

Statistical Analysis Of 750 Cleft Lip And Palate Patients

Sam D. Theogaraj, M.S., Dip Am Bd. Plastic Surg.; L. B. M. Joseph, & M. S. Mani M. Mani M.S.
Christian Medical College & Hospital, Vellore.

INTRODUCTION

The period under study is from January, 1959—June, 1967 and 750 consecutive patients have been analysed.

In an earlier analysis, we presented 492 cases in 1964, utilising the classification of Davis and Ritchie (1922). In this paper we have favoured the Harkins et al classification (1962), since it is based on more sound embryological principles.

Table 1. *Incidence In C. M. C. H. (1959—1967)*

<i>New patients with C L &/or P</i>	<i>New O.P.D. Patients</i>
750	645,197
INCIDENCE IS 1 : 860	

<i>Live births with C L &/or P</i>	<i>Total No. of Live Births</i>
26	13,821
INCIDENCE IS 1 : 532	

INCIDENCE

From 1959—1967, we have had 645,197 new out-patients and 750 of these have been patients with cleft lip and/or palate. This is an incidence of 1:860. Of course, this is by no means the absolute incidence in the general population, but only an indication of its relative frequency.

During this period we have had 13,821 live births in our hospital and of these there were 26 cases of cleft lip and/or palate; an incidence of 1:532 live births. Oldfield (1959), found an incidence of 1:600 live births.

CLEFT TYPE

Prepalatal clefts, in the Harkins classification, are those that involve the region anterior to the naso-palatine foramen. These clefts occur between the 4th—7th week and are due to lack of mesodermal penetration (Stark).

Palatal clefts are those that are posterior to the naso-palatine foramen. These become cleft due to failure of fusion of the two shelves, and this takes place between the 7th—12th week. The Davis and Ritchie (1922) classification lays stress on the alveolus and divides clefts into pre-alveolar, post-alveolar, etc. Since the dividing zone ought to be the foramen and not the alveolus, we have elected to use the Harkins classification, throughout.

Table II. *Cleft Types*

Type of Cleft	Fogh-Andersen 1942 (Denmark)	Oldfield 1959 (Leeds)	Rank & Thomson 1960 (Tasmania)	Fraser & Calnan 1961 (Oxford)	Woolf et al 1963 (Utah)	Keys Smith 1962 (Singapore)	C. M. C. Hospital 1967 (Vellore)
1. Prepalate only	138 (19.6%)	233 (22.4%)	50 (22.6%)	93 (20.4%)	128 (23.1%)	90 (25.1%)	216 (28.8%)
2 & 3. Prepalate and Palate	360 (51.2%)	450 (43.2%)	97 (43.9%)	152 (33.3%)	290 (52.5%)	224 (62.4%)	428 (56.9%)
4. Palate only	205 (29.2%)	358 (34.4%)	74 (33.5%)	211 (46.3%)	135 (24.4%)	45 (12.5%)	106 (14.3%)

In all of the studies including our own, clefts of the prepalate and palate (2 & 3) rank the highest. In our series and in that of Keys Smith, prepalatal clefts are next in frequency and isolated clefts of the palate the least. This is exactly reversed in the Caucasian series.

This difference may be an artificial one. Parents in the East are more likely to bring a child with a cleft lip to the hospital, than if it were to have an isolated cleft of the palate, because this would not be obvious to the casual observer, and also would not serve to remind the parents of the defect everyday. When the child begins to talk, however, the defect becomes revealed and the children then tend to be brought for treatment. This statement is borne out by scrutinising the analysis of the age at First Visit to Hospital.

