THIEME

OPEN CC ()

The Role of Computed Tomography in the Diagnosis of Congenital Sensorineural Hearing Loss

Mauricio Buschle^{1,2} Rogerio Hamerschmidt¹ Jorge Eduardo Fouto Matias¹ Luiz Otavio de Mattos Coelho³ Jose Fernando Polanski^{1,4}

¹Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

²Hospital Iguaçu, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

³Diagnóstico Avançado por Imagem – DAPI, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

⁴Faculdade Evangélica Mackenzie do Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Address for correspondence Jose Fernando Polanski, MD, PhD, Hospital de Clínicas – CHC-UFPR SAM 19, Rua General Carneiro, 181, CEP 80060-900 Curitiba, PR, Brazil (e-mail: jfpolanski@gmail.com).

Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2024;28(3):e387-e393.

Abstract	Introduction One of the paths in the investigation of congenital sensoring hearing loss (CSNHL) is to try to characterize its etiology through the inner evaluation using high resolution computer tomography (CT) scans. With n malformation, it is not always possible for a simple visual inspection to recogn the structure in the inner ear is normal or not. Objective To verify if measurements of the inner ear are predictive of sensoring					
	hearing loss (SNHL) and suggest cutoff points of size limits.					
	Methods Retrospective cross-sectional study of inner ear CT scan measurements of					
	214 patients, 50 with congenital SNHL (CSNHL) and 164 acquired SNHL (ASNHL)					
	(control group).					
	Results In the CSNHL group, central bony island (CBI) were 0.48 mm smaller					
	(p < 0.001), cochlear nerve aperture was (CNA) 0.10 mm smaller $(p < 0.001)$, an					
	cochlea height was (CH) 0.15 mm smaller ($p < 0.001$). Vestibular aqueduct (VA) and					
Keywords	cochlea width (CW) were similar between groups (0.70 vs 0.72, $p = 0.19$, and 7.20 vs					
 sensorineural hearing 	7.15 $p = 0.23$). The predictive cutoff points for CSNHL were CBI = 3.6 mm, CAN = 1.4					
loss	mm, $CH = 3.4 \text{ mm}$, $CW = 7.0 \text{ mm}$, and $VA = 0.9 \text{ mm}$.					
 congenital 	Conclusion Congenital sensorineural hearing loss determined a decrease in CBI,					
 temporal bone 	opening of the cochlear nerve (OCN), and CW. Thus, these measures, at the cutoff					
tomography	points indicated should make us aware of the diagnosis of congenital bearing loss					

Introduction

The incidence of neonatal hearing loss is 1.1/1,000 in the United States, and the prevalence of mild hearing impairment or worse is 3.1% among children and adolescents.¹ When not identified during childhood, Hearing loss may harm the development of speech and language, and school, social, and emotional performance.

received January 25, 2023 accepted after revision July 30, 2023 DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0044-1786827. ISSN 1809-9777. To identify the etiology of sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL), genetic and serological tests, an investigation of autoimmune diseases, and imaging tests can be used. With minor malformation, it is not always possible for a simple visual inspection to recognize if the structure is normal or not. Thus, today, one of the paths in the investigation of congenital SNHL (CSNHL) is to try to characterize its etiology

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Thieme Revinter Publicações Ltda., Rua do Matoso 170, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, CEP 20270-135, Brazil

^{© 2024.} The Author(s).

through measurements of the inner ear, in millimetric scales, using high resolution computed tomographic exams of the temporal bones. The precise identification of the inner ear malformations has a direct impact on the diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of patients with CSNHL, and the study of the standardization of size normality of inner ear structures, such as the cochlea, semicircular canals, internal auditory canal, and VA, can, therefore, be useful in its diagnosis.

The present study aimed to assess whether measurements of the inner ear structures, such as the central bony island (CBI), cochlear nerve aperture (CNA), cochlea height (CH), cochlea width (CW), and vestibular aqueduct (VA) are predictive of CSNHL, and, secondly, to identify cutoff points in the measurements of the inner ear structures.

