

Multidisciplinary Expert Guidance for the Management of Severe Bleeding on Oral Anticoagulation: An Algorithm for Practicing Clinicians

Siraj Mithoowani¹ Tammy Bungard² Lana Castellucci^{3,4} Mark Crowther¹ Kerstin de Wit⁵ Dar Dowlatshahi^{3,4} Nauzer Forbes⁶ Katie Lin⁶ Deborah M. Siegal^{3,4}

¹Department of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada

²Department of Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

³Department of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada

⁴ Inflammation and Chronic Disease Program, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, Canada

⁵Department of Emergency Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston, Canada

⁶Department of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada

Thromb Haemost

Abstract

Keywords

- ► anticoagulation
- bleeding
- anticoagulant reversal
- warfarin
- ► DOAC
- ► treatment

Bleeding complications associated with oral anticoagulant (OAC) frequently lead to emergency department visits and hospitalization. Short-term all-cause mortality after severe bleeding is substantial ranging from approximately 10% for gastrointestinal bleeding (the most frequent single site) to approximately 50% for intracranial bleeding. A protocol for multidisciplinary approach to bleeding is needed to (i) ensure rapid identification of patients at risk of adverse outcomes, (ii) optimize delivery of supportive measures, (iii) treat the source of bleeding, and (iv) administer anticoagulant reversal or hemostatic therapies judiciously for patients most likely to benefit. We convened a multidisciplinary panel of experts (emergency medicine, gastroenterology, general internal medicine, hematology, neurology, pharmacy, thrombosis) to review the literature and provide practical guidance including a corresponding algorithm for use at the point of care to assist clinicians in the management of patients with acute severe OAC-related bleeding.

Clinical Cases

Case 1: A 57-year-old man with hypertension, dyslipidemia, and a remote deep vein thrombosis (DVT) treated with amlodipine 10 mg daily, rosuvastatin 10 mg daily, and rivaroxaban 20 mg daily (last taken 3 hours ago) presents to hospital with a 1.5-hour history of acute-onset severe headache and right-

received July 25, 2024 accepted after revision November 5, 2024 accepted manuscript online November 8, 2024 DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/a-2464-2887. ISSN 0340-6245. sided hemiplegia. There was no preceding trauma. His initial Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score was 14 but has decreased to 11 on arrival at the emergency department. He is given 10 mg of labetalol for a blood pressure (BP) of 205/100 mm Hg, which then decreases to 165/95 mm Hg. Computed tomography (CT) of head reveals a left basal ganglia intracerebral hemorrhage. A second dose of labetalol is initiated

Address for correspondence Deborah Siegal, MD, MSc, FRCPC, Ottawa Blood Diseases Centre, The Ottawa Hospital - General Campus, Box 201A 501 Smyth Road, Ottawa, ON, K1H 8L6, Canada (e-mail: dsiegal@toh.ca).

^{© 2024.} The Author(s).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/)

Georg Thieme Verlag KG, Oswald-Hesse-Straße 50, 70469 Stuttgart, Germany

as the patient begins to vomit. Ondansetron is administered while the emergency physician contemplates intubation for airway protection.

- Case 2: A 68-year-old woman presents to the emergency department with exertional dyspnea and low energy. She reports a 4-day history of melena stools. Medical history includes hypertension, atrial fibrillation (AF) with a CHADS₂ score of 1, and a lumbosacral disk herniation. Prescribed medications include dabigatran 150 mg twice daily (last dose taken the morning of the emergency department visit) and candesartan/ hydrochlorothiazide 16 mg/12.5 mg daily. Over the past 1 to 2 weeks, she was taking ibuprofen multiple times for back pain. On examination, her heart rate is 102 beats per minute and BP is 128/84. Laboratory investigations reveal hemoglobin 83 g/L (decreased from 148 g/L 8 months ago), international normalized ratio (INR) 1.3, and creatinine 100 µmol/L. Electrocardiogram shows sinus tachycardia.
- **Case 3:** An 87-year-old man presents to an urgent care center with spontaneous epistaxis for the past 40 minutes. Past medical history includes AF with a CHADS₂ score of 5 based on age, hypertension, congestive heart failure, and prior stroke. Home medications include apixaban 5 mg twice daily, bisoprolol 2.5 mg twice

daily, sacubitril/valsartan 49 mg/51 mg twice daily, and dapagliflozin 10 mg daily. His vital signs are stable, but the bleeding continues despite applying external nasal compression.

Introduction

More than 40 million prescriptions for oral anticoagulants (OAC) including warfarin and direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) are written annually in North America.^{1,2} Currently available DOACs, their mechanisms of action, pharmacokinetics, and dosing for different indications are shown in **Table 1**. The most common indication for OAC use is atrial fibrillation (AF) which is associated with a 5-fold increase in the risk of ischemic stroke and affects over 59 million individuals worldwide.³ Without OACs, the annual risk of ischemic stroke in AF is approximately 5%; OACs reduce this risk by approximately 65%.⁴ Venous thromboembolism (VTE), which includes deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE), is the third most common cardiovascular disease affecting about 1 to 2 per 1000 persons per year with mortality rates of 9 to 32 per 100,000.⁵ The risk of VTE increases with age with incidence rates of 2 to 10 per 1000 persons per year after 60 years of age. Given an aging population, these conditions, along with

	Dabigatran ¹¹⁸	Apixaban ⁵¹	Rivaroxaban ⁵²	Edoxaban ⁵³
Mechanism	Direct thrombin inhibitor	Factor Xa inhibitor	Factor Xa inhibitor	Factor Xa inhibitor
Renal excretion	80%	25%	35%	50%
Half-life ^a	7–17 hours	8–12 hours	7–11 hours	10–14 hours
Atrial fibrillation	150 mg BID 110 mg BID if \geq 80 years or if 75 years with a bleeding risk factor	5 mg BID 2.5 mg BID if \geq 2 or more of the following: \geq 80 years, \geq 60 kg, serum creatinine \geq 133 umol/L	CrCl >50 mL/min: 20 mg OD with food CrCl 15–50 mL/min 15 mg OD with food	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{CrCl} > 50 \mbox{ mL/min:} \\ \mbox{60 mg daily} \\ \mbox{30 mg daily if} \geq 1 \mbox{ of the} \\ \mbox{following: CrCl} \\ \mbox{15-50 mL/min,} \\ \leq \mbox{60 kg, concomitant} \\ \mbox{P-gp inhibitor} \end{array}$
Venous thromboembolism	After 5–10 days of parenteral therapy 150 mg BID 110 mg BID if \geq 80 years or if \geq 75 years with a bleeding risk factor	Acute treatment: 10 mg BID × 7 days then 5 mg BID Secondary prevention: 5 mg BID or 2.5 mg BID	Acute treatment: 15 mg BID × 3 weeks then 20 mg OD with food Secondary prevention: 20 mg OD or 10 mg OD	After 5–10 days of parenteral therapy 60 mg daily 30 mg daily if CrCl 15–50 mL/min, weight ≤60 kg, or taking certain concomitant P- gp inhibitor medications
Elective orthopedic surgery prophylaxis ^b	110 mg (day of surgery), then 220 mg once daily if CrCl >50 mL/min	2.5 mg BID	10 mg OD	Not indicated
Stable CAD/PAD	Not indicated	Not indicated	2.5 mg BID with aspirin 75–100 mg OD	Not indicated

Table 1 DOAC mechanism, pharmacokinetics, and dosing

Abbreviations: BID, twice daily; CAD, coronary artery disease; CrCl, creatinine clearance; DOAC, direct oral anticoagulant; OD, once daily; PAD, peripheral artery disease.

Notes: ^aAssuming CrCl \geq 50 mL/min, half-life will be prolonged with renal insufficiency or liver disease.

^bKnee replacement, duration of therapy 10–14 days; hip replacement, duration of therapy 28–35 days.

their treatment-related complications, are expected to become even greater health problems.

OAC-associated bleeding is the most common adverse drug event that leads to emergency department visits, hospital admissions, and death.^{6,7} OAC use is limited by serious (major) bleeding which affects approximately 2 to 4% of OAC-treated patients per year.^{8,9} Another 4 to 22% experience clinically relevant non-major (CRNM) bleeding, depending on bleeding definitions, anticoagulant type, duration of treatment, population under study, and study design.^{10–29} Outcomes after bleeding vary according to bleed site, and assessment of bleed severity (including fatal bleeding) is limited by a lack of validated standardized definitions. All-cause mortality after major bleeding is substantial ranging from approximately 10% for gastrointestinal bleeding (the most frequent single site) to 50% for intracranial bleeding in contemporary studies of patients treated with OACs.^{30–35}

Patient stabilization and bleeding cessation are priorities when managing severe OAC-related bleeding. A protocolized, multidisciplinary approach to bleeding emergencies ensures (i) rapid identification of patients at risk of adverse outcomes, (ii) optimal delivery of supportive measures, (iii) definitive treatment of bleeding source (as appropriate), and (iv) judicious administration of anticoagulant reversal or hemostatic therapies.

We convened a multidisciplinary panel of experts (emergency medicine, gastroenterology, general internal medicine, hematology, neurology, pharmacy, thrombosis) to review and summarize current evidence and provide practical guidance with a corresponding algorithm (\succ Fig. 1) to assist clinicians managing patients with acute severe OACrelated bleeding at the point of care. The guidance was directly informed by current scientific evidence and clinical expertise in key specialty areas which were collected and discussed during meetings of the author group. In addition to landmark primary studies, we reviewed clinical practice guidelines and consensus statements from the American Society of Hematology (ASH), International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH), American College of Cardiology (ACC), National Advisory Committee on Blood and Blood Products (NAC), and Thrombosis Canada.^{36–39} We developed representative clinical cases to support the guidance and demonstrate application of the framework in clinical practice.