Table III. *Age at first visit to hospital (1959—1967)*

Type of Cleft	0-30 Days	1 mth. -1 Yr.	1-2 Yrs.	2-3 Yrs.	3-4 Yrs.	4-5 Yrs.	5-9 Yrs.	9-14 Yrs.	Above 14 Yrs.	Total
1. Prepalate only	1	85	21	15	9	8	28	16	33	216
2. Prepalate & Palate (Complete)	12	132	67	37	26	14	50	35	35	408
3. Prepalate & Palate (Incomplete)	0	3	6	1	2	0	3	1	4	20
4. Palate only	3	11	13	17	12	12	18	8	12	106
Total	16	231	107	70	49	34	99	60	84	750

Most children with clefts of the prepalate with or without palate involvement, are brought to hospital before their first year. Clefts of the palate alone, are brought in much later.

SEX DISTRIBUTION

Table IV (a). *Sex Incidence*

MALES	FEMALES	TOTAL
4 1 9	3 3 1	7 5 0
Cases	Cases	Cases

The male : female ratio is 4 : 3. A male preponderance for clefts has been observed in all major series of patients.

Table IV (b). Sex Distribution

Type of Cleft	Macmahon & Mckeown 1953		Fogh- Andersen 1942		Rank & Thomson 1960		C. M. C. H. Series 1967	
	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
1 Prepalate only	60.6%		65.2%		64.0%		54.6%	
2 & 3. Prepalate & Palate	59.0%		71.4%		76.3%		60 0%	
4. Palate only		28.8%		64.9%		56.8%		61.3%

Clefts of the palate alone are more common in females F : M (6 : 4). The ratio is exactly reversed in clefts of the prepalate with or without palate involvement, M : F = 6 : 4.

FAMILY HISTORY

Table V. Family History and other Congenital Anomalies.

Type of cleft	Family History	Other Congenital Anomalies	Total
1. Prepalate only	20 (8.3%)	11 (5.1%)	216
2. Prepalate & Palate (Complete)	53 (13.0%)	33 (8.1%)	408
3. Prepalate & Palate (Incomplete)	2 (8.7%)	1 (4.3%)	20
4. Palate only	16 (15.0%)	15 (14.1%)	106
Total	91 (12 1%)	60 (8.0%)	750

The overall incidence of clefts in families is 12.1%. Oldfield found a 12.5% family history in a study of 1,041 patients.

OTHER CONGENITAL ANOMALIES

They were found in 60 cases. There were 63 anomalies in all. Isolated clefts of the palate had a higher incidence than the other varieties. Drillien et al (1966), came to a similar conclusion in their Edinburgh study.

CLEFTS OF THE PREPALATE

Table VI. *Clefts of the Prepalate*

LEFT		RIGHT		BILATERAL	TOTAL
Incomplete	Complete	Incomplete	Complete		
58 (9.0%)	267 (41.5%)	41 (6.4%)	114 (17.7%)	164 (25.4%)	644 (100%)
50.5%		24.1%		25.4%	100%

Left sided clefts occurred in half of all prepalatal clefts. Right sided and bilateral clefts constituted 25% each. Complete clefts by far outnumbered the incomplete. Fogh Andersen (1942), had 64% left sided clefts in his series, Rank and Thomson (1960) 68% and Fraser and Calnan (1960) 51.6%. The preponderance of left sided clefts of the prepalate seems therefore to be a universal finding.

CLEFTS OF THE PALATE

In order to compare our series with that of others, we have simultaneously classified cleft palates, according to the Veau (1931) classification.

Table VII. *Clefts of the Palate*

VEAU TYPES	VEAU 1931	OLDFIELD 1949	KEYS SMITH 1962	C.M.C.H. series 1967
TYPES I & II	516 (51.6%)	190 (46.0%)	60 (22.3%)	126 (23.6%)
TYPE III & IV	484 (48.4%)	222 (54.0%)	209 (77.7%)	408 (76.4%)
TOTAL	1000 (100.0%)	412 (100.0%)	269 (100.0%)	534 (100.0%)

The figures in our series and in that of Keys Smith's, are almost identical. More severe clefts, tend to be brought to hospital more often, in our part of the world.