Methods

The study was approved by the institution's research ethics committee under the number 2.107.295 and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. It was a cross-sectional and retrospective study of patients who underwent hearing loss investigation in a tertiary referral center. The study included patients who underwent investigation of acquired sensorineural hearing loss (ASNHL group), who formed the control group, and patients with congenital sensorineural hearing loss (CSNHL group) and who underwent computed tomography study. Those with inconclusive or incomplete information in medical records or image reports were excluded. The criterion used to define congenital hearing loss was based on clinical records. We chose independent, unpaired analysis, since inner ear measurements do not change according to age or gender; and a control group with ASNHL, since inner ear structure does not change in ASNHL. The measures studied were the CBI, CNA, CH, CW, and VA. The measurements were taken from the right and left inner ears in patients of both genders, and the average between them was considered.

Image Acquisition and Analysis

High-resolution multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) images with at least 64 channels were used, with a maximum slice thickness of 0.625 mm and a 512×512 -pixel matrix, which generated unilateral volumetric images of the right and left ears in the axial plane that were further transferred to a workstation for postprocessing and analysis. The images were analyzed by an experienced head and neck radiologist. The measurements were taken using electronic tomography calipers in millimeters.

The width of the lateral semicircular canal CBI was measured in an axial section in a line connecting the apex of the canal with the communication means between the canal and the vestibule. The height and width of the basal turn of the cochlea were measured in a sagittal section at an oblique angle of the cut of greater height. Measurement of the VA was taken in the sagittal section, and the CW at the fundus of the internal auditory canal was measured in an axial plane. (**-Fig. 1**)



Fig. 1 Inner ear measurements. A = central bony island; B = cochlear height and cochlea width; C = vestibular aqueduct; D = cochlear nerve aperture.

Audiologic Exams

Pure-tone audiometry was performed in an audiometric booth at frequencies of 250, 500, 1,000, 2,000, 3,000, 4,000, 6,000, and 8,000 Hz, and hearing loss (HL) was defined when > 25 dB at PTA (pure-tone average) (calculated using 500, 1,000, 2,000, 3,000 Hz - air conduction thresholds).

Statistics

Measures of central tendency and dispersion are expressed as median and interquartile range values (median, IQR), given the asymmetrical distribution. The assumption of no normality was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test.

In the statistical analysis, the Mann-Whitney was applied to estimate possible differences between median measurements of inner ear structures. Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) and Univariate logistics regression curves were constructed to identify the cutoff points of the highest specificity and accuracy for the diagnosis of CSNHL. For all the analyses, a 5% significance level and a 95% test power were considered (Statistic 10.0., StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA).

Results

The study included 214 patients undergoing investigation of acquired sensorineural hearing loss (ASNHL group) (n = 164), who formed the control group, and patients with congenital sensorineural hearing loss (CSNHL group) (n = 50).

Measurements	ASNHL (n = 164)	CSNHL (n = 50)	р
СВІ	4.00 (3.75-4.25)	3.52 (2.25–4.00)	< 0.001
VA	0.70 (0.62–0.75)	0.72 (0.60–1.10)	0.19
CNA	1.60 (1.50–1.70)	1.50 (1.00–1.60)	< 0.001
СН	3.65 (3.50–3.77)	3.50 (3.05–3.80)	< 0.001
CW	7.20 (7.05–7.37)	7.15 (7.05–7.30)	0.23

Table 1 Comparison of measurements according to group with acquired sensorineural hearing loss and congenital sensorineural hearing loss

Abbreviations: ASNHL, acquired sensorineural hearing loss; CBI, central bony island; CH, cochlea height; CAN, cochlear nerve aperture; CSNHL, congenital sensorineural hearing loss; CW, cochlea width; VA, vestibular aqueduct. Note: Mann-Whitney test.

