Abstract

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the main cause of death worldwide, including in Brazil. Angina pectoris is a challenging disease because its clinical manifestation is not always related to the degree of obstruction. Visceral pain from any source can be totally disabling. It influences all aspects of the life of a patient and it can be one of the main causes of absence from work and of family disruption. Spinal cord electrical stimulation (SCES) has been traditionally applied for the treatment of neuropathic pain, with good to excellent results. Visceral pain syndrome can be as debilitating and disabling as somatic or neuropathic pain; however, there seems to be a lack of consensus on the appropriate treatment and strategies for these disorders. The major difference of SCES for visceral pain, compared to postlaminectomy syndrome or to regional complex syndrome, is the number of stimulated dermatomes. In most viscera, the somatotopic arrangement has two to four medullar levels, sometimes requiring laterality. After reviewing the literature, we have concluded that SCES is now a viable, low-risk option with satisfactory results for the treatment of neuropathic and visceral pain; therefore, it can be used in refractory angina after the failure of standard therapy. However, further studies are required to increase the application and efficacy of this procedure in the clinical practice.

Resumo

A doença cardiovascular (DCV) é a principal causa de morte em todo o mundo, inclusive no Brasil. A angina do peito permanece como entidade clínica desafiadora devido ao fato de sua manifestação clínica nem sempre estar relacionada com o grau de obstrução. A dor visceral de qualquer origem pode ser totalmente incapacitante. Ela influencia todos os aspectos da vida de um paciente, podendo ser uma das principais causas de interrupção das
Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death worldwide, including in Brazil. Data provided by the World Health Organization (WHO) show that CVD accounted for ~17 million deaths in 2011 (or 30.4% of all deaths in that year). In Brazil, according to data from the Department of Health, CVD accounted for 28.6% of all deaths in the country in 2011, and data from 2008 reported that circulatory system disorders were responsible for 80.2% of the hospitalizations of people >50 years old and for 10% of hospitalizations for all causes. Most deaths due to CVD are related to coronary artery disease (CAD) or to ischemic heart disease.

The most important heart diseases presenting with chest pain are ischemic heart diseases (stable angina, unstable angina, acute myocardial infarction) and noncardiac diseases (pericarditis, acute aortic and valvular dissection). It is estimated that between 5 to 8 million individuals with chest pain or with other symptoms suggestive of acute myocardial ischemia are seen in emergency rooms in the United States every year.

Stable coronary artery disease, whose main clinical manifestation is angina pectoris, is characterized by reversible episodes of imbalance between blood supply and myocardial metabolic demand, usually inducible by physical exercise, emotion, or other types of stress; eventually, these episodes may be spontaneous.

The various stable angina clinical presentations are related to several pathophysiological mechanisms, including: (1) epicardial coronary arteries obstruction by atherosclerotic plaques; (2) focal or diffuse vasospasm of coronary arteries; (3) microvascular disease; and (4) left ventricular dysfunction secondary to a previous myocardial infarction and/or to hibernating myocardium (chronic ischemia). Several of these processes can coexist in the same patient, contributing to the diversity of clinical manifestations associated with CAD.

Angina pectoris remains a challenging clinical entity because its clinical manifestation is not always related to the degree of obstruction, being different in each patient, which results in a variety of clinical presentations: from completely asymptomatic to classical angina exertion-associated symptoms, refractory angina pain, and even sudden death.

Visceral pain from any source can be totally disabling. It influences all aspects of the life of a patient and it can be one of the main causes of absence from work and of family disruption. The goal of chronic pain treatment is to improve quality of life; considering all of the aspects involved, this is a complex, arduous, and stressful task. When the goal is to improve viscerovascular pain, in addition to all of these adjectives, we can add challenging and laborious, since the pathophysiology is not fully understood yet.

Treatment is always individualized, since its goal in an active and healthy patient is usually the complete elimination of pain and the return to vigorous physical activity. On the other hand, in an elderly patient with more severe angina and numerous associated comorbidities, a satisfactory result would be a reduction in symptoms, which would allow limited daily activities.

The present paper searches for new techniques for pain control, reduction of suffering, and improvement of the quality of life for those with refractory angina.