DISTRIBUTION STATEWISE

Table VIII. *Statewise Distribution*

Type of Cleft	Tamilnad	Kerala	Andhra Pradesh	Mysore	Others
1. Prepalate only	149	19	32	11	5
2. Prepalate & Palate (Complete)	224	61	64	37	22
3. Prepalate & Palate (Incomplete)	15	1	4	0	0
4. Palate only	65	22	12	4	3
TOTAL	453	103	112	52	30

As is to be expected, the majority of patients that attend our hospital, come from our own state. The rest are mainly from the neighbouring regions.

MORE DETAILED ANALYSIS OF THE LAST 250 CASES (1965—1967) CONSANGUINITY

Table IX. *Consanguinity*

Relationship	Number of Cases
Uncle—Niece	60
First Cousins	42
Other	33
TOTAL	135
Not related	115
TOTAL	250

One hundred and thirty five out of 250 cases had parents that were very closely related to each other. The prevalent custom in our part of the country, however, is towards an uncle-niece or 1st cousin marriage. It is difficult, therefore, to assess how much weight ought to be given this finding.

AGE AT CONCEPTION

Table X. *Age of Mother*

Age at Conception	Number of cases
Below 14 years	2 (1.1%)
15—20 years	51 (29.6%)
20—30 years	97 (56.4%)
30—40 years	22 (12.9%)
Over 40 years	0
TOTAL	172
Not Known	78
TOTAL	250

Majority of the mothers conceived between 15—30 years of age (86.0%). Loretz et al (1961) in a study from California, found 13% of mothers of affected children between 30—40 years of age, as compared with less than 10% of mothers of all infants. We also have 13% of mothers in the same age group, but have no figures as yet for the general population.

BIRTH RANK

Table XI. Birth Rank

P A R I T Y

Type of Cleft	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Not known	Total
1. Prepalate only	22	14	11	13	9	3	—	1	1	1	3	78
2. Prepalate & Palate (Complete)	35	40	14	14	5	5	3	2	1	—	7	126
3. Prepalate & Palate (Incomplete)	2	1	3	2	1	—	—	—	—	—	1	10
4. Palate only	11	6	6	5	2	2	1	—	2	—	1	36
Total	70	61	34	34	17	10	4	3	4	1	12	250

Malpas (1937) and Murphy (1947), were of the opinion that maternal age over 40 years and later parities predisposed to the birth of children with clefts. Oldfield (1959), Knox (1963) and others have not found this to be so. Our findings are similar to the latter group of workers. More than half of our patients fall within the 1st two birth ranks.

ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

Diseases and other noxious influences during the 1st trimester were found in only 6% of cases. In a retrospective study, one is hard put to attach too much significance to this, especially when numbers are so few and a comparable history from mothers of normal infants is lacking. Detailed questioning, for all possible teratogenic influences operating in the 1st trimester, was undertaken in the last 250 cases, but the response from the informants was far from satisfactory.

Table XII. *Adverse Environmental Factors*

Type of cleft	DISEASE				Total	Percentage
1. Prepalate only	Hyperemesis 2		Malaria ?		2	2.5% of 78 cases
2. Prepalate & Palate (Complete)	2	Viral infect 5	1	Asthma 2	10	8.0% of 126 cases
3. Prepalate & Palate (Incomplete)		N	I	L		
4. Palate only		N	I	L		

INCIDENCE OF DEAFNESS

Table XIII. *Incidence of Deafness*

Type of Cleft	Otitis Media		Sensory Neural Loss	Oto-sclerosis
1. Prepalate only		1		
2. Prepalate & palate	4	6	1	
3. Palate only	1	1		1
Total	5	8	1	1

Frank middle ear disease has been detected in only 14 cases. There was sensory neural loss in one case. This gives a percentage of 9% of 172 cases, with hearing loss. Peer et al (1958) detected 60% and Masters et al (1960) 50% of children with cleft palates, to be afflicted with significant hearing loss. Routine E.N.T. examinations have been conducted in all our cases, but audiograms have been done only when felt indicated, and hence, our low figures. Recently, Stool and Randall (1967) reported on middle ear pathology in 94% of cases with clefts, when routine myringotomies were performed.