Note: Mann-Whitney test.

The 214 patients averaged 45 years of age (IQR = 35-52), with no difference regarding gender (p = 0.15).

A comparison of the inner ear measurements between groups showed that in the CSNHL group, median CBI measurements were 0.48 mm smaller (p < 0.001), CNA measurements were 0.10 mm smaller (p < 0.001), and CH measurements were 0.15 mm smaller (p < 0.001). For VA and CW, the measurements were similar between the ASNHL group and CSNHL group (0.70 vs 0.72, p = 0.19 e 7.20 vs 7.15 p = 0.23) (\succ Table 1).

In the univariate logistic regression analysis, performed to estimate the probability of CSNHL according to inner ear measurements, it was observed that the smaller the measurement of the CBI, the higher the probability of CSNHL. Thus, with measurements smaller than 3.6 mm, the probability of CSNHL was approximately 30%, rising to 55% with a measurement of 3 mm, and from 90 to 100% with measurements smaller than 2 mm (p < 0.001) (**~Fig. 2**).

It was also observed that the smaller the measurement of the CNA, the higher the probability of CSNHL. Thus, with measurements smaller than 1.4 mm, the probability of CSNHL was approximately 30%, rising to approximately 50% with a measurement of 1.2 mm, and from 85 to 100% with measurements smaller than 0.6 mm (p < 0.001) (**-Fig. 2**).

Similarly, it was observed that the smaller the measurement of the CH, the higher the probability of CSNHL. Thus, with measurements smaller than 3.4 mm, the probability of CSNHL was approximately 30%, rising to approximately 60% with a measurement of 3.0 mm, and from 80 to 90% with measurements smaller than 2.5 mm (p < 0.001) (**-Fig. 2**).

For the CW measurement, with measurements smaller than 7.0 mm, the probability of CSNHL was approximately 30%, rising to approximately 70% with a measurement of 5.0 mm (p = 0.04) (**Fig. 2**).

The probability of CSNHL increases with the diameter of the VA. Thus, with measurements smaller than 0.9 mm, the probability of CSNHL was approximately 30%, rising to 60% with a measurement of 1.4 mm, and from 90 to 100% with measurements greater than 2.2 mm (p < 0.001) (**– Fig. 2**).

The measurements showed low sensitivity (18–56%), but high specificity (88.4–98.8%) and accuracy (73.8–82.7%) for the suggested cutoff points (**– Table 2**).

Discussion

In the current sample, it was observed that patients with CSNHL had lower values in the measurement of CBI, CNA, and CW. The cutoff points that should cause concern for the diagnosis of CSNHL and early intervention include 3.6 mm for the CBI measurement, 1.4 mm for the CNA, 3.4 mm for the CH, 7.0 mm for the CW, and 0.9 mm for the VA.

It is estimated that in more than half of SNHL cases, etiology is associated with the genetic origin of recessive inheritance in 75 to 80% of the cases. In 15 to 20%, the inheritance is dominant, and in 1 to 2%, it is linked to the X chromosome. Approximately 30% of the hereditary forms are syndromic, with more than 300 described conditions, and the remaining 70% are non-syndromic. Of the non-genetic conditions, an environmental cause is identified in about half of the cases, and in the other half, it is considered idiopathic.²

The discussion related to inner ear diseases and malformations has advanced in the last few decades. Imaging, CT scan, or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) exams have been recommended as essential tools to identify the etiology of HL and abnormalities that may be predictive for its diagnosis.² In the CT scan of the inner ear in patients with CSNHL, malformations have been observed in 7 to 20% of the cases.²

The use of CT scan to assess CSNHL is important for its investigation and surgical planning.³ The CT scan's objective is to assist radiologists in obtaining a more accurate diagnosis of the underlying etiology and assist surgical planning.^{4,5}

