table 1 Demographics and etiology of trauma

Variable	Frequency (%) N=67
Mean age (range)	37.8 (21–69)
Gender	
Female	14 (20.9)
Male	53 (79.1)
Side of fracture	
Left	31 (46.3%)
Right	36 (53.7%)
Mechanism of injury	
Assault	21 (31.3)
Sports related	15 (22.4)
Road traffic accident	12 (17.9)
Fall	8 (11.9)
Others	8 (11.9)
Unknown	3 (4.5)

Table 2	Median and rang	e of distances	of posterior	ledge from
infraorbi	ital margin for L1	-7		

Slice	Median distance (mm)	Range (mm)
L1	0.0	0.0–19.9
L2	0.0	0.0-21.5
L3	15.8	0.0-31.7
L4	26.1	0.0-34.0
L5	30.1	13.5–37.1
L6	29.0	0.0-36.3
L7	20.8	0.0-39.2



Distance to posterior ledge (n = 67) / mm

Fig. 2 Scatter plot showing distance of posterior ledge from infraorbital margin for L1–7 with median distance represented by trendline.



Fig. 3 The location of the optic foramen and posterior ledge (PL) on an illustration of the skull. The optic foramen is located at L7. Solid line denotes PL. Mean distance between sagittal slices is 4.1 mm (range: 3.3–5.0).

Location of the Optic Foramen

The optic foramen is situated at L7 for 66 (98.5%) of the patients. The median distance of the optic foramen from IM is 43.7 mm (range: 37.0-49.1). The location of the optic foramen and PL is represented on an illustration of the skull (**Fig. 3**).

Discussion

PL and IM are stable bony structures left intact after orbital floor blowout fractures.^{2,10,11} Orbital reconstruction plates are anchored to these landmarks during reconstruction. Orbital repairs resulting in a greater than 2-mm deviation from the original optic axis may lead to noticeable complications such as diplopia and enophthalmos.² Direct visualization of PL and IM aids implant placement intraoperatively.¹² Studies recommend that PL be identified using anatomical landmarks such as the orbital plate of the maxilla and inferior orbital fissure.¹³ Visualization can be challenging due to the presence of soft tissue in the limited space.¹³ Essig et al describes the effectiveness of computer-assisted preoperative planning and intraoperative navigation in accurate orbital reconstruction.¹⁴ While threedimensional reconstructions provide good spatial visualization of fractures, two-dimensional CT imaging is widely accessible and simple to use for preoperative planning.¹⁵

Previous CT studies describe methods to measure the distance to PL preoperatively.^{8,13} One such study by Manchio et al determined the maximum distance of PL from orbital apex in the coronal view by multiplying the number of CT slices between the two landmarks by the known CT image interval.¹⁶ Our study maps the PL with CT imaging for better characterization of an orbital floor fracture in two dimensions, without the need for extensive intraoperative exposure. Given the three-dimensional nature of the orbit, our two-dimensional reference map should be correlated intraoperatively and not used in isolation.

The greatest distance of PL from IM is located at L5. Surgeons can determine the greatest defect length and mesh implant size at this slice. Anatomical studies identify that the thin lamina papyracea of the ethmoid bone, which forms part of the medial orbital floor, fractures easily.¹¹ While the rest of the orbital floor varies from 0.6 to 1.0 mm in thickness, the lamina papyracea of the ethmoid bone is less than 0.5 mm in thickness.¹⁷ We postulate that changes in bony trabeculae at the confluence of the superior orbital fissure and inferior orbital fissure may contribute to the ease of fracture, resulting in a larger defect found at L5.