Since the physiology of the Eustachian tubes is interfered with when a cleft is unrepaired, the onset of middle ear disease is not surprising. The longer after 18 months a cleft of the palate is unrepaired, the higher the incidence. All of our affected cases had repairs after 18 months and the more severe the cleft, the greater the number of cases with middle ear diseases. Masters (1960) was of a like opinion.

Summary

1. 750 cleft lip and palate cases have been analysed.
2. Clefts which involve the prepalate and palate proper, are seen most commonly.
3. M.F. ratio is 4 : 3.
4. There is a positive family history in 12.1%.
5. Left sided clefts of the prepalate are found in 50% of cases.
6. There was a history of consanguinity in over 50% of 250 cases.
7. Maternal age and birth rank are not of much significance.
8. Middle ear disease was found in only 9% of cases and the reason for this is offered.

References

1. Davis, J. S. & Ritchie H.P. : "Classification of congenital clefts of lip and palate." *J.Am.Med. Ass.*, 79 : 1323, 1922.
2. Harkin, C.S., et al : "A classification of cleft lip and palate." *Plast. & Reconst. Surg.*, 29 : 31, 1962.
3. Oldfield, M. : "Some observations on the cause and treatment of hare-lip and cleft palate based on the treatment of 1,041 patients." *B.J. Surg.*, 46 : 311, 1959.
4. Stark, R.B. : "Pathogenesis of hare-lip and cleft palate." *Plast. & Reconst. Surg.*, 13 : 20, 1954.
5. Fogh-Andersen, P. : "Inheritance of hare-lip and cleft palate." Copenhagen : Busck. 1942. Quoted by 3.
6. Rank, B.K. & Thomson, J.A. : "Cleft lip and palate in Tasmania." *Med. J., Aust.*, 47 : 681, 1960.
7. Fraser, G. R. & Calnan, J.S. : "Cleft lip and palate : Seasonal incidence, birth weight, birth rank, sex, site, associated malformations and parental age." *Arch. Dis. Childh.*, 36 : 420, 1961.

8. Woolf, C.M. et al : "A genetic study of cleft-lip and palate in Utah." *Am. J. hum. Genet.* : 15 : 209, 1963. Cited by 11.
9. Keys Smith, G. : "Cleft lip and cleft palate in Singapore. A review of 359 children and 416 operations." *The Aust. & New Zealand J. of Surg.* : 31 : 289, 1962.
10. Mac Mahon, B. & Mckeown, T : "The incidence of hare-lip and cleft palate related to birth rank and maternal age " *Am. J. hum. Genet.*, 5 : 176, 1953. Cited by 11.
11. Drillien, C. M. et al : *The causes and natural history of cleft lip and palate*, 1st Ed., Edindurgh and London : E. & S. Livingstone Ltd., 1966.
12. Loretz, W. et al : "A study of cleft lip and cleft palate births in California, 1955." *Am. J. Publ. Hlth.*, 51 : 873, 1961. Quoted by 11.
13. Malpas, P. : *J. Obst. & Gynae. Brit. Emp.* , 44 : 434, 1937, Quoted by 3.
14. Murphy, D. : *Congenital Malformations*, Philadelphia : J.B.Lippincott Co., 1947.
15. Knox, G : "The family characteristics of children with clefts of lip and palate." *Acta Genet. (Basel)*, 13 : 299, 1963. Cited by 11.
16. Peer, L. et al. : "Study of 400 pregnancies with birth of cleft lip and palate infants." *Plast. & Reconst. Surg.*, 22 : 442, 1958.
17. Masters, F.W , et al : "The prevention and treatment of hearing loss in the cleft palate child." *Plast. & Reconst. Surg.*, 23 : 503, 1960.
18. Stool, S.E. & Randall, P : "Unexpected ear disease in infants with cleft palates." *Cleft Palate J.*, 4 : 99, 1967.