Although both techniques (CT scan and MRI) may be used to assess malformations of the inner ear, the CT scan is better for abnormalities of the middle or outer ear. In contrast, for the inner ear (membranous labyrinth and nerves of the internal auditory canal), preference should be given to MRI.⁶

Considering that the size of the inner ear remains the same since birth, adults and children were included in the present sample with the goal of assessing the anatomical differences in the structures of the middle ear in patients with congenital and acquired SNHL. However, it must be acknowledged that the linear measurements of inner ear structures, both by CT scan and MRI, significantly limit the ability to assist in the diagnosis of dysplasia. For this reason, the development of two-dimensional or three-dimensional



Fig. 2 Probability of congenital sensorineural hearing loss according to inner ear measurements. CBI = Central bony island; OCN = Opening of the cochlear nerve; CH = Cochlea height; CW = Cochlea width; VA = Vestibular aqueduct. Logistic regression model: <math>p < 0,001.

Table 2 Values of sensitivity, specificity, cutoff points and area under the ROC curve of inner ear measurements for the diagnosis of congenital sensorineural hearing loss

Measurements	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy	Cutoff point	AUC
CBI	56.0	88.4	80.8	3.65	0.74
CNA	34.0	97.6	82.7	1.4	0.67
СН	34.0	96.3	81.8	3.4	0.63
CW	18.0	90.8	73.8	7.1	0.56
VA	30.0	98.8	82.7	0.9	0.56

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; CBI, central bony island; CH, cochlea height; CAN, cochlear nerve aperture; CW, cochlea width; VA, vestibular aqueduct.

Note: ROC curve.

reconstruction techniques, can improve calculations of areas or volumes.^{7–9}

The interpretation of the CT scan is highly dependent on experience, and visual inspection is sensitive for the diagnosis of more severe malformations, which represent only 1% of radiographic abnormalities found in patients with SNHL, and many minor abnormalities are not diagnosed. Thus, objective radiological measures reduce dependence on technical experience, increase sensitivity in minor abnormalities, and reduce diagnostic errors.¹⁰

In the present sample, five measurements of inner ear structures were verified in patients with CSNHL.

Central Bony Island

Regarding the CBI measurement, it was observed that CSNHL determined a decrease of about 0.48 mm. Acquired sensorineural hearing loss patients presented a measurement of approximately 4 mm, while patients with CSNHL presented approximately 3.5 mm. Thus, a decrease in the measurement of CBI was associated with a higher probability of CSNHL, with a cutoff point of 3.6 mm, from which the probability of CSNHL was greater than 30% with high specificity and accuracy (88.4–80.8%). Some authors found a cutoff point of 2.8 mm, with measurements in the control group ranging from 2.8 to 4.8 mm and from 1.5 to 4.8 mm in the group with CSNHL.¹¹

Another study found that 62.3% (48/77) of the temporal bones with congenital anomalies had abnormalities in the vestibular system.¹² Of the 117 individuals' vestibular abnormalities, 73 (62.4%) were in the semicircular canals. Among these, the lateral semicircular canal (LSCC) was the most affected, possibly explained by the later development of this anatomical structure. However, the impression remains that the VA, not the semicircular canals, is the most affected structure in patients with inner ear anomalies.¹²

Subtle abnormalities of the inner ear, such as cochlear hypoplasia and dysplasia of semicircular canals, which are responsible for most inner ear malformations associated with SNHL, are generally not seen in the temporal bone CT scan due to inexperience and lack of normative data to assist diagnosis.^{13,14} The routine measurement of cochlear height and CBI of the lateral semicircular canal, together with a visual inspection of CT images, increases the recognition of common inner ear malformations.¹⁵ Other authors suggested that routine measurement of height and the cochlear CBI of the LSCC can help in the recognition of the most common inner ear malformations.¹⁶

Vestibular Aqueduct

In the present study, VA measurements were of approximately 0.70 mm in ASNHL, rising by about 0.02 mm, on average, with CSNHL. The increase in VA measurement was associated with a higher probability of CSNHL, with a cutoff point of 0.9 mm, from which the probability of CSNHL was greater than 30%, with high specificity and accuracy (98.8% and 82.7%).