Step 1: Identify Severe Bleeding

Bleeding severity is the primary factor influencing the need to interrupt anticoagulation, initiate resuscitation, and proceed with hemostatic or surgical interventions. Although definitions of bleeding used in clinical trials (e.g., ISTH) are widely known, they have important limitations for managing patients with bleeding in clinical practice. By emphasizing laboratory parameters (e.g., hemoglobin concentration) or treatments (e.g., transfusion) without including signs of severe bleeding (i.e., hemodynamic instability), they may be difficult to apply at the onset of a bleeding emergency.⁴⁰ Initially, there may be uncertainty about the location of the bleed (e.g., bleeding in the retroperitoneal space) or the patient's response to resuscitation (e.g., intravenous [IV] fluids or packed red blood cell transfusions). Additionally, patient-specific factors including elderly age, frailty, and comorbidities (e.g., chronic kidney or liver disease, or prior life-threatening bleeding) that confer worse prognosis are not captured in clinical trial definitions of OAC-associated bleeding. Therefore, for this algorithm, we adopted a pragmatic definition of "severe" bleeding to align guidance with acute presentations encountered in routine clinical practice for use at the point of care: (i) bleeding causing hemodynamic instability (e.g., hypotension and/or tachycardia requiring treatment with or without vasopressor use), (ii) bleeding involving a critical site (e.g., intracranial or pericardial bleeding), or (iii) limb-threatening bleeding (e.g., intramuscular hematoma causing compartment syndrome).

Step 2: Initiate Resuscitation and Supportive Measures (As per Bleed Site)

Temporary interruption of anticoagulation, irrespective of thrombotic risk, is warranted for virtually all patients with severe anticoagulant-associated bleeding. Initial evaluation should focus on assessing hemodynamic stability and ruling out end-organ dysfunction from bleeding. Vital sign abnormalities associated with moderate or large volume blood loss include resting tachycardia, postural hypotension or dizziness, or a postural pulse increment of >30 beats per minute.⁴¹ Other signs of hemorrhagic shock include altered mentation and decreased urinary output. Patients with hemodynamic instability require urgent resuscitation; typical measures include insertion of large-bore peripheral IV line(s), administration of IV fluid, and transfusion with packed red cells, if necessary. Other blood products, including frozen plasma, platelets, and cryoprecipitate or fibrinogen concentrate, may also be indicated as part of a massive transfusion protocol. Urgent consultation with a specialist to assist with hemostatic interventions (e.g., endoscopy, fracture reduction, embolization, surgery) may be necessary depending on the bleed site.

Tranexamic Acid

Tranexamic acid (TXA) has benefit in patients with or at risk of bleeding (e.g., trauma, postpartum hemorrhage, orthopedic surgery, cardiac surgery) and may be considered despite a lack of data in patients with OAC-related bleeding. The usual dose of TXA is 1 to 1.5 g orally every 8 to 12 hours, or 10 mg/kg IV every 8 hours with dose reductions for renal impairment. A systematic review of 22 studies enrolling 49,538 patients with nonsurgical bleeding showed that TXA did not increase the risk of stroke (relative risk [RR] 1.10; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.68–1.78), myocardial infarction (RR 0.88; 95% CI 0.43–1.84), PE (RR 0.97; 95% CI 0.75–1.26), or DVT (RR 0.99; 95% CI 0.70–1.41) compared with placebo or no TXA.⁴²

In the HALT-IT trial, patients with severe gastrointestinal bleeding (only 9% on anticoagulants) treated with IV TXA (1 g over 10 minutes followed by 3 g over 24 hours) had a similar



Fig. 1 Severe Anticoagulant-Related Bleeding Management Algorithm.

risk of death due to bleeding within 5 days of randomization (4% vs. 4%; RR 0.99; 95% CI 0.82–1.18) and a higher risk of VTE (0.8% vs. 0.4%; RR 1.85; 95% CI 1.15–2.98) compared with those treated with placebo.⁴³ Although these findings suggest caution, it should be noted that the dose of TXA was relatively high compared with other clinical trials.

No large high-quality clinical trials have effectively evaluated the utility of TXA in a specifically anticoagulated patient population. The CRASH-2 trial enrolled 20,207 trauma patients with or at risk of significant hemorrhage and showed that TXA (1g IV over 10 minutes then 1g over 8 hours) reduced the risk of death by 1.5% at 4 weeks compared with placebo (14.5% vs. 16.0%; RR 0.91; 95% CI 0.85–0.97).⁴⁴ For isolated traumatic brain injury, the CRASH-3 trial showed that TXA administered within 3 hours of injury did not reduce the risk of head injury-related death at 28 days compared with placebo (18.5% vs. 19.8%; RR 0.94; 95% CI 0.86–1.02).⁴⁵ A reduction in the risk of head injuryrelated death was seen in pre-specified analyses that excluded patients with a Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score of 3 or bilateral unreactive pupils at baseline (12.5% vs. 14.0%; RR 0.89; 95% CI 0.80-1.01) and in patients with mild-to-moderate head injury (RR 0.78; 95% CI 0.64-0.95) but not in those with severe head injury (0.99 [95% CI 0.91-1.07]). Finally, for spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage, the TICH-2 trial showed that TXA did not improve functional neurologic status (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 0.88, 95% CI 0.76-1.0) or mortality (250/1161 [22%] vs. 249/1164 [21%]; adjusted hazard ratio 0.92, 95% CI 0.77-1.10) compared with placebo at 90 days despite early reductions in hematoma growth (at day 2) and death (at day 7).⁴⁶ Although the TICH-NOAC trial focused specifically on TXA use in patients on DOACs, it also failed to demonstrate any significant difference in symptomatic hematoma expansion at 24-hour follow-up (38% vs. 45% in TXA vs. placebo groups respectively; OR 0.63, 95% CI 0.22-1.82, p = 0.4; the trial was stopped early when only 67 patients enrolled due to exhausted funding, and thus the study was underpowered for its outcome of interest.47 Ultimately, the results of these combined studies should be interpreted with caution as they did not specifically enroll anticoagulated patients (CRASH-3), excluded anticoagulated patients altogether (TICH-2), or were underpowered for the outcome of interest (TICH-NOAC).

Unlike TXA, off-label use of recombinant factor VIIa (rFVIIa) for OAC-related bleeding is generally avoided in favor of prothrombin complex concentrate (PCC) or specific DOAC reversal drugs (andexanet alfa or idarucizumab), which are discussed further below. Recombinant FVIIa is unlikely to inhibit the anticoagulant effect of factor Xa inhibitors and is associated with an increased risk of thrombosis when prescribed off-label in individuals without hemophilia.^{48–50}

Step 3: Determine Whether Clinically Significant Anticoagulant Effect is Present

Ascertaining the time of last OAC ingestion is key for determining the likelihood of clinically significant anticoagulant effect to guide the judicious use of reversal or hemostatic therapies for patients most likely to benefit. For DOACs, clinically significant anticoagulant effect is likely present if ingested within the previous 24 hours (about 2 to 3 half-lives) or longer in patients with renal/hepatic impairment (**►Table 1**).

In practice, it may not be feasible to ascertain OAC levels within an acceptable timeframe to guide initial management during emergencies, particularly for DOACs. Coagulation tests such as the international normalized ratio (INR), prothrombin time (PT), and activated thromboplastin time (aPTT) are routinely measured in patients with bleeding emergencies but are not generally accurate or reliable for detecting clinically significant DOAC levels primarily due to poor sensitivity, although this varies depending on the assay used. The effect of DOACs on laboratory tests is summarized in **- Table 2**. An exception is the thrombin time (TT) which is very sensitive to the presence of even small amounts of dabigatran, such that a normal TT excludes a clinically important dabigatran level. Calibrated DOAC-specific assays (e.g., dilute thrombin time or ecarin clotting time for dabigatran and drug-specific anti-Xa activity assays for factor Xa inhibitors) can reliably quantify DOAC concentration, but they are not routinely available and may have limited clinical utility in emergencies because of long turnaround time for results relative to clinical urgency. Anti-Xa heparin assays can detect the presence of factor Xa inhibitors, but may not be sufficiently sensitive to rule out clinically significant levels and are also not widely available. There is an urgent need to develop and evaluate validated assays that can reliably identify patients with clinically significant DOAC drug levels rapidly at the point of care to determine which patients are most likely to benefit from reversal or hemostatic therapies.

Factor Xa Inhibitors (Apixaban, Rivaroxaban, Edoxaban)

The half-life of factor Xa inhibitors in older adults is around 10 hours but they undergo renal and hepatic clearance with possible prolonged half-life in the setting of renal or hepatic impairment (**Table 1**).^{51–53} Factor Xa inhibitors can prolong the PT and/or aPTT, and increase the INR to a variable degree depending on the specific drug, laboratory reagent, and coagulation instrument used for testing.54,55 A detailed discussion is beyond the scope of this article. Briefly, elevations in INR and/or prolongation of aPTT may indicate the presence of clinically significant factor Xa inhibitor levels, but these tests have low sensitivity and cannot reliably rule out clinically significant levels of factor Xa inhibitor. In addition, INR and aPTT may be abnormal for reasons unrelated to OAC exposure particularly among critically ill patients, including disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), liver disease, massive transfusion, vitamin K deficiency, or pre-analytic laboratory error (i.e., low specificity), and should be interpreted with caution.

Drug-specific chromogenic anti-Xa assays can reliably quantify factor Xa inhibitor drug levels, but they are not routinely available in many hospital laboratories.^{54,55} Laboratory testing is further complicated by a lack of established therapeutic levels, uncertainty about the relationship

Test	Dabigatran	Apixaban	Rivaroxaban	Edoxaban
PT/INR	Normal test does NOT exclude clinicall If increased, may indicate clinically sig	y significant ant nificant anticoag	icoagulant effect ulant effect ^a	
aPTT	Normal test does NOT exclude clinicall If increased, may indicate clinically sig	y significant ant nificant anticoag	icoagulant effect ulant effect ^a	
Thrombin time	Normal test excludes dabigatran	Not relevant		
Heparin or LMWH anti-Xa assay	Not relevant	<0.1 IU/mL anticoagulant > 0.1 IU/mL anticoagulant	= likely NO clinically effect = possible clinically effect	/ significant significant
Drug-specific quantitative assay	Dilute thrombin time (Hemoclot)	Calibrated anti	-Xa activity assay	
	<50 ng/mL = likely no clinically significant anticoagulant effect ^b \ge 50 ng/mL = likely clinically significant anticoagulant effect ^b			

Table 2	Guide to inter	preting laborator	y testing in the	presence of DOACs
			/ _	

Abbreviations: aPTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; DOACs, direct oral anticoagulants; INR, international normalized ratio; LMWH, low-molecular-weight heparin; PT, prothrombin time; TT, thrombin time.

