Successful Management of Esophageal Perforation with Self-Expandable Metal Stent following Pneumatic Dilation for Achalasia Cardia
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Abstract

Achalasia cardia is the most common cause of motor dysphagia. Pneumatic dilation (PD) of lower esophageal sphincter remains the cornerstone of treatment. However, it is associated with esophageal perforation in some cases. We present a case of esophageal perforation following PD of achalasia cardia which was successfully managed with esophageal stent.
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Introduction

Achalasia cardia is a motility disorder of esophagus characterized by aperistalsis of esophageal body with abnormally high resistance at lower esophageal sphincter (LES) due to lack of its relaxation during swallowing. The main pathophysiology behind achalasia is loss of inhibitory neurons in lower esophagus.¹ Esophageal manometry is the gold standard for diagnosis of achalasia cardia. There are many modes of treatment available for this disorder, which include pharmacotherapy with smooth muscle relaxant (calcium channel blockers and nitrates), botulinum toxin injection at LES, pneumatic dilation (PD), and Heller’s myotomy (HM). Recently, per-oral endoscopic myotomy has been added to this armamentarium. In spite of so many treatment options, PD remains the cornerstone of treatment. PD is a simple day care procedure which involves dilation of the LES with a pneumatic balloon. Studies have shown that the success rate of PD is approximately 90%.² ³ The most dreaded complication of PD is esophageal perforation, which can occur in 2 to 4% cases.² ⁴ We describe here one of the cases of achalasia cardia who had esophageal perforation following PD and was successfully managed by covered self-expanding metal stent (SEMS).

Case Report

A 26-year-old male presented to us with complaints of dysphagia for solids, as well as liquids, for 2 years. He also reported occasional regurgitation of liquids and chest pain. He denied any odynophagia, heartburn, hoarseness of voice, persistent cough, and insomnia. During this period, he lost 4 kg of weight. Esophagastroduodenoscopy (EGD) revealed mildly dilated esophagus with liquid residue and abnormal resistance at LES. Stomach was normal. Barium swallow showed bird beak appearance at LES. Barium esophagogram and high-resolution esophageal manometry was diagnostic of achalasia cardia (►Figs. 1A). After discussion with patient, PD was done with 3 cm Rigiflex balloon. First, a guidewire was placed in stomach with the help of a gastroscope. Then under fluoroscopic guidance LES was dilated. Complete obliteration of waist of the balloon was achieved at 6 pound per square inch pressure. Balloon was inflated for 1 minute after which it was deflated and gradually withdrawn. The whole procedure was done under sedation. About 1 hour after the procedure, patient complained of increasing chest pain which was localized to the retrosternal area. It was severe requiring parenteral analgesics. Clinical examination revealed blood pressure of 110/60 mm Hg, pulse rate of 120/min, and respiratory rate of 22/min. Saturation at room air was 96%. There was no evidence of surgical emphysema in the chest and neck. An urgent computerized tomography (CT) scan of the thorax with oral contrast was done. Due to severe pain, the patient was unable to drink the contrast. However, CT scan showed pneumomediastinum with left-sided pleural effusion (►Fig. 1B). The patient was treated with analgesics, intravenous fluids, and antibiotics. A repeat EGD was done approximately 4 hours after the first procedure which revealed a 6- to 8-mm rent in lower esophagus approximately 3-cm
proximal to Z-line (►Fig. 1C). Fully covered esophageal metal stent of length 10 cm and diameter 2.3 cm was deployed in the lower esophagus (►Fig. 1D, ►Video 1). To avoid migration, two hemoclips were applied at the upper end of stent to anchor it to esophageal mucosa. Immediate postprocedure contrast study of esophagus showed no leak. On day 2, the patient became febrile. A tube was placed in the left pleural cavity. The patient was allowed orally from day 2. His pain and fever subsided in 3 days, and the intercostal drainage tube was removed on day 5. The patient was discharged on day 7 with normal oral intake. A repeat endoscopy was done after 2 weeks which showed the stent at same position as at the time of deployment. The stent was removed after 4 weeks. EGD done after stent removal showed a small ulcer at the site of perforation; however, no rent was seen. Contrast study of esophagus was done after stent removal also showed no leakage of contrast.

Discussion

PD is the most preferred procedure for the treatment of achalasia cardia with results comparable to HM. The most dreaded complication of PD is an esophageal perforation. Various series have reported perforation rates of 1 to 5% following PD. There is variation in the perforation rates reported in various series because of difference in techniques, size of balloon used, duration of dilation, and maximum pressure applied. The standard management for esophageal perforation varies depending on the duration of the presentation. The standard procedure for perforations detected within 6 hours is primary surgical repair. However, perforations presenting after 6 hours may require esophagostomy with or without tube drainages, as the chances of mediastinitis are high. Gradually more and more conservative approaches involving stents and clips have been advocated for these situations where risk of mediastinitis is minimal.

In our patient, esophageal perforation was detected within 6 hours and so the options were either primary surgical repair of the rent or endoscopic management. The size of the rent was too large for conservative management. As over the scope, clips were not immediately available at our center a covered SEMS was placed. Previously Siersema et al have reported the use of SEMS for traumatic nonmalignant perforation of the esophagus. In their series, 9 out of 11 patients could be salvaged from surgery. Out of 11 patients in this series, only one had achalasia cardia. Another case report by Elhanafi et al also described the similar use of SEMS for esophageal perforation following PD for achalasia cardia.

Endoscopists always worry about stent migration, especially when placed in a dilated esophagus like in achalasia cardia. In the present case, we used hemoclips to fix the stent to the esophageal wall. Although hemoclips are expected to fall off after few days; but during this time, the stent is expected to

Fig. 1 (A) Esophageal manometry suggestive of type II achalasia cardia; (B) computed tomography scan of thorax after pneumatic dilation showing pneumomediastinum; (C) rent seen in lower esophagus following pneumatic dilation; (D) Esophageal self-expanding metal stent with hemoclips.

Video 1

expand fully and embed well in the esophageal mucosa. A few studies reported the use of SEMS for treatment of achalasia cardia. In these studies, specially designed SEMS for the treatment of achalasia cardia were used. Stent migration rate was 5%.

Timing of stent removal is also varies in various studies. Stents have been retained from few days to several weeks. Early removal of the stent is associated with risk of incomplete closure of perforation. On the other side, removal of the stent quite late makes the job difficult as the stent gets well embedded in esophageal mucosa. In the present case, we removed the stent after 4 weeks based on our previous experiences. However, as mentioned previously, it is a debatable issue.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the present case demonstrates successful nonsurgical management of esophageal perforation following PD with removable esophageal stents. Therefore, we recommend the use of esophageal stents for managing perforations in appropriate situations.
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