Refractory Angina and Spinal Cord Electrical Stimulation

The classical chest pain in acute coronary syndrome is a painful, uncomfortable, burning or oppressive sensation located in the precordial or retrosternal region, which may irradiate to the shoulder and/or to the left arm, to the right arm, to the neck, or to the jaw, and it is often accompanied by diaphoresis, nausea, vomiting, or dyspnea. The pain may last for a few minutes (usually between 10 and 20 minutes) and relapse, as in cases of unstable angina, or about 30 minutes, as in cases of acute myocardial infarction.

Chronic post-thoracotomy pain is a common condition, observed in 67% of the patients who underwent this procedure; according to Fabregat, 38% of these individuals still...
report pain > 3 years later. Most patients complain of mild to moderate pain, but > 5% describe it as severe and/or incapacitating. Several clinical studies report positive long-term results with neuromodulation to control this type of pain.

The etiology of post-thoracotomy pain, although not fully understood, is related to the mechanical trauma of chest opening, which directly damages nerve bundles. Moreover, there is a secondary injury to the thoracic vascular network. In addition, the existence of a visceral component in pain formation is confirmed in more than half of the cases.

Until recently, chronic visceral pain was characterized as somatic and nociceptive pain, and therefore, not amenable to treatment by spinal cord electrical stimulation (SCES). However, recent evidence indicates that chronic visceral pain may not be nociceptive, but rather neuropathic in nature.9,10 The viscera have fewer nerve endings compared with the skin, and involvement is usually multisegmental. Refractory angina, which affects more than 6 million Americans, is an example of visceral pain.11

Traditionally, nociception passes through peripheral or first-order neurons (such as the celiac plexus) – which synapses with the second-order neurons from the dorsal horn of the spinal cord – and ascends through the lateral spinoreticular and/or the spinothalamic tract.12

In recent years, there have been important advances in angina treatment, both in pharmacological and reperfusion techniques (angioplasty or surgery). In 1999, the American Heart Association defined angina pectoris as a clinical syndrome characterized by discomfort in the chest, in the chin, in the shoulder, in the back, or in the arm, usually intensified by exertion or by emotional stress.13 whereas refractory angina was defined according to the lack of control of painful symptoms even when the therapies previously described are associated.14

Spinal cord electrical stimulation has been traditionally applied for the treatment of neuropathic pain, with good to excellent results. Visceral pain syndrome can be as debilitating and disabling as somatic or neuropathic pain; however, there seems to be a lack of consensus on the appropriate treatment and strategies for these disorders.10,15,16

Neurostimulation is a revolutionizing functional surgery because it has modulatory purposes and low risk. Thus, it has been used to treat a variety of conditions, including regional complex syndrome, postlaminectomy syndrome, peripheral vascular disease, neuropathic pain, refractory angina and, more recently, visceral pain and chronic pelvic pain.11 Moreover, several clinical studies report its effectiveness.

Although analogous SCES was proposed by Shealy et al in 1967,17 it was only in 1987 that Murphy et al.18 described the first case of refractory angina.14,18 Several controlled studies have confirmed the efficacy of SCES in the control of ischemic pain, mainly in limbs, but they have also demonstrated the lack of statistical difference compared with amputation. Spinal cord electrical stimulation, however, provided pain relief, increased locomotion capacity, and improved overall limb function and quality of life.19 Jivegard et al.20 demonstrated the benefit to 51 patients with severe inoperable limb ischemia, followed-up for 18 months, and found out that their amputation-free survival (62%) was higher compared with that of the control group (45%), with a statistically significant difference (p > 0.05).19

In 2000, Ceballos et al were the first to use analgesic neurostimulation for visceral pain in a patient with chronic mesenteric ischemia-induced pain.13 In 2004, Krames et al.20 reported a case of stimulation for irritable bowel syndrome.

Pain management in refractory angina was investigated by two prospective randomized studies about SCES.9,14 The results demonstrated a better ability and quality of exercise in the group submitted to SCES compared with the control group.21,22

A prospective, randomized, comparative study between SCES and myocardial revascularization with 104 patients and a 5-year follow-up period performed by Mannheimer et al.17 demonstrated that angina symptoms and quality of life improved in both groups; however, mortality was higher in the revascularization group.23,24 Today, its effectiveness is considered under category 2B +.24

The flowchart below may help in cases of refractory angina and the best indication for SCES in these patients. (→ Fig. 1).