Notes: The term "clinically significant" anticoagulant effect refers to levels that may contribute to bleeding. The threshold for clinically significant anticoagulant effect is unknown.

^aSuggests clinically significant anticoagulant effect in the absence of another cause of coagulopathy (e.g., massive transfusion, disseminated intravascular coagulopathy, coagulopathy of liver disease, vitamin K deficiency, warfarin, a coagulation factor inhibitor, factor deficiency).

 b The level chosen (<50 ng/mL) is extrapolated from observations in clinical trials and is consistent with other guidelines.³⁷

between DOAC drug levels measured and the extent of hemostatic impairment, and its impact on bleeding. Despite these limitations, if testing is locally available and reported within an acceptable timeframe, drug level determination is reasonable at initial presentation to help guide initial management and/or complications (e.g., refractory bleeding, surgery). Unfractionated heparin (UFH) or low-molecularweight heparin (LMWH) calibrated anti-Xa assays are more widely available than drug-specific assays and are highly sensitive to the presence of factor Xa inhibitors, but anti-Xa thresholds to identify patients with clinically significant drug levels (>50 ng/mL) vary widely between commercially available assays limiting their practical utility in the absence of local laboratory validation.^{56,57} An undetectable or very low UFH or LMWH anti-Xa level (<0.1 IU/mL) suggests that there is likely no clinically significant circulating anticoagulant, but the precise threshold to rule out anticoagulant exposure remains uncertain and varies between assays.

Step 4: Administer Anticoagulant Reversal or Hemostatic Therapy if Indicated

Anticoagulant reversal or hemostatic therapy is indicated for patients with severe bleeding, in whom clinically significant anticoagulant effect is suspected (i.e., as per time of last dose and renal function for DOACs as per above) or confirmed.

Warfarin

Urgent warfarin reversal involves restoring the production of vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors with IV vitamin K and rapid supplementation of vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors with four-factor prothrombin complex concentrate (4F-PCC) (preferred) or plasma (if 4F-PCC is unavailable) until production of coagulation factors is restored.⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ In a randomized trial of 4F-PCC versus plasma for warfarin-

associated major bleeding, a higher proportion of patients treated with 4F-PCC achieved rapid reduction of INR (62% vs. 10%) compared with plasma. Rates of effective hemostasis (72% vs. 65%) and thrombotic events (8% vs. 6%) were similar between groups.⁶¹ 4F-PCC is recommended by all major guideline panels for the reversal of warfarin in patients with severe bleeding.^{38,39,62,63}

Vitamin K directly counteracts the anticoagulant effect of warfarin. IV administration is preferred for emergencies as the speed of coagulation factor production is faster (12 to 14 hours) than that of oral administration (24 to 48 hours). Dosing protocols vary and should align with institutional protocols and product monographs. 4F-PCC is typically administered at a dose of 1000 to 3000 international units (IU) IV depending on the degree of INR elevation and body weight (example in **-Table 3**). If the INR or weight are unknown, then a fixed dose of 2000 IU can be administered empirically for severe bleeding when delay is unacceptable. PCC is contraindicated for patients with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) and is associated with a 1 to 3% risk of thrombosis when used for warfarin reversal.^{58,64,65}

Dabigatran

Specific Reversal of Dabigatran

Idarucizumab is a monoclonal antibody fragment that binds dabigatran with high affinity and reverses its anticoagulant effect (**~Table 4**).^{66,67} RE-VERSE AD was a single-arm trial in which dabigatran-treated patients presenting with acute life-threatening bleeding or needing urgent surgery or invasive procedures were treated with idarucizumab (5 g IV).⁶⁸ After idarucizumab treatment, dilute thrombin time and/or ecarin clotting time normalized rapidly (an effect that was maintained for up to 24 hours), unbound (active) dabigatran

Table 5 Dosing of four-factor protinonibili complex concentrate for warranni rever.	Table 3	Dosing of four-factor	prothrombin	complex concentrate	for warfarin revers
--	---------	-----------------------	-------------	---------------------	---------------------

	Sample INR based	dosing		Sample weight a	nd INR based dosing	g*
	INR			INR		
	1.5 to <3	3 to 5	>5	2 to <4	4 to 6	>6
Dose	1000 IU (40 mL)	2000 IU (80 mL)	3000 IU (120 mL)	25 IU/kg	35 IU/kg	50 IU/kg

Abbreviations: INR, international normalized ratio; IU, international units.

Note: *Single doses should not exceed 3000 IU.

Source: Adapted from National Advisory Committee on Blood and Blood Products.³⁹

 Table 4
 Dosing of specific reversal drugs and hemostatic therapies for DOAC-associated bleeding

Dabigatran	Apixaban		Rivaroxaban		Edoxaban	
Idarucizumab ¹¹⁹	Andexanet alfa ¹²⁰		•		•	
$(2 \times 2.5 \text{ g boluses})$	Drug	Last dos	se	Timing of I	ast dose	
within 15 minutes)				<8 hrs or u	unknown	≥8 hrs
Off-label use, follow	Apixaban	≤5 mg		Low dose		Low dose
institutional protocols for dosing		>5 mg c	or unknown	High dose		
and administration if	Rivaroxaban	≤10 mg		Low dose		
available Dosing		>10 mg	or unknown	High dose		
• 50 units/kg (max	Edoxaban*	≤30 mg		Low dose		
 If unknown weight 		>30 mg	or unknown	High dose		
give 2000 IU 4F-PCC • Off-label use, follow institutional protocols for dosing and administration if available • Dosing • 2000 units <u>OR</u> • 25–50 units/kg (max 3000 IU)	High dose: Initial in (8 mg/min for 120 n Low dose: Initial int (4 mg/min for 120 n *Off-label use, follow 4F-PCC • Off-label use, follow • Dosing • 2000 units <u>OR</u> • 25–50 units/kg (n	travenous hinutes), t ravenous l hinutes), t v institution institution nax 3000 l	bolus 800 mg (targe otal 9 \times 200 mg vials bolus 400 mg (target otal 5 \times 200 mg vials onal protocols for do nal protocols for dos U)	et rate 30 mg/ 5 (4 vials bolu t rate 30 mg/r 5 (2 vials bolu sing and admi ing and admi	min) then infusions and 5 vials infu nin) then infusions and 3 vials infu inistration if avait nistration if avaita	on of 960mg Ision). n of 480mg Ision). Iable

Abbreviations: 4F-PCC, four-factor prothrombin complex concentrate; DOAC, direct oral anticoagulant.

levels decreased, and 68% of participants achieved hemostasis within 24 hours according to pre-specified criteria. At 90 days, thrombotic events occurred in 6% of participants and 73% of those treated for bleeding had resumed antithrombotic therapy.

Coagulation Factor Concentrates

Data supporting the use of PCC or activated PCC for dabigatran-related bleeding are limited and conflicting, stemming from animal studies, in vitro studies, and small single-arm cohort studies.⁶⁹ Consequently, these agents are generally reserved for cases of severe dabigatran-related bleeding when idarucizumab is unavailable.

Other Reversal Strategies

Hemodialysis can reduce dabigatran serum concentration, but its use is limited by logistical challenges in initiating dialysis and treatment delays during acute severe bleeding.⁷⁰ Although not the primary choice for reversal, it may be considered as an adjunctive treatment for severe or refractory bleeding associated with reduced dabigatran clearance (e.g., acute kidney injury).

Factor Xa Inhibitors (Rivaroxaban, Apixaban, Edoxaban)

Specific Reversal of Factor Xa Inhibitors

Andexanet alfa is a synthetic, inactive factor Xa molecule designed as a decoy to specifically counteract the anticoagulant effects of factor Xa inhibitors (**-Table 4**).⁷¹ It is approved in many countries for the management of major bleeding in patients receiving apixaban or rivaroxaban. Andexanet rapidly reduces anti-Xa activity, the measure of anticoagulant effect, and enhances endogenous thrombin potential in individuals receiving factor Xa inhibitors.⁷² The ANNEXA-4 study was a single-arm prospective registration study that assessed andexanet alfa for acute major bleeding events within 18 hours of taking apixaban, rivaroxaban, edoxaban,

or enoxaparin. Andexanet was administered via a short infusion (15 to 30 minutes) followed by a 2-hour infusion, with the dosage based on the type and dose of factor Xa inhibitor and time since the last ingestion.³⁰ Following andexanet treatment, there was >90% reduction in antifactor Xa activity and 82% of the participants showed excellent or good hemostasis within 12 hours (as evaluated using pre-specified bleed site–specific criteria by an independent adjudication committee) and 10% experienced thromboembolism within 30 days.⁷³ Like the RE-VERSE AD study, a notable limitation is the absence of a control group.

In the ANNEXA-I trial, individuals experiencing acute intracranial hemorrhage within 6 hours of symptom onset and 15 hours after their last dose of apixaban, edoxaban, or rivaroxaban were randomly assigned to and exanet or usual care (PCC included in 86%).⁷⁴ On stopping the trial early for efficacy based on a pre-planned interim analysis, a larger percentage of patients treated with and excellent or good hemostatic efficacy (63.9% vs. 52.4%), and fewer experienced hematoma increase of \geq 12.5 mL (11.6% vs. 19.0%). At 30 days, the rates of mortality (27.8% vs. 25.5%) and favorable functional status among survivors (modified Rankin Scale score \leq 3; 28.0% vs. 30.9%) were not significantly different. Thromboembolic events occurred more often in the and exanet group (10.3% vs. 5.6%), particularly ischemic stroke (6.5% vs. 1.5%). Notable methodological limitations include the open-label design, lack of standardized treatment within the usual care group could bias co-intervention use, and short duration of follow-up for assessment of functional status improvements which are generally evaluated at 6 or 12 months to coincide with expected timeframe for hematoma resolution. Consistent with existing literature regarding high-risk bleed characteristics after OAC-related intracerebral hemorrhage, sub-analyses of ANNEXA-I suggest that and exanet may have greater net benefit for hematoma expansion among patients who present earlier from symptom onset, have rapidly expanding hematomas, and have no history of thromboembolic events but additional data are needed.75-79

In observational studies of patients with bleeding managed in routine clinical practice, those treated with andexanet may experience lower mortality during hospitalization and within 30 days of bleeding compared with those treated with 4F-PCC.^{80,81} However, these nonrandomized comparative studies are limited by the likelihood of selection bias, retrospective design, and inadequate adjustment for patient baseline characteristics that affect prognosis.