The enlarged vestibular aqueduct (EVA) is the most common malformation in children with CSNHL, which is defined when greater than 1.5 mm.^{2,17–21}

When assessing the EVA syndrome, some authors performed expanded radiographic evaluations of 50 patients with VA greater than 1.5 mm. The presence of other inner ear abnormalities was identified in 60% of this population.²²

Some authors confirmed that modiolus abnormality was found in all 93 patients with EVA, suggesting that this enlargement is not an isolated problem.²³

On the other hand, the assessment of SNHL in children was also examined in another study with 114 participants with syndromic SNHL or not. Of the 97 patients who underwent radiological studies, 38 of them (39%) presented abnormalities. Isolated inner ear malformations, especially EVA, were twice as common as multiple abnormalities, followed by LSCC dysplasia, cochlear dysplasia, and small internal auditory canal. These seem to be, in fact, the most important measurements for radiological diagnosis.²⁴

Cochlear Nerve Aperture

Two studies found significantly smaller width and height of the cochlear nerve canal in a group of 33 children with profound SNHL, previously assessed as normal in a CT scan, compared to 50 children with normal hearing. The hypoplastic bony canal of the cochlear nerve in patients with SNHL may indicate previously unrecognized embryological malformation of the cochlear nerve. Thus, they observed that the length and width of the bony canal for the cochlear nerve were significantly shorter in patients with SNHL and concluded that hypoplasia of the bony canal might indicate a previously unrecognizable embryological malformation of the cochlear nerve.^{6,25}

Some authors observed measurements of 1.91 ± 0.27 mm in patients without SNHL and 0.99 ± 0.37 mm in patients with SNHL.²⁶ In another research, authors have pointed out normal measurements ranging from 1.4 to 3.00 mm.² The absence or reduction of this canal is observed in 12 to 18% of CSNHL and measurements below 1.4 or 1.5 mm indicate abnormality.^{26,27}

In the present study, it was observed that CSNHL determined a decrease in CNA, of approximately 0.1 mm. Thus, the decrease in CNA was associated with a higher probability of CSNHL, with a cutoff point of 1.4 mm, from which the probability of hearing loss was greater than 30%, with high specificity and accuracy (97.6% and 82.7%). Stjernholm and Muren found a similar cutoff point, of 1.4 mm, to indicate the probability of abnormality in the cochlear nerve.²⁷

Cochlea Height

The CH was, on average, 3.65 mm in the ASNHL, decreasing by about 0.25 mm with the CSNHL. Thus, the decrease in CH was associated with the higher probability of CSNHL, with a cutoff point of 3.4 mm, from which the probability of CSNHL was greater than 30%, with high specificity and accuracy (96.3% and 81.8%).

Some authors carried out a study to standardize measurements of the inner ear in children with CSNHL with minor malformations and roughly normal CT scan. Measurements were made of 45 ears with SNHL, with normal CT scan, and 45 in the control group. Children with clearly abnormal CT scans or other diseases such as cytomegalovirus, measles, or other diagnostics were excluded. Measurements of CBI and lumen of the semicircular canals, height, and CW were performed. The authors found significant differences in CH, CBI of the superior semicircular canal (SSCC), and LSCC, with the height being significantly lower in the group with SNHL (4.79 vs. 4.46 mm). Central bony island of the SSCC (4.79 vs. 5.06) and LSCC (3.41 vs. 3.6 mm) measurements were also significantly lower in the SNHL group.²⁸

Cochlea Width

There was no significant alteration of the CW measurement. The measurement was, on average, 7.2 mm in the ASNHL and remained around this in the CSNHL. A decrease greater than 7.0 mm was associated with a probability of CSNHL greater than 30% with specificity of 90.8% and an accuracy of 73.8%.