Identification of the optic foramen is essential to minimize damage to the optic nerve.⁴ Previous cadaveric



Fig. 4 Three-dimensional reconstructed skull with L4–7 labelled showing distances (red arrows) between infraorbital margin and posterior ledge (yellow line).

measurements determined the distances of the optic foramen in relation to other landmarks such as the posterior ethmoidal foramen and anterior lamina crest.¹⁸ The CT imaging measurement tool employed in our study has a similar precision to physical calipers used in cadaveric studies (0.1 mm).¹⁹ Our CT measurements have comparable precision to cadaveric measurements while avoiding the inaccuracies of bone and periorbital soft tissue desiccation in cadaveric dried skulls.^{20,21} Compared with cadaveric specimens, CT images are readily available for large-scale anatomical studies to be conducted. Multiple preoperative CT techniques are developed for identification of the optic foramen.⁴ Kim et al show that the optic foramen lies approximately 44.0 to 50.0 mm from IM.¹¹ Turvey and Golden reveal that the distance of optic foramen from IM is approximately 1 cm longer at the medial orbital rim compared with the lateral orbital rim.²²

Our study shows that the optic foramen is located at L7. Dissection along the medial orbital floor calls for greater caution to avoid iatrogenic injury to the optic nerve. A cadaveric study by Danko and Haug determined that distance between the soft tissue at the optic foramen and the inferior orbital rim ranges from 36.5 to 42.3 mm.²³

Our methodology can be applied to further map the medial and lateral walls of the orbit and the orbital roof. This serves as a foundation upon which we are developing an automated program with the School of Computing, National University of Singapore.

Clinical Vignette

- A 27-year-old Chinese woman presents with a right orbital floor fracture after being assaulted. The fracture is visible from L4–7 (► Fig. 4). At L4, the distance of PL from IM is 23.3 mm. Distance of PL from IM increases to 27.5 mm at L5, before decreasing thereafter. The distance of the optic foramen from IM at L7 is 42.8 mm. A prefabricated titanium plate is used to reconstruct the orbital floor.
- 2. A 42-year-old Chinese man presents with a right orbital floor fracture after a sporting injury. The fracture is visible from L2–7 (**~ Fig. 5**). The median distance of PL from IM increases medially from 15 mm at L2 to 30.4 mm at L5, before decreasing thereafter. A silastic implant is used to reconstruct the orbital floor.

This study presents a technique for generating a reference map of the orbital floor. This technique could be used to map fractures of other areas of the orbit for preoperative planning and anatomical studies. The distance of PL from IM increases medially until L5. The greatest defect is situated at L5. Caution should be exercised when performing deep orbital dissection medially.

Authors' Contributions

Y.C.W., D.S.L.G., C.S.Y.Y., E.Z.C., and T.C.L. conceived the study, designed the study, conducted data collection, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. C.H. aided in data conceptualization, participated in the design of the study, and aided in data collection. A.H. participated in the



Fig. 5 Three-dimensional reconstructed skull with L2–7 labelled showing distances (red arrows) between infraorbital margin and posterior ledge (yellow line).

design of the study, collation of data, and aided in project administration, serving as the project coordinator. H.L., V. N., Y.L.Y., J.L., S.G. aided in project design and administration and participated in the review and edit of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Notes

This study was presented at the Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery (PRS) Korea 2019, South Korea (November 10, 2019) and 23rd Malaysian Association of Plastic, Aesthetic and Craniomaxillofacial Surgeons (MAPACS) Annual Scientific Congress 2019, Kuala Lumpur (April 13, 2019).

Ethical Approval

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the National Healthcare Group Institutional Review Board, Singapore (DSRB No. 2019/ 00628). Waiver of informed consent in obtaining CT scans of patients was obtained, while CT scans in this manuscript were published with informed consent from patients.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

1 Gunarajah DR, Samman N. Biomaterials for repair of orbital floor blowout fractures: a systematic review. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2013;71(03):550–570