Nonspecific Hemostatic Therapies

4F-PCC is used to manage acute severe bleeding in the absence of specific reversal agents for factor Xa inhibitors. Although 4F-PCC does not reverse anticoagulant effect as measured by factor Xa activity, it may aid hemostasis by overcoming anticoagulant effect to support thrombin generation.⁸² The effect of 4F-PCC on laboratory indices varies depending on the assay type, the factor Xa inhibitor, and the plasma DOAC concentration.^{69,83} The optimal dose of 4F-PCC

for bleeding related to factor Xa inhibitors is uncertain, with fixed doses of 1500 to 2000 units or weight-based doses of 25 to 50 units/kg reported (**Table 4**).⁸⁴ In single-arm observational studies conducted in routine clinical practice, up to 85% of patients treated with 4F-PCC for major bleeding on factor Xa inhibitors achieved hemostasis as defined primarily by modified criteria from the ISTH and up to 8% experienced thrombotic events.³³⁻³⁵ In a recent population-based, propensity score-weighted retrospective cohort study of patients with DOAC-related intracranial hemorrhage, neurological recovery (aOR 0.62; 95% CI 0.33-1.16), mortality at 90 days (aOR 1.03; 95% CI 0.70–1.53; p=0.88), in-hospital mortality (aOR 1.11; 95% CI 0.69–1.79; p = 0.66), and reduced hematoma expansion (aOR 0.94; 95% CI 0.38-2.31; p = 0.90) were similar between patients who received 4F-PCC and those who were managed conservatively without hemostatic therapy.⁸⁵

Considerations for Use of Andexanet or 4F-PCC

The choice between andexanet or 4F-PCC is individualized based on bleed severity and underlying thromboembolic risk ideally using standardized institutional protocols adapted for local context. In the only randomized trial against usual care (86% PCC use), and exanet was more effective at restoring hemostasis in patients with intracranial hemorrhage which is supported by its effects on anti-Xa activity and thrombin generation. However, the risk of arterial thrombotic events, including myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke, was increased and there was no improvement in mortality or functional status, highlighting equipoise regarding its broad use. Andexanet may be favored among individuals with life-threatening bleeding who have no history of thromboembolic events. For patients with intracranial hemorrhage, the presence of high hematoma expansion rates and earlier presentations may favor and exanet use based on preliminary data.75

Step 5: Restart Anticoagulation after Bleeding

Patients experiencing severe bleeding are at risk of thromboembolic complications, influenced by factors such as underlying prothrombotic conditions, activation of hemostasis, withdrawal of anticoagulation, administration of reversal and/or hemostatic therapies, surgery, and hospitalization. Thrombotic events are observed in 4 to 10% of patients within 30 days following DOAC-related bleeding.^{33-35,68,73} Comparable rates are noted in patients treated with 4F-PCC or plasma for warfarin-related bleeding (6 to 8%).⁶¹ Restarting antithrombotic therapy mitigates thromboembolic risk in this setting. For example, the ANNEXA-4 study found no thromboembolic events among participants who resumed antithrombotic therapy, underscoring the importance of evaluating anticoagulation post bleeding cessation.⁸⁶ In a population cohort study of adults >65 years of age hospitalized for anticoagulant-related bleeding, those who resumed anticoagulation 3 months after the index bleed had a lower thrombosis rate (hazard ratio [HR] 0.62; 95% CI 0.50–0.72) but higher bleeding rate (HR 1.88; 95% CI 1.64–2.17) over the subsequent year.⁸⁷

Decisions on restarting anticoagulation after bleeding are challenging due to limited data, the majority of which are derived from warfarin-treated cohorts. The optimal timing for resuming anticoagulation remains unknown. Anticoagulation is permanently discontinued in up to 50% of patients with gastrointestinal bleeding and 70% with intracranial bleeding.^{87–91}

There is no universal anticoagulation resumption strategy that applies to all bleeds or patients, highlighting the importance of eliciting and understanding patient/caregiver preferences, and seeking multidisciplinary input. The decision to resume anticoagulation involves confirming an indication for ongoing anticoagulation (type of and clinical consequences of thrombosis), weighing the benefits and harms based on rebleeding and thrombosis risks, and considering the site of bleeding (and the availability of definitive treatments). Some conditions for which ongoing OAC therapy should be reevaluated include: (i) non-valvular AF with low CHADS₂ score of 0, (ii) first-time provoked VTE more than 3 months ago, (iii) bioprosthetic heart valve without AF >3 months ago, or (iv) a temporary indication for OAC (e.g., post-surgical VTE prophylaxis).³⁸

In general, for conditions associated with a very high thrombotic risk (>10%/year risk of VTE or arterial thromboembolism), the balance of rebleeding and thrombosis may favor early re-initiation of OAC, recognizing that rebleeding results in prolonged OAC interruption that may increase thrombotic risk further. These conditions include, but are not limited to, mechanical heart valve, high-risk AF (e.g., valvular AF, high CHADS₂ score of \geq 5, or AF associated with an ischemic stroke/transient ischemic attack [TIA] within 3 months), VTE within 3 months, intracardiac thrombosis, or a prior thromboembolic event associated with interruption of anticoagulation.³⁸ The optimal strategy (i.e., drug and dose) is unknown and depends on the site and etiology of bleeding which inform the shortterm risk of rebleeding and its consequences. Although there is limited evidence to guide practice, prophylactic intensity anticoagulation (either oral or parenteral) can be considered in this setting once deemed safe to do after bleed cessation followed by titration to therapeutic intensity with close monitoring. For cases with unacceptably high bleeding risk (e.g., cerebral amyloid angiopathy), nonpharmacological alternatives like left atrial appendage occlusion for AF may be appropriate.

Patient/caregiver engagement is essential prior to restarting anticoagulation, especially when there is a substantial risk of rebleeding. Discussions should outline the expected benefit of restarting anticoagulation in terms of reducing thromboembolic risk, the potential harms of rebleeding, and education about clinical signs of bleeding.

Gastrointestinal Bleeding

In a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies, individuals who resumed anticoagulation had a 70% lower risk of thrombosis (RR 0.30; 95% Cl 0.13–0.68) but a 2–

fold higher risk of rebleeding (RR 1.91; 95% CI 1.47-2.48).89 Similarly, a population-based cohort study demonstrated almost 50% lower rate of thrombosis (HR 0.56; 95% CI 0.44–0.71) and a 2-fold higher rate of rebleeding (HR 2.02: 95% CI 1.69-2.40) among those who resumed anticoagulation compared with those who did not.⁸⁷ A recent multicenter retrospective cohort study of 948 patients hospitalized with GI bleeding (418 [44%] of whom were on a DOAC prior to the index bleeding event) showed that patients who resumed anticoagulation had a higher risk of clinically relevant bleeding (HR 1.55: 95% CI 1.08-2.22), lower risk of thromboembolism (HR 0.34; 95% CI 0.21-0.55), and lower risk of death (HR 0.50; 95% CI 0.36-0.68). Patients who underwent endoscopy were at lower risk of recurrent major bleeding (HR 0.69; 95% CI 0.39-0.94), whereas the timing of anticoagulation resumption (7, 14, or 21 days after bleeding) did not appear to influence the risk of rebleeding.⁹² Prior studies showed that the highest bleeding rates were observed when warfarin was resumed within 7 days of GI bleeding compared with other time points. A mixed-methods study of physicians highlighted rebleeding risk and thrombosis risk as pivotal factors influencing decisions about resuming anticoagulation after gastrointestinal bleeding.⁹³ Surveyed physicians preferred resuming anticoagulation within 1 to 2 weeks for those at high thrombosis risk, while timing was more variable for those at low thrombosis risk, with many indicating resumption within 4 weeks or not at all.

Intracranial Bleeding

The decision of whether and when to resume anticoagulation after intracranial bleeding is challenging and substantial equipoise exists. The risk of bleed recurrence must be balanced against the need for prevention of future ischemic events and is influenced by underlying etiology as well as individual patient risk factors. Traumatic intracranial bleeding is associated with an overall better prognosis and lower risk of recurrent bleeding after OAC resumption compared with spontaneous hemorrhagic stroke.^{94,95} Limited existing evidence suggests that there may be overall net benefit in long-term all-cause mortality with anticoagulation reinitiation following intracranial bleeding,^{91,96-101} but the ultimate decision is best made on an individual basis with input from specialists in neurology, neurosurgery, and stroke care. A 2017 systematic review and meta-analysis of 5306 patients with nontraumatic intracranial hemorrhage showed a lower risk of arterial thromboembolism (RR 0.34; 95% CI 0.25-0.45) and a comparable risk of rebleeding (RR 1.01; 95% CI 0.58-1.77) among those who resumed anticoagulation compared with those who did not.⁹¹ However, in the 2017 systematic review and meta-analysis, all eight included studies were limited by retrospective observational design, almost all data evaluating only vitamin K antagonists, and likely prognostically important differences between groups at baseline (i.e., selection bias). Observational data from NASPAF-ICH suggest that the overall risk of rebleeding following reinitiation of anticoagulation after intracranial hemorrhage was nonsignificantly lower with non-vitamin K antagonists (NOACs) as compared with vitamin K antagonists (weighted risk ratio