Another paper correlated the cochlear measurements and CSNHL. They found that the cochlea width was significantly smaller in the CSNHL group and a size smaller than 5,4 mm was highly suggestive of hearing loss.²⁹

Würfel et al. specifically discussed how to determine the length of the cochlea from cone-beam CT scan in candidates for a cochlear implant. Using a broad database with 218 temporal bones exams, they observed that the length differed between men and women but was not different according to age or sides. The average cochlear length was 37.6 mm (SD: \pm 1.93 mm), with a median of 37.6 mm (32–43.5 mm). In addition, the authors found that the cochlea size presented a normal distribution in the sample.³⁰

The non-normality in the distribution of measurements of width and CH indicate the need for further studies, given the importance of the size of the structures for the preoperative planning of cochlear implantation, as well as length identification techniques.^{29–32}

Conclusion

Congenital sensorineural hearing loss determined a decrease in CBI, CNA, and CW. The predictive cutoff points for CSNHL were 3.6 mm for the CBI measurement, 1.4 mm for the CNA, 3.4 mm for the CH, 7.0 mm for the CW, and 0.9 mm for the VA. Thus, these measures, at the cutoff points indicated, should make us aware of the diagnosis of congenital disease and provide the opportunity for early intervention to avoid delay in the child's overall development.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the present research.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

References

- 1 Mehra S, Eavey RD, Keamy DG Jr. The epidemiology of hearing impairment in the United States: newborns, children, and adolescents. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2009;140(04):461–472
- 2 Huang BY, Zdanski C, Castillo M. Pediatric sensorineural hearing loss, part 2: syndromic and acquired causes. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2012;33(03):399–406
- 3 Yuen HY, Ahuja AT, Wong KT, Yue V, van Hasselt AC. Computed tomography of common congenital lesions of the temporal bone. Clin Radiol 2003;58(09):687–693
- 4 Tomura N, Sashi R, Kobayashi M, Hirano H, Hashimoto M, Watarai J. Normal variations of the temporal bone on high-resolution CT: their incidence and clinical significance. Clin Radiol 1995;50(03): 144–148
- 5 Davidson HC. Imaging of the temporal bone. Neuroimaging Clin N Am 2004;14(04):721–760