In Brazil, SCES is indicated mainly for complex regional syndrome and postlaminectomy syndrome cases. Mekhail et al.21 demonstrated the incidence of SCES in 707 patients, as shown in the graph below (→ Fig. 2).
Clinical Example

W. M., 61 years old, male, with a history of ischemic heart disease since 2011 and 2 acute myocardial infarctions (AMIs). After the ischemic events, he presented with angina and, despite extensive therapy, pain control was unsuccessful. The patient underwent two angioplasty procedures and a coronary artery bypass grafting with three saphenous conduits, resulting in the same outcome.

In order to reduce pain, a new angioplasty was performed, this time with a drug-coated stent; however, the pain persisted.

The three catheterizations reports are the following:

June 27, 2012:

1. Right coronary artery with a severe, 80% lesion in its medial third (intrastent restenosis).
2. Right coronary artery posterior descending branch with a moderate, 50% ostial lesion.
3. Anterior descending artery with a severe, 90 to 95% ostial lesion.
4. First diagonal branch (moderate significance) with a subocclusive, 95% ostial lesion.
5. Second diagonal branch (significant) with a moderate, 50% lesion at its proximal third (intrastent restenosis).
6. Circumflex artery with a subocclusive (98%) ostial lesion (intrastent restenosis).

October 4, 2012:

1. Right coronary artery with a severe, 80% lesion in its medial third (intrastent restenosis).
2. Anterior descending artery with a severe, 90 to 95% ostial lesion.
3. First diagonal branch (moderate significance) with a severe, 90% ostial lesion.
4. Second diagonal branch (significant) with a moderate, 50% lesion at its proximal third (intrastent restenosis).
5. Circumflex artery with a subocclusive (98%) ostial lesion (intrastent restenosis).
6. Saphenous graft between the aorta and the marginal branches with no significant lesions.

November 5, 2012:

1. Right coronary artery with a severe, 80% lesion at the anastomosis between the left mammary artery and the anterior descending artery.
2. Severe, 80% lesion at the proximal third of the left mammary artery.
3. Anterior descending artery with a severe, 90% ostial lesion.
4. First diagonal branch (moderate significance) with a severe, 90% ostial lesion.
5. Second diagonal branch (significant) with a moderate, 50% lesion at its proximal third (intrastent restenosis).
6. Circumflex artery with a subocclusive (98%) ostial lesion (intrastent restenosis).
7. Saphenous graft between the aorta and the marginal branches with no significant lesions.
8. Patent left mammary artery anterior descending artery and stent with a good angiographical aspect.

After a new catheterization, no vascular lesion warranted the condition, and a functional analysis was initiated for pain control.

Thus, the patient was referred to the functional neurosurgery department of the Hospital Dilson Godinho, in Montes Claros, state of Minas Gerais, Brazil. The patient complained of a continuous, burning pain in a neuropathic pattern, worsening with physical exertion, in the anterior region of the left hemithorax; pain intensity, determined by the verbal numerical scale (VNS), ranging from 0 (absence of pain) to 10 (the most intense pain imagined by the patient), was rated as 5. The patient was being treated with atenolol, 50 mg, every 12 hours; Sustrate (propylnitrate), 10 mg, every 12 hours; hydrochlorothiazide, 25 mg, once a day; gabapentin, 600 mg, every 8 hours; nortriptyline, 25 mg, at night; and tramadol, 100 mg, when in severe pain.

The patient also reported that walking small distances, of < 100 m, caused his pain to change pattern, characterizing it as a sting with VNS = 8, and requiring frequent pauses.

After the psychological evaluation, which did not identify any psychical contraindication for the surgical procedure, it was decided to implant a medullary neurostimulator with a 5 × 6 × 5 electrode (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA) at the T2 spinous process level.

The procedure was performed in August 2014. The electrode was placed in contact with the posterior aspect of the spine through a microlaminectomy, with a ~ 6-cm skin access, 1 to 2 levels below the desired level.

After 2 months, the programming (35 Hz, 2.4 V, 60 milliseconds) had been reached and is sustained until now. A myocardial scintigraphy performed on February 02, 2015 showed normal conditions, with no ischemic and/or cicatricial lesions in the left ventricular myocardium.
The major difference in spinal stimulation for visceral pain compared with postlaminectomy syndrome or with regional complex syndrome is the number of stimulated dermatomes. In most viscera, the somatotopic arrangement has two to four medullar levels, sometimes requiring laterality.

Since some electrodes have a size limiter, the positioning, the choice of material, and the correct case selection are critical to positive outcomes and patient satisfaction.