0.72; 95% CI 0.38–1.38).¹⁰⁰ Published randomized trial evidence is also limited by trials that are likely underpowered to detect differences between groups due to small sample size. In the pilot noninferiority open-label SoSTART trial, starting OAC was not noninferior to avoiding OAC for the primary outcome of recurrent bleeding (adjusted HR 2.42; 95% CI 0.72-8.09) with nonstatistically significant (but possibly clinically significant) reduction in any symptomatic major vascular event (adjusted HR 0.51; 95% CI 0.26–1.03).⁹⁷ In the APACHE-AF trial, participants receiving apixaban had a nonstatistically significant (but possibly clinically significant) increase in the risk of intracerebral hemorrhage (adjusted HR 4.08; 95% CI 0.45-36.91) with a similar risk of major occlusive events (adjusted HR 1.05; 95% CI 0.48-2.31).97,101 An individual participant data meta-analysis of 412 patients from four randomized trials (SoSTART, APACHE-AF, NASPAF-ICH, and a subgroup of patients in ELDERCARE-AF) showed that OAC therapy reduced the risk of major ischemic adverse cardiovascular outcomes (HR 0.27; 95% CI 0.13-0.56) compared with antiplatelet monotherapy or no OAC, but effects on the risk of any stroke, cardiovascular death, hemorrhagic events, or functional outcome were uncertain. The ongoing ENRICH-AF randomized trial (NCT03950076) is evaluating edoxaban versus usual care after intracerebral hemorrhage in patients with AF. Based on an early safety report showing a high risk of recurrent hemorrhagic stroke among patients receiving edoxaban with index lobar intracerebral hemorrhage or convexity subarachnoid hemorrhage, the trial data safety monitoring board recommended that edoxaban be discontinued in these patients and that no further patients with these bleed subtypes be enrolled.¹⁰²

The optimal timing of reinitiation following an index intracranial bleed is uncertain for patients for whom the decision is made to restart anticoagulation therapy. One study that examined warfarin restart following intracranial bleeding showed a net benefit (time point with the lowest rate of bleeding, thrombosis, and death following index bleed) at 7 to 8 weeks, while another study showed this time point to be at 10 to 30 weeks.^{103,104} More recent data from a 2022 systematic review and meta-analysis showed a net benefit with anticoagulation restart as early as 2 to 4 weeks following index bleed.¹⁰⁵ A small retrospective observational study of mechanical heart valve patients with intracranial bleeding showed no difference in composite endpoint of symptomatic intracranial hematoma expansion, new intracranial bleed, incident acute ischemic stroke, or diagnosis of intracardiac thrombus among patients who restarted anticoagulation within 7 days (early restart group) versus waiting 7 to 30 days after intracranial bleed (late restart group) (HR 1.1; 95% CI 0.2-6.0).¹⁰⁶ Overall, there is significant variability in study findings and practice patterns regarding timing of anticoagulation reinitiation following intracranial bleeding.¹⁰⁷ Although there is no consensus, observational data show that, when indicated, antithrombotic therapy (including anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapy) is restarted most frequently within 3 to 6 months following intracranial hemorrhage.⁹⁸ Recent guidelines suggest resumption within 7 to 8 weeks is

reasonable in patients for whom the benefit of treatment likely outweighs the harms and that alternative treatments should be considered as appropriate (e.g., left atrial appendage occlusion for patients with AF).¹⁰⁸

Step 6: Prevent Future Bleeding

In keeping with principles of anticoagulant stewardship, long-term anticoagulant management necessitates regular follow-up, with a specific focus on mitigating bleeding risk by monitoring and addressing risk factors for bleeding.¹⁰⁹ Apart from measures to reduce the risk after specific bleeding events (such as administering proton pump inhibitors following peptic ulcer-related bleeds, or managing hypertension following intracerebral hemorrhage), general strategies to monitor/mitigate nonmodifiable risk factors and address modifiable ones can enhance safety. This encompasses ensuring the appropriateness of the drug and its dosage for the clinical indication and the patient's characteristics (e.g., age, weight) and existing health conditions (e.g., chronic kidney or liver disease) (>Table 1). Regular medication reviews can identify both pharmacodynamic interactions (e.g., interactions with antiplatelet therapies or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs [NSAIDs]) and pharmacokinetic drug-drug interactions (e.g., substances that induce or inhibit CYP3A4 and/or P-gp, the enzymes responsible for the metabolism of DOACs).^{110,111} It is crucial to carefully reassess indications for concurrent antiplatelet therapy, a modifiable risk factor for bleeding.^{112,113} Furthermore, a comprehensive review of herbal supplements is recommended to discontinue those that may interfere with platelet function.^{114,115} Notable drug-drug interactions are summarized in **-Table 5**. Online tools can facilitate longitudinal assessments and documentation with quick reference checklists (https://thrombosiscanada.ca/hcp/practice/clinical_tools?calc=vivomap329).

Case Resolution

Case 1 (Intracranial Hemorrhage)

The initial goals of treatment for acute, spontaneous intracranial hemorrhage are to stabilize the patient's airway, breathing, and circulation, to avoid secondary neurologic insults (i.e., hypoxia, hypotension, hyperthermia, hypo- or hyperglycemia, hypercarbia), to assess the need for urgent surgery, to manage complications of bleeding (e.g., seizures), and to prevent hematoma expansion. Urgent neurosurgical consultation is required. This patient likely has clinically significant hemostatic impairment from rivaroxaban given that his last dose was taken 3 hours ago. A drug-specific anti-Xa assay can quantify the rivaroxaban drug level, but treatment should not be delayed pending results of this test. Rather, and exanet alfa (high dose regimen of 800 mg infusion followed by 960 mg infusion over 2 hours) or 4F-PCC 2000 IU (or up to 50 units/kg as per institutional guidelines) should be administered urgently depending on local institutional practice and drug availability. After hospital discharge, the risks and benefits of anticoagulation for secondary

	Dabigatran	Edoxaban	Apixaban	Rivaroxaban
Mechanism	P-glycoprotein inhibition or induction		Combined cytochrome P450 3A4 and induction	d P-glycoprotein inhibition or
Drugs that increase DOAC concentration (contraindicated)	Dronedarone glecaprevir/pibrentasvir, ketoconazole	Dronedarone, glecaprevir/pibrentasvir, ketoconazole	Ketoconazole, itraconazole, voriconazole, posaconazole	Ketoconazole, itraconazole, posaconazole, protease inhibitor (ritonavir), cobicistat, dronedarone
Drugs that may increase DOAC concentration	Amiodarone, cyclosporine, itraconazole, posaconazole, protease inhibitor (e.g. ritonavir), saquinavir, tacrolimus, tipranavir, quinidine, ticagrelor, verapamil	Amiodarone, cyclosporine, dronedarone, clarithromycin, erythromycin, itraconazole, posaconazole, ketoconazole, quinidine, verapamil HIV protease inhibitors (e.g., ritonavir)	Erythromycin, verapamil, diltiazem, naproxen, clarithromycin, protease inhibitor (e.g., ritonavir)	Erythromycin, clarithromycin, diltiazem, verapamil
Drugs that decrease DOAC concentrations	Rifampin, phenytoin, carbamazepine, phenobarbital	Rifampin, phenytoin, carbamazepine, phenobarbital	Rifampin, phenytoin, carbamazepine, phenobarbital	Rifampin, phenytoin, carbamazepine, phenobarbital
Pharmacodynamic interactions that may increase bleed risk	Antiplatelets (ASA and P2Y12 inhibit	ors), glucocorticoids, NSAIDs, prostacy	yclin analogs, SNRIs*, SSRIs*	
Herbal supplements that decrease DOAC concentrations	St John's Wort	St John's Wort	St John's Wort	St John's Wort
Herbal supplements that may increase risk of bleeding	Bilberry, bromelain, cat's claw, cinnar turmeric, white willow	mon, <i>Cordyceps</i> , danshen, dong quai, fe	:verfew, flaxseed, garlic, ginger, G <i>inkgo</i>	, ginseng, melatonin, saw palmetto,
Abbreviations: ASA, acetylsalicylic	acid; DOAC, direct oral anticoagulant; NSAIE	Ds, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; SN	VRIs, serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inh	ibitors; SSRIs, selective serotonin reuptake

Table 5 Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic interactions with DOACs

Thrombosis and Haemostasis © 2024. The Author(s).

prevention of VTE should be reassessed. If this patient is eventually restarted on rivaroxaban, his blood pressure should be monitored closely in follow-up to reduce the risk of recurrent intracranial hemorrhage, and it may be reasonable to reduce the dose of rivaroxaban to 10 mg daily.

Case 2 (Gastrointestinal Bleed)

This 68-year-old female has subacute gastrointestinal bleeding leading to anemia. Anticoagulation should be withheld, and supportive care initiated. Close inpatient observation is recommended, and idarucizumab should be administered for lifethreatening bleeding.¹¹⁶ TXA has not been shown to reduce the risk of death from acute gastrointestinal bleeding and appears to increase the risk of VTE when administered in high doses; therefore, its routine use is not recommended but may be considered on an individual basis.⁴³ Anticoagulation should be resumed with gastroenterology input and consideration of the etiology of bleeding, whether definitive hemostatic interventions were performed, and the risk and consequences of rebleeding (including whether future bleeding is likely to be amenable to definitive intervention). Patients treated with concomitant dabigatran and NSAIDs are at 2-fold higher risk of major bleeding compared with patients taking dabigatran alone.¹¹⁷ Therefore, ibuprofen should be discontinued in favor of an analgesic that does not disrupt the gastrointestinal barrier or impair hemostasis (e.g., acetaminophen).