- 2 Boyette JR, Pemberton JD, Bonilla-Velez J. Management of orbital fractures: challenges and solutions. Clin Ophthalmol 2015; 9:2127–2137
- 3 Bly RA, Chang S-H, Cudejkova M, Liu JJ, Moe KS. Computer-guided orbital reconstruction to improve outcomes. JAMA Facial Plast Surg 2013;15(02):113–120
- 4 Liu S, Chen Y, Song J, Tian Y, Xia C, Li Y. Optic canal location by computed tomography. J Craniofac Surg 2013;24(01):284–286
- 5 Nicholson DH, Guzak SV. Visual loss complicating repair of orbital floor fractures. Plast Reconstr Surg 1972;49(03):356
- 6 Kozakiewicz M, Olbrzymek L, Stefanczyk L, et al. Radio-opaque polyethylene for personalized craniomaxillofacial implants. Clin Oral Investig 2017;21(05):1853–1859
- 7 OsiriX User Manual. Accessed December 12, 2021 at: https:// www.osirix-viewer.com/osirix/osirix-user-manual/
- 8 Cai EZ, Chong XT, Ong WL, et al. Planes of reference for orbital fractures: a technique for reproducible measurements of the orbit on computed tomography scans. J Craniofac Surg 2018;29(07): 1817–1820
- 9 Cheng Y, Leow WK, Lim TC (2012). Automatic identification of Frankfurt plane and mid-sagittal plane of skull. 2012 IEEE Workshop on the Applications of Computer Vision (WACV) Doi: 10.1109/wacv.2012.6162994
- 10 Kunz C, Audigé L, Cornelius CP, Buitrago-Téllez CH, Rudderman R, Prein J. The Comprehensive AOCMF Classification System: orbital fractures - level 3 tutorial. Craniomaxillofac Trauma Reconstr 2014;7(Suppl 1):S092–S102
- 11 Kim HS, Jeong EC. Orbital floor fracture. Arch Craniofac Surg 2016; 17(03):111–118
- 12 Harris GJ. Avoiding complications in the repair of orbital floor fractures. JAMA Facial Plast Surg 2014;16(04): 290–295

- 13 Gooris PJJ, Muller BS, Dubois L, et al. Finding the ledge: sagittal analysis of bony landmarks of the orbit. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2017;75(12):2613–2627
- 14 Essig H, Dressel L, Rana M, et al. Precision of posttraumatic primary orbital reconstruction using individually bent titanium mesh with and without navigation: a retrospective study. Head Face Med 2013;9(01):18
- 15 Ploder O, Klug C, Backfrieder W, Voracek M, Czerny C, Tschabitscher M. 2D- and 3D-based measurements of orbital floor fractures from CT scans. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2002;30(03): 153–159
- 16 Manchio JV, Sati S, Rosman DA, Bryan DJ, Lee GM, Weinzweig J. Role of sagittal reformatted computed tomographic images in the evaluation of orbital floor fractures. J Craniofac Surg 2010;21(04): 1153–1157
- 17 O'Hare TH. Blow-out fractures: a review. J Emerg Med 1991;9(04): 253–263

- 18 Rontal E, Rontal M, Guilford FT. Surgical anatomy of the orbit. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1979;88(3 Pt 1):382–386
- 19 Mohamed A, Nankivil D, Pesala V, Taneja M. The precision of ophthalmic biometry using calipers. Can J Ophthalmol 2013;48 (06):506–511
- 20 Gilliland GD, Gilliland G, Fincher T, Harrington J, Gilliland JM. Assessment of biomechanics of orbital fracture: a study in goats and implications for oculoplastic surgery in humans. Am J Ophthalmol 2005;140(05):868–876
- 21 Dubois L, Jansen J, Schreurs R, et al. Predictability in orbital reconstruction: a human cadaver study. Part I: endoscopic-assisted orbital reconstruction. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2015;43(10):2034–2041
- 22 Turvey TA, Golden BA. Orbital anatomy for the surgeon. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am 2012;24(04):525–536
- 23 Danko I, Haug RH. An experimental investigation of the safe distance for internal orbital dissection. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1998;56(06):749–752