Visceral diseases are often manifested by secondary hyperalgesia in the dermatomes provided by the same spinal segments supplying the viscera. This hyperalgesia may involve all dermatomes or only a specific part.25

Latif24 states that, pathologically, unstable angina does not correlate with the extent of previous coronary stenosis. The lesion results from the temporary formation of thrombus, which is mediated by a complex cascade of cellular interactions between the vascular endothelium and platelets in the atherosclerotic coronary artery,26 leading to an imbalance between myocardial oxygen supply and demand that causes ischemia, injury, and, consequently, pain.27

Physiologically, SCES provides analgesia through its action on the segmental neuronal units of the spinal cord, leading to the antidromic excitation of the posterior funiculus fibers or nonspecific sensory conduction pathways of the spinal cord. Its activation causes a perception of paresthesia that is associated with the obtained analgesia.8,28

The beneficial effect of SCES on vascular pain and on peripheral ischemic pain is to promote the rebalancing of oxygen supply and demand, repairing and attenuating additional ischemic effects.9,12 Increased stimulation levels, resulting from antidromic activation, activate the afferent fibers from the dorsal roots, leading to the peripheral release of nitric oxide and calcitonin gene-related polypeptide (PRGC), which produces cutaneous vasodilation.21,29

There is also an inhibition of wide-dynamic-range (WID) neurons, previously activated by the lesion, thus reducing their algiogenic action.22 Some studies have shown that neuronal hyperexcitability is related to low GABA levels and that, after SCES, this neurotransmitter is normalized and the level of its excitatory analogue, glutamate, is reduced.22

Stimuli that do not generate a paresthetic effect may have a beneficial sympatholytic effect, better demonstrated in studies on refractory angina.30

Visceral pain treatment depends on a combination of pharmacological therapy with cognitive and behavioral therapies, as well as lifestyle changes. In addition, the pain secondary to low blood flow requires its restoration or improvement of oxygenation, which is not always possible with conventional surgeries.9

The use of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) and of SCES for the treatment of type I complex regional pain syndrome (reflex sympathetic dystrophy) has been extensively documented. Because of this association between SCES and a sympathetically-mediated pain syndrome, SCES can be theoretically applied to the treatment of visceral pain, which is probably similarly mediated.7,16,25 (► Fig. 3).

► Table 1 summarizes the target organs and the medullary level to be stimulated.

The rate of SCES complications ranges from 30 to 40%. Electrode migration is one of the most common complications, with an incidence of 13.2 to 22.6%.7,31

Table 1 Summary of organs and respective spine levels for spinal cord electrical stimulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target organ</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>T7/T8</td>
<td>Midline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>T6 to T9</td>
<td>Midline or lateralized (right)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>T6 to T9</td>
<td>Midline or lateralized (left)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascending colon</td>
<td>T9 to L1</td>
<td>Midline or lateralized (right)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse colon</td>
<td>T9/T10</td>
<td>Midline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Descending colon</td>
<td>T9 to L1</td>
<td>Midline or lateralized (left)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>T2 to T4 (∗C7 to T1)</td>
<td>Midline or lateralized (left)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Some reports indicate that this level would be more efficient.13

Discussion

The major difference in spinal stimulation for visceral pain compared with postlaminectomy syndrome or with regional complex syndrome is the number of stimulated dermatomes. In most viscera, the somatotopic arrangement has two to four medullar levels, sometimes requiring laterality.
Electrode migration is defined as the displacement of its desired original location, and it is caused by mechanical stress, increased mobility (cervical region), inadequate surgical fixation technique, trauma, infection, and muscle spasm, and it results in the loss of effective stimulation. This complication increases the risk of infection at review surgeries, and it also increases costs.7

Dural fistula, headache, neurologic deficit, medullary compression, hemorrhage, epidural fibrosis, system malfunction (considered by many the most frequent complication, with an incidence ranging from 20 to 25%), electrode or connection fractures are other examples of complications.31–33

Conclusion
Spinal cord electrical stimulation is now a viable, low-risk option with satisfactory results for the treatment of neuropathic and visceral pain and, therefore, it can be used in refractory angina. With this purpose, today SCES is indicated after the failure of standard therapy, resulting in significant pain control and in improvement of the quality of life. However, further studies are required to increase the application and efficacy of this procedure in the clinical practice.
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