Case 3 (Epistaxis)

This patient has nonsevere epistaxis which fulfills criteria for clinically relevant nonmajor bleeding as proposed by the ISTH for use in clinical research (bleeding that prompts faceto-face evaluation or medical intervention by a healthcare professional, or leads to hospitalization or an increased level of care but is not life- or limb-threatening).⁴⁰ Treatment may include topical vasoconstriction and/or nasal packing, and referral to otolaryngology is warranted for refractory or recurrent bleeding for definitive intervention. Depending on the degree of epistaxis and source control, anticoagulation with apixaban can either be continued or temporarily interrupted. If anticoagulation is interrupted, it should be resumed as soon as possible after the bleeding has stopped because the patient has a high risk of stroke or systemic embolism (AF; CHADS₂ score of 5). Given the patient's elderly age of 87 years, the dose of apixaban should be reduced to 2.5 mg twice daily if his body weight is less than 60 kg and/or creatinine exceeds 133 umol/L.⁵¹

Conclusions

Severe bleeding complications associated with OAC use are associated with significant short-term and long-term adverse consequences including mortality and impaired quality of life. A protocolized, multidisciplinary approach to bleeding is needed to (i) rapidly identify patients with severe bleeding, (ii) optimize delivery of supportive measures, (iii) apply definitive treatments, and (iv) administer anticoagulant reversal or hemostatic therapies judiciously for patients most likely to benefit. Our guidance summarizes the latest evidence within a practical framework with key management considerations (i.e., rapid identification and treatment, considerations for restarting anticoagulation, engagement of patients/caregivers and multidisciplinary team, considerations for secondary prevention). With a corresponding algorithm that includes quick reference information for use at the point of care (e.g., coagulation tests and reversal/ hemostatic therapies) and representative clinical cases, this guidance will support practicing clinicians to provide high-quality, multidisciplinary, holistic care for patients with severe bleeding complications related to anticoagulant therapies to improve outcomes and mitigate the potential harms of these effective treatments.

Funding

Thrombosis Canada received an unrestricted educational grant from AstraZeneca to develop this algorithm. The funding source had no role in the design, content, writing, editing, or decision to submit this manuscript for publication.

Conflict of Interest

All authors received an honorarium from Thrombosis Canada for participation in the development of this manuscript. In the last 36 months, M.K. has received Personal Funding, including but not limited to preparation of educational material, participation in Advisory Boards, or providing expert testimony for Bayer, AstraZeneca, Pfizer, Hemostasis Reference Laboratories, Syneos Health, and Eversana. He has participated in various medicolegal activities relating to thrombosis, anticoagulant drugs, or other aspects of internal medicine and hematological practice. He has also worked with multiple for-profit and not-for-profit entities such as UpToDate and medical communication companies. He holds the Leo Pharma Chair in Thromboembolism, endowed at the McMaster University. D.M.S. has received honoraria paid indirectly to her institution from AstraZeneca, BMS-Pfizer, Roche, and Servier. She is supported by a Tier 2 Canada Research Chair in Anticoagulant Management of Cardiovascular Disease and is the secretary of Thrombosis Canada. S.M. receives authorship royalties from UpToDate. L.C. has received honoraria for speaking engagement from Bayer, Insara, and Medscape, is the Vice President of Thrombosis Canada, and the Chair of World Thrombosis Day. D.D. has received a consulting fee from AstraZeneca and has a patent pending for CARL (contrast leakage detection on CT). K.W. is the Research Committee Chair for the Canadian Association of Emergency Physicians Research Committee, the Vice-Chair for Thrombosis Canada's Patient and Family Committee, and a Committee Chair of the Predictive and Diagnostic Variables in Thrombotic Disease Scientific Standardization Committee for the International Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis. K.L. has nothing to disclose. N.F. has received consulting fees and honoraria for speaker engagements for Boston Science and Pentax Medical, is a member Standards of Practice Committee for the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy and the Clinical Guidelines Committee for the Canadian Association of Gastroenterologists. T.B. has received an honorarium for attending an advisory board meeting for Pfizer, and is Chair of Thrombosis Canada's Patient and Family Committee for Thrombosis Canada.

References

- Weitz JI, Semchuk W, Turpie AG, et al. Trends in prescribing oral anticoagulants in Canada, 2008–2014. Clin Ther 2015;37(11): 2506–2514.e4
- 2 Kirley K, Qato DM, Kornfield R, Stafford RS, Alexander GC. National trends in oral anticoagulant use in the United States, 2007 to 2011. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2012;5(05): 615–621
- ³ Ohlrogge AH, Brederecke J, Schnabel RB. Global burden of atrial fibrillation and flutter by national income: results from the Global Burden of Disease 2019 database. J Am Heart Assoc 2023;12(17):e030438
- 4 Risk factors for stroke and efficacy of antithrombotic therapy in atrial fibrillation. Analysis of pooled data from five randomized controlled trials. Arch Intern Med 1994;154(13):1449–1457
- 5 Heit JA. Epidemiology of venous thromboembolism. Nat Rev Cardiol 2015;12(08):464–474
- 6 Budnitz DS, Lovegrove MC, Shehab N, Richards CL. Emergency hospitalizations for adverse drug events in older Americans. N Engl J Med 2011;365(21):2002–2012
- 7 Bayoumi I, Dolovich L, Hutchison B, Holbrook A. Medicationrelated emergency department visits and hospitalizations among older adults. Can Fam Physician 2014;60(04):e217–e222
- 8 Ruff CT, Giugliano RP, Braunwald E, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of new oral anticoagulants with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis of randomised trials. Lancet 2014;383(9921):955–962
- 9 Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, et al; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2016 ESC guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. Eur Heart J 2016; 37(38):2893–2962
- 10 Ferrazzini E, Méan M, Stalder O, Limacher A, Rodondi N, Aujesky D. Incidence and clinical impact of bleeding events in older patients with acute venous thromboembolism. Blood Adv 2023; 7(02):205–213
- 11 Hlavacek P, Guo JD, Rosenblatt L, et al. Safety, effectiveness, and health care cost comparisons among elderly patients with venous thromboembolism prescribed warfarin or apixaban in the United States Medicare population. Curr Med Res Opin 2019; 35(12):2043–2051
- 12 Linkins LA, Choi PT, Douketis JD. Clinical impact of bleeding in patients taking oral anticoagulant therapy for venous thromboembolism: a meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 2003;139(11):893–900
- 13 Aryal MR, Gosain R, Donato A, et al. Systematic review and metaanalysis of the efficacy and safety of apixaban compared to rivaroxaban in acute VTE in the real world. Blood Adv 2019;3 (15):2381–2387
- 14 Sindet-Pedersen C, Staerk L, Pallisgaard JL, et al. Safety and effectiveness of rivaroxaban and apixaban in patients with venous thromboembolism: a nationwide study. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother 2018;4(04):220–227
- 15 Catella J, Bertoletti L, Moustafa F, et al; RIETE Investigators. Major gastrointestinal bleeding in patients receiving anticoagulant therapy for venous thromboembolism. Thromb Res 2022; 214:29–36
- 16 Dawwas GK, Leonard CE, Lewis JD, Cuker A. Risk for recurrent venous thromboembolism and bleeding with apixaban compared with rivaroxaban: an analysis of real-world data. Ann Intern Med 2022;175(01):20–28

- 17 Schulman S, Kakkar AK, Goldhaber SZ, et al; RE-COVER II Trial Investigators. Treatment of acute venous thromboembolism with dabigatran or warfarin and pooled analysis. Circulation 2014;129(07):764–772
- 18 Schulman S, Kearon C, Kakkar AK, et al; RE-MEDY Trial Investigators RE-SONATE Trial Investigators. Extended use of dabigatran, warfarin, or placebo in venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2013;368(08):709–718
- 19 Büller HR, Décousus H, Grosso MA, et al; Hokusai-VTE Investigators. Edoxaban versus warfarin for the treatment of symptomatic venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2013;369(15): 1406–1415
- 20 Prins MH, Lensing AW, Bauersachs R, et al; EINSTEIN Investigators. Oral rivaroxaban versus standard therapy for the treatment of symptomatic venous thromboembolism: a pooled analysis of the EINSTEIN-DVT and PE randomized studies. Thromb J 2013;11(01):21
- 21 Raskob G, Büller H, Prins M, et al; Hokusai-VTE Investigators. Edoxaban for the long-term treatment of venous thromboembolism: rationale and design of the Hokusai-venous thromboembolism study—methodological implications for clinical trials. J Thromb Haemost 2013;11(07):1287–1294
- 22 Wells PS, Prins MH, Levitan B, et al. Long-term anticoagulation with rivaroxaban for preventing recurrent VTE: a benefitrisk analysis of EINSTEIN-Extension. Chest 2016;150(05): 1059–1068
- 23 Agnelli G, Becattini C, Meyer G, et al; Caravaggio Investigators. Apixaban for the treatment of venous thromboembolism associated with cancer. N Engl J Med 2020;382(17):1599–1607
- 24 Agnelli G, Buller HR, Cohen A, et al; AMPLIFY Investigators. Oral apixaban for the treatment of acute venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2013;369(09):799–808
- 25 Agnelli G, Buller HR, Cohen A, et al; AMPLIFY-EXT Investigators. Apixaban for extended treatment of venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2013;368(08):699–708
- 26 Young AM, Marshall A, Thirlwall J, et al. Comparison of an oral factor Xa inhibitor with low molecular weight heparin in patients with cancer with venous thromboembolism: results of a randomized trial (SELECT-D). J Clin Oncol 2018;36(20): 2017–2023
- 27 Bahit MC, Lopes RD, Wojdyla DM, et al. Non-major bleeding with apixaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. Heart 2017;103(08):623–628
- 28 Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Garg J, et al; ROCKET AF Investigators. Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2011;365(10):883–891
- 29 Giugliano RP, Ruff CT, Braunwald E, et al; ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 Investigators. Edoxaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2013;369(22):2093–2104
- 30 Connolly SJ, Crowther M, Eikelboom JW, et al; ANNEXA-4 Investigators. Full study report of andexanet alfa for bleeding associated with factor Xa inhibitors. N Engl J Med 2019;380(14): 1326–1335
- 31 Pollack CV Jr, Reilly PA, Weitz JI. Dabigatran reversal with idarucizumab. N Engl J Med 2017;377(17):1691–1692
- 32 Held C, Hylek EM, Alexander JH, et al. Clinical outcomes and management associated with major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation treated with apixaban or warfarin: insights from the ARISTOTLE trial. Eur Heart J 2015;36(20):1264–1272
- 33 Schulman S, Gross PL, Ritchie B, et al; Study Investigators. Prothrombin complex concentrate for major bleeding on factor Xa inhibitors: a prospective cohort study. Thromb Haemost 2018;118(05):842–851
- 34 Majeed A, Ågren A, Holmström M, et al. Management of rivaroxaban- or apixaban-associated major bleeding with prothrombin complex concentrates: a cohort study. Blood 2017;130(15): 1706–1712