- 6 Casselman JW, Offeciers EF, De Foer B, Govaerts P, Kuhweide R, Somers T. CT and MR imaging of congential abnormalities of the inner ear and internal auditory canal. Eur J Radiol 2001;40(02): 94–104
- 7 Sakashita T, Sando I. Postnatal development of the internal auditory canal studied by computer-aided three-dimensional reconstruction and measurement. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1995;104(06):469–475
- 8 Furuta S, Ogura M, Higano S, Takahashi S, Kawase T. Reduced size of the cochlear branch of the vestibulocochlear nerve in a child with sensorineural hearing loss. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2000;21 (02):328–330
- 9 Nishizaki K, Yuen K, Akagi H, Ogawa T, Masuda Y. Imaging case of the month: bilateral empty internal auditory canal. Am J Otol 1999;20(01):91–92
- 10 Purcell DD, Fischbein NJ, Patel A, Johnson J, Lalwani AK. Two temporal bone computed tomography measurements increase recognition of malformations and predict sensorineural hearing loss. Laryngoscope 2006;116(08):1439–1446
- 11 Alam-Eldeen MH, Hasana N, Rashad U. Value of magnetic resonance imaging measurement of lateral semicircular canal bone island in sensorineural hearing loss patients. J Current Med Res Pract 2017;2:35–41
- 12 Sando I, Orita Y, Miura M, Balaban CD. Vestibular abnormalities in congenital disorders. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2001;942:15–24
- 13 Bamiou DE, Phelps P, Sirimanna T. Temporal bone computed tomography findings in bilateral sensorineural hearing loss. Arch Dis Child 2000;82(03):257–260
- 14 Chen JL, Gittleman A, Barnes PD, Chang KW. Utility of temporal bone computed tomographic measurements in the evaluation of inner ear malformations. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2008; 134(01):50–56
- 15 Purcell D, Johnson J, Fischbein N, Lalwani AK. Establishment of normative cochlear and vestibular measurements to aid in the diagnosis of inner ear malformations. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2003;128(01):78–87
- 16 Purcell DD, Fischbein N, Lalwani AK. Identification of previously "undetectable" abnormalities of the bony labyrinth with computed tomography measurement. Laryngoscope 2003;113(11): 1908–1911
- 17 Mafee MF, Charletta D, Kumar A, Belmont H. Large vestibular aqueduct and congenital sensorineural hearing loss. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 1992;13(02):805–819
- 18 Bamiou DE, Savy L, O'Mahoney C, Phelps P, Sirimanna T. Unilateral sensorineural hearing loss and its aetiology in childhood: the contribution of computerised tomography in aetiological diagnosis and management. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 1999;51(02):91–99
- 19 Cross NC, Stephens SDG, Francis M, Hourihan MD, Reardon W. Computed tomography evaluation of the inner ear as a diagnostic, counselling and management strategy in patients with congenital sensorineural hearing impairment. Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci 1999;24(03):235–238
- 20 Yiin RSZ, Tang PH, Tan TY. Review of congenital inner ear abnormalities on CT temporal bone. Br J Radiol 2011;84 (1005):859–863
- 21 Joshi VM, Navlekar SK, Kishore GR, Reddy KJ, Kumar EC. CT and MR imaging of the inner ear and brain in children with congenital sensorineural hearing loss. Neurol Head Neck Imaging 2012; 32:683–699
- 22 Valvassori GE, Clemis JD. The large vestibular aqueduct syndrome. Laryngoscope 1978;88(05):723-728
- 23 Lemmerling MM, Mancuso AA, Antonelli PJ, Kubilis PS. Normal modiolus: CT appearance in patients with a large vestibular aqueduct. Radiology 1997;204(01):213–219
- 24 Mafong DD, Shin EJ, Lalwani AK. Use of laboratory evaluation and radiologic imaging in the diagnostic evaluation of children with sensorineural hearing loss. Laryngoscope 2002;112(01):1–7

- 25 Fatterpekar GM, Mukherji SK, Alley J, Lin Y, Castillo M. Hypoplasia of the bony canal for the cochlear nerve in patients with congenital sensorineural hearing loss: initial observations. Radiology 2000;215(01):243–246
- 26 Komatsubara S, Haruta A, Nagano Y, Kodama T. Evaluation of cochlear nerve imaging in severe congenital sensorineural hearing loss. ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec 2007;69(03):198–202
- 27 Stjernholm C, Muren C. Aspects of temporal bone anatomy and pathology in conjunction with cochlear implant surgery. Acta Radiol Suppl 2003;430:2–15
- 28 Lan MY, Shiao JY, Ho CY, Hung HC. Measurements of normal inner ear on computed tomography in children with congenital sensorineural hearing loss. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2009;266(09):1361–1364
- 29 Teissier N, Van Den Abbeele T, Sebag G, Elmaleh-Berges M. Computed Tomography measurements of the normal and the pathologic cochlea in children. Pediatr Radiol 2010;40(03):275–283
- 30 Würfel W, Lanfermann H, Lenarz T, Majdani O. Cochlear length determination using Cone Beam Computed Tomography in a clinical setting. Hear Res 2014;316:65–72
- 31 Woolley AL, Oser AB, Lusk RP, Bahadori RS. Preoperative temporal bone computed tomography scan and its use in evaluating the pediatric cochlear implant candidate. Laryngoscope 1997;107 (08):1100–1106
- 32 Rothschild MA, Wackym PA, Silvers AR, Som PM. Isolated primary unilateral stenosis of the internal auditory canal. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 1999;50(03):219–224