- 35 Gómez-Outes A, Alcubilla P, Calvo-Rojas G, et al. Meta-analysis of reversal agents for severe bleeding associated with direct oral anticoagulants. J Am Coll Cardiol 2021;77(24):2987–3001
- 36 Witt DM, Nieuwlaat R, Clark NP, et al. American Society of Hematology 2018 guidelines for management of venous thromboembolism: optimal management of anticoagulation therapy. Blood Adv 2018;2(22):3257–3291
- 37 Levy JH, Shaw JR, Castellucci LA, et al. Reversal of direct oral anticoagulants: guidance from the SSC of the ISTH. J Thromb Haemost 2024;22(10):2889–2899
- 38 Tomaselli GF, Mahaffey KW, Cuker A, et al. 2020 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway on management of bleeding in patients on oral anticoagulants: a report of the American College of Cardiology Solution Set Oversight Committee. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;76(05):594–622
- 39 National Advisory Committee on Blood and Blood Products. Recommendations for Use of Prothrombin Complex Concentrates in Canada. Published 2022. Accessed July 16, 2024 at: https://nacblood.ca/en/resource/recommendations-use-prothrombin-complex-concentrates-canada
- 40 Kaatz S, Ahmad D, Spyropoulos AC, Schulman SSubcommittee on Control of Anticoagulation. Definition of clinically relevant nonmajor bleeding in studies of anticoagulants in atrial fibrillation and venous thromboembolic disease in non-surgical patients: communication from the SSC of the ISTH. J Thromb Haemost 2015;13(11):2119–2126
- 41 McGee S, Abernethy WB III, Simel DL. The rational clinical examination. Is this patient hypovolemic? JAMA 1999;281 (11):1022–1029
- 42 Chornenki NLJ, Um KJ, Mendoza PA, et al. Risk of venous and arterial thrombosis in non-surgical patients receiving systemic tranexamic acid: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Thromb Res 2019;179:81–86
- 43 Collaborators H-ITHALT-IT Trial Collaborators. Effects of a highdose 24-h infusion of tranexamic acid on death and thromboembolic events in patients with acute gastrointestinal bleeding (HALT-IT): an international randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. Lancet 2020;395(10241):1927–1936
- 44 Shakur H, Roberts I, Bautista R, et al; CRASH-2 Trial Collaborators. Effects of tranexamic acid on death, vascular occlusive events, and blood transfusion in trauma patients with significant haemorrhage (CRASH-2): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2010;376(9734):23–32
- 45 CRASH-3 trial collaborators. Effects of tranexamic acid on death, disability, vascular occlusive events and other morbidities in patients with acute traumatic brain injury (CRASH-3): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2019;394 (10210):1713-1723
- 46 Sprigg N, Flaherty K, Appleton JP, et al; TICH-2 Investigators. Tranexamic acid for hyperacute primary IntraCerebral Haemorrhage (TICH-2): an international randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 superiority trial. Lancet 2018;391 (10135):2107–2115
- 47 Polymeris AA, Karwacki GM, Siepen BM, et al; TICH-NOAC Investigators. Tranexamic acid for intracerebral hemorrhage in patients on non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants (TICH-NOAC): a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. Stroke 2023;54(09):2223–2234
- 48 Roberts HR, Monroe DM, White GC. The use of recombinant factor VIIa in the treatment of bleeding disorders. Blood 2004; 104(13):3858–3864
- 49 Telgt DS, Macik BG, McCord DM, Monroe DM, Roberts HR. Mechanism by which recombinant factor VIIa shortens the aPTT: activation of factor X in the absence of tissue factor. Thromb Res 1989;56(05):603–609
- 50 O'Connell KA, Wood JJ, Wise RP, Lozier JN, Braun MM. Thromboembolic adverse events after use of recombinant human coagulation factor VIIa. JAMA 2006;295(03):293–298

- 51 Pfizer. Eliquis Product Monograph. Published 2015. Accessed October 12, 2015 at: https://www.bms.com/assets/bms/ca/ documents/productmonograph/ELIQUIS_EN_PM.pdf
- 52 Bayer PHarma AG. Xarelto Product Monograph. Published 2015. Accessed October 12, 2015 at: https://www.bayer.com/sites/ default/files/2020-11/xarelto-pm-en.pdf
- 53 Servier Canada Inc. Lixiana Product Monograph. Published 2024. Accessed July 16, 2024 at: https://servier.ca/wp-content/ uploads/sites/24/2022/11/Product-monograph-LIXIANA.pdf? time=1704916575
- 54 Cuker A, Siegal DM, Crowther MA, Garcia DA. Laboratory measurement of the anticoagulant activity of the non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;64(11):1128–1139
- 55 Samuelson BT, Cuker A, Siegal DM, Crowther M, Garcia DA. Laboratory assessment of the anticoagulant activity of direct oral anticoagulants: a systematic review. Chest 2017;151(01): 127–138
- 56 Mithoowani S, Moffat KA, Gupta A, Carlino SA, Crowther MA. Low molecular weight heparin anti-Xa assays can identify patients with clinically important apixaban and rivaroxaban drug levels. Thromb Res 2022;215:1–4
- 57 Rimsans J, Douxfils J, Smythe MA, et al. Overview and practical application of coagulation assays in managing anticoagulation with direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs). Curr Pharmacol Rep 2020;6:241–259
- 58 Dentali F, Marchesi C, Giorgi Pierfranceschi M, et al. Safety of prothrombin complex concentrates for rapid anticoagulation reversal of vitamin K antagonists. A meta-analysis. Thromb Haemost 2011;106(03):429–438
- 59 Pandey S, Vyas GN. Adverse effects of plasma transfusion. Transfusion 2012;52(Suppl 1):65S–79S
- 60 Bloody Easy 5.1: Blood Transfusions, Blood Alternatives and Transfusion Reactions. A Guide to Transfusion Medicine, fifth Edition Handbook. Published 2023. Accessed July 16, 2024 at: https://transfusionontario.org/en/bloody-easy-5-blood-transfusions-blood-alternatives-and-transfusion-reactions-a-guide-totransfusion-medicine-fifth-edition-handbook/
- 61 Sarode R, Milling TJ Jr, Refaai MA, et al. Efficacy and safety of a 4factor prothrombin complex concentrate in patients on vitamin K antagonists presenting with major bleeding: a randomized, plasmacontrolled, phase IIIb study. Circulation 2013;128(11):1234–1243
- 62 Witt DM, Nieuwlaat R, Clark NP, et al. American Society of Hematology 2018 guidelines for management of venous thromboembolism: optimal management of anticoagulation therapy. Blood Adv 2018;2(22):3257–3291
- 63 Ageno W, Gallus AS, Wittkowsky A, Crowther M, Hylek EM, Palareti G. Oral Anticoagulant Therapy: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest 2012;141(02):e44S–e88S
- 64 Product Monograph: Octaplex. Published 2017. Accessed July 16, 2024 at: https://pdf.hres.ca/dpd_pm/00041970.PDF
- 65 KCentra Prescribing Information. Published 2023. Accessed July 16, 2024 at: https://labeling.cslbehring.com/PI/US/Kcentra/EN/Kcentra-Prescribing-Information.pdf
- 66 Schiele F, van Ryn J, Canada K, et al. A specific antidote for dabigatran: functional and structural characterization. Blood 2013;121(18):3554–3562
- 67 Eikelboom JW, Quinlan DJ, van Ryn J, Weitz JI. Idarucizumab. Circulation 2015;132(25):2412–2422
- 68 Pollack CV Jr, Reilly PA, Eikelboom J, et al. Idarucizumab for dabigatran reversal. N Engl J Med 2015;373(06):511–520
- 69 Shaw JR, Siegal DM. Pharmacological reversal of the direct oral anticoagulants—a comprehensive review of the literature. Res Pract Thromb Haemost 2018;2(02):251–265
- 70 Kim B, Garcia DA. What is the role of hemodialysis for dabigatran-associated major bleeding? Hematology (Am Soc Hematol Educ Program) 2014;2014(01):514–517

- 71 Lu G, DeGuzman FR, Hollenbach SJ, et al. A specific antidote for reversal of anticoagulation by direct and indirect inhibitors of coagulation factor Xa. Nat Med 2013;19(04):446–451
- 72 Siegal DM, Curnutte JT, Connolly SJ, et al. Andexanet alfa for the reversal of factor Xa inhibitor activity. N Engl J Med 2015;373 (25):2413–2424
- 73 Milling TJ Jr, Middeldorp S, Xu L, et al; ANNEXA-4 Investigators. Final study report of andexanet alfa for major bleeding with factor Xa inhibitors. Circulation 2023;147(13):1026–1038
- 74 Connolly SJ, Sharma M, Cohen AT, et al; ANNEXA-I Investigators. Andexanet for factor Xa inhibitor-associated acute intracerebral hemorrhage. N Engl J Med 2024;390(19):1745–1755
- 75 Shoamanesh A, Demchuk A, Seiffge D, et al. Predictors of Hematoma Expansion and Response to Andexanet in ANNEXA-I. Presented at: 2024 International Stroke Conference;. February 7–9, 2024; Phoenix, AZ
- 76 Davis SM, Broderick J, Hennerici M, et al; Recombinant Activated Factor VII Intracerebral Hemorrhage Trial Investigators. Hematoma growth is a determinant of mortality and poor outcome after intracerebral hemorrhage. Neurology 2006;66(08):1175–1181
- 77 Dowlatshahi D, Demchuk AM, Flaherty ML, Ali M, Lyden PL, Smith EEVISTA Collaboration. Defining hematoma expansion in intracerebral hemorrhage: relationship with patient outcomes. Neurology 2011;76(14):1238–1244
- 78 Morotti A, Boulouis G, Dowlatshahi D, et al. Intracerebral haemorrhage expansion: definitions, predictors, and prevention. Lancet Neurol 2023;22(02):159–171
- 79 Seiffge D, Sandset EC, Shoamanesh A, et al. Consequences of Haematoma Expansion and Thromboembolic Events in Patients with Factor Xa Inhibitor–Associated ICH in ANNEXA-I. Presented at European Organization on Stroke Conference; May 15, 2024; Basel, Switzerland
- 80 Dobesh PP, Fermann GJ, Christoph MJ, et al. Lower mortality with andexanet alfa vs 4-factor prothrombin complex concentrate for factor Xa inhibitor-related major bleeding in a U.S. hospitalbased observational study. Res Pract Thromb Haemost 2023;7 (06):102192
- 81 Cohen AT, Lewis M, Connor A, et al. Thirty-day mortality with andexanet alfa compared with prothrombin complex concentrate therapy for life-threatening direct oral anticoagulant-related bleeding. J Am Coll Emerg Physicians Open 2022;3(02): e12655
- 82 Zahir H, Brown KS, Vandell AG, et al. Edoxaban effects on bleeding following punch biopsy and reversal by a 4-factor prothrombin complex concentrate. Circulation 2015;131(01):82–90
- 83 Lu G, Lin J, Bui K, Curnutte JT, Conley PB. Andexanet versus prothrombin complex concentrates: differences in reversal of factor Xa inhibitors in in vitro thrombin generation. Res Pract Thromb Haemost 2020;4(08):1282–1294
- 84 Chiasakul T, Crowther M, Cuker A. Four-factor prothrombin complex concentrate for the treatment of oral factor Xa inhibitor-associated bleeding: a meta-analysis of fixed versus variable dosing. Res Pract Thromb Haemost 2023;7(02):100107
- 85 Ip B, Pan S, Yuan Z, et al. Prothrombin complex concentrate vs conservative management in ICH associated with direct oral anticoagulants. JAMA Netw Open 2024;7(02):e2354916
- 86 Milling TJ Jr, King B, Yue P, et al; ANNEXA-4 Investigators. Restart of anticoagulant therapy and risk of thrombosis, rebleeding, and death after factor Xa inhibitor reversal in major bleeding patients. Thromb Haemost 2021;121(08):1097–1106
- 87 Little DHW, Sutradhar R, Cerasuolo JO, et al. Rates of rebleeding, thrombosis and mortality associated with resumption of anticoagulant therapy after anticoagulant-related bleeding. CMAJ 2021;193(09):E304–E309
- 88 Witt DM, Delate T, Garcia DA, et al. Risk of thromboembolism, recurrent hemorrhage, and death after warfarin therapy interruption for gastrointestinal tract bleeding. Arch Intern Med 2012;172(19):1484–1491

- 89 Little D, Chai-Adisaksopha C, Hillis C, et al. Resumption of anticoagulant therapy after anticoagulant-related gastrointestinal bleeding: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Thromb Res 2019;175:102–109
- 90 Qureshi W, Mittal C, Patsias I, et al. Restarting anticoagulation and outcomes after major gastrointestinal bleeding in atrial fibrillation. Am J Cardiol 2014;113(04):662–668
- 91 Murthy SB, Gupta A, Merkler AE, et al. Restarting anticoagulant therapy after intracranial hemorrhage: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Stroke 2017;48(06):1594–1600
- 92 Candeloro M, van Es N, Cantor N, et al. Recurrent bleeding and thrombotic events after resumption of oral anticoagulants following gastrointestinal bleeding: communication from the ISTH SSC Subcommittee on Control of Anticoagulation. J Thromb Haemost 2021;19(10):2618–2628
- 93 Little DHW, Robertson T, Douketis J, et al. Management of antithrombotic therapy after gastrointestinal bleeding: a mixed methods study of health-care providers. J Thromb Haemost 2021;19(01):153–160
- 94 Hart RG, Diener HC, Yang S, et al. Intracranial hemorrhage in atrial fibrillation patients during anticoagulation with warfarin or dabigatran: the RE-LY trial. Stroke 2012;43(06):1511–1517
- 95 Nielsen PB, Larsen TB, Skjøth F, Lip GY. Outcomes associated with resuming warfarin treatment after hemorrhagic stroke or traumatic intracranial hemorrhage in patients with atrial fibrillation. JAMA Intern Med 2017;177(04):563–570
- 96 Kuramatsu JB, Gerner ST, Schellinger PD, et al. Anticoagulant reversal, blood pressure levels, and anticoagulant resumption in patients with anticoagulation-related intracerebral hemorrhage. JAMA 2015;313(08):824–836
- 97 So SCSoSTART Collaboration. Effects of oral anticoagulation for atrial fibrillation after spontaneous intracranial haemorrhage in the UK: a randomised, open-label, assessor-masked, pilot-phase, non-inferiority trial. Lancet Neurol 2021;20(10):842–853
- 98 Ottosen TP, Grijota M, Hansen ML, et al. Use of antithrombotic therapy and long-term clinical outcome among patients surviving intracerebral hemorrhage. Stroke 2016;47(07):1837–1843
- 99 Nielsen PB, Larsen TB, Skjøth F, Gorst-Rasmussen A, Rasmussen LH, Lip GY. Restarting anticoagulant treatment after intracranial hemorrhage in patients with atrial fibrillation and the impact on recurrent stroke, mortality, and bleeding: a nationwide cohort study. Circulation 2015;132(06):517–525
- 100 Nielsen PB, Skjøth F, Søgaard M, Kjældgaard JN, Lip GYH, Larsen TB. Non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants versus warfarin in atrial fibrillation patients with intracerebral hemorrhage. Stroke 2019;50(04):939–946
- 101 Schreuder FHBM, van Nieuwenhuizen KM, Hofmeijer J, et al; APACHE-AF Trial Investigators. Apixaban versus no anticoagulation after anticoagulation-associated intracerebral haemorrhage in patients with atrial fibrillation in the Netherlands (APACHE-AF): a randomised, open-label, phase 2 trial. Lancet Neurol 2021; 20(11):907–916
- 102 Shoamanesh AENRICH-AF Steering Committee. Anticoagulation in patients with cerebral amyloid angiopathy. Lancet 2023;402 (10411):1418–1419
- 103 Pennlert J, Overholser R, Asplund K, et al. Optimal timing of anticoagulant treatment after intracerebral hemorrhage in patients with atrial fibrillation. Stroke 2017;48(02):314–320
- 104 Majeed A, Kim YK, Roberts RS, Holmström M, Schulman S. Optimal timing of resumption of warfarin after intracranial hemorrhage. Stroke 2010;41(12):2860–2866
- 105 Huang XY, Zhang JY, Yu CY. Whether it is safe to start anticoagulation after intracranial hemorrhage within 2 weeks: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ibrain 2022;8(03):377–388
- 106 Barra ME, Forman R, Long-Fazio B, et al. Optimal timing for resumption of anticoagulation after intracranial hemorrhage in patients with mechanical heart valves. J Am Heart Assoc 2024;13 (10):e032094

- 107 Xu Y, Shoamanesh A, Schulman S, et al. Oral anticoagulant reinitiation following intracerebral hemorrhage in non-valvular atrial fibrillation: global survey of the practices of neurologists, neurosurgeons and thrombosis experts. PLoS One 2018;13(01): e0191137
- 108 Greenberg SM, Ziai WC, Cordonnier C, et al; American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. 2022 guideline for the management of patients with spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage: a guideline from the American Heart Association/ American Stroke Association. Stroke 2022;53(07):e282–e361
- 109 Burnett A, Rudd KM, Triller D. Advancing anticoagulation stewardship: a call to action for stewardship from the US-based anticoagulation forum. Thromb Update 2022;9:100125
- 110 Chadha A, Guirguis M, Bungard TJ. DOAC drug interactions management resource. Can Pharm J 2022;155(06):315–325
- 111 Olsen AS, McGettigan P, Gerds TA, et al. Risk of gastrointestinal bleeding associated with oral anticoagulation and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in patients with atrial fibrillation: a nationwide study. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother 2020;6 (05):292–300
- 112 Schaefer JK, Errickson J, Kong X, et al. Outcomes of direct oral anticoagulants with aspirin vs warfarin with aspirin: a registrybased cohort study. Res Pract Thromb Haemost 2024;8(04): 102449
- 113 Schaefer JK, Errickson J, Li Y, et al. Adverse events associated with the addition of aspirin to direct oral anticoagulant therapy without a clear indication. JAMA Intern Med 2021;181(06): 817–824

- 114 Tarn DM, Barrientos M, Wang AY, Ramaprasad A, Fang MC, Schwartz JB. Prevalence and knowledge of potential interactions between over-the-counter products and apixaban. J Am Geriatr Soc 2020;68(01):155–162
- 115 Abebe W. Review of herbal medications with the potential to cause bleeding: dental implications, and risk prediction and prevention avenues. EPMA J 2019;10(01):51–64
- 116 Abraham NS, Barkun AN, Sauer BG, et al. American College of Gastroenterology-Canadian Association of Gastroenterology clinical practice guideline: management of anticoagulants and antiplatelets during acute gastrointestinal bleeding and the periendoscopic period. J Can Assoc Gastroenterol 2022;5(02):100–101
- 117 Kent AP, Brueckmann M, Fraessdorf M, et al. Concomitant oral anticoagulant and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug therapy in patients with atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;72(03): 255–267
- 118 Boehringer Ingelheim Canada Ltd. Pradaxa Product Monograph. Published 2011. Accessed October 12, 2015 at: http://www.boehringer-ingelheim.ca/content/dam/internet/opu/ca_EN/documents/humanhealth/product_monograph/PradaxaPMEN.pdf
- 119 Boerhinger Ingelheim Canada Ltd. Praxbind Product Monograph. Published 2019. Accessed July 10, 2024 at: https:// www.boehringer-ingelheim.com/ca/bipdf/praxbind-productmonograph
- 120 Astra Zeneca Canada Inc. Ondexxya Product Monograph. Published 2023. Accessed July 10, 2024 at: https://www.astrazeneca.ca/content/dam/az-ca/downloads/productinformation/ ondexxya-product-monograph-en.pdf