



Results of a New Treatment Protocol for Sudden Sensorineural Hearing Loss Using Betamethasone for Intratympanic Therapy

Paula Tardim Lopes¹ Jessica Almeida² Ricardo Ferreira Bento¹⁰

¹ Department of Otorhinolaryngology, School of Medicine, Hospital das Clínicas, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

² Department of Otology, Fundação Otorrinolaringologia, São Paulo, SP, Brazil Address for correspondence Jessica Almeida, Department of Otology, Fundação Otorrinolaringologia, Rua Artur de Azevedo 46, São Paulo, SP, 05405-000, Brazil (e-mail: jessicampelo@hotmail.com).

Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2024;28(4):e650-e656.

Abstract	 Introduction Sudden sensorineural hearing loss (SSNHL) is defined as a rapid sensation of hearing impairment in one or both ears. Based on its personal impact on patients, the present study advances the analysis of new treatments for the prompt recognition and management of SSNHL, with higher chances of improvements in terms of hearing recovery and quality of life of the patients. Objective To describe the intratympanic (IT) corticoid infiltration technique, to demonstrate the efficacy of betamethasone injection as a sequential treatment in patients whose initial systemic steroid treatment failed, as well as to compare its use in different treatment periods. Methods The present clinical trial was conducted with 37 patients referred to our office with the diagnosis of SSNHL, from September 2019 to May 2022, who provided informed consent to participate
 Keywords hearing loss sensorineural hearing loss sudden salvage therapy steroids betamethasone 	Results Even dividing the analysis of increasing the pure tone average (PTA) or speech recognition threshold (SRT) between the difference into initiation of the salvage therapy in up to 15 days of the sudden deafness event, and between 15 days and 3 months of the event, we did not find any difference in hearing improvement. Conclusion Intratympanic corticosteroid therapy is prescribed when conventional therapy fails or when there is a limitation to the use of corticosteroids due to the presence of systemic disorders. As such, new drugs, such as bethametasone, are studied and show promising results.

Introduction

In the United States, there are approximately 66 thousand new cases of Sudden sensorineural hearing loss (SSNHL) per year.^{1,2}

This condition is defined as a rapid sensation of hearing loss in one or both ears. The audiometric criterion is a decrease in hearing of at least 30 dB, affecting 3 or more consecutive frequencies compared with the opposite ear's

received July 16, 2023 accepted June 7, 2024 DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0044-1788779. ISSN 1809-9777. thresholds, and occurring within 72 hours to several days. Also, it is often but not always accompanied by tinnitus and vertigo. According to the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders (NIDCD),³ SSNHL can have multifactorial etiologies, such as inflammation caused by viral infections, immune responses, and vascular insufficiency, as well as many unidentified causes. These factors may

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Thieme Revinter Publicações Ltda., Rua do Matoso 170, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, CEP 20270-135, Brazil

^{© 2024.} The Author(s).

explain the high degree of variability in the prognoses. When the cause is not identified, despite adequate investigation, an idiopathic etiology will be considered. Although several etiologies have been postulated, most treated cases are considered idiopathic SSNHL, with only 10% of the cases being identified.⁴

Despite the importance of studying the diagnosis protocol, this is not the goal of the present study. We are of the opinion that clinicians should refer to otolaryngologists to proceed with the Weber and Rinne test using a tuning fork, ^{5,6} and that audiometry should also be performed within 14 days of symptom onset to confirm the diagnosis of SSNHL.

Whenever possible, clinicians should prioritize the request of a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan or, at least, an auditory brainstem response, to identify the retrocochlear pathology and exclude acoustic neurinoma as an etiology, which has a rare incidence of 1:100 thousand habitants per year.⁷

Based on the psychological impact that sudden hearing loss can have on patients, the present study intends to advance research on new treatments to improve hearing recovery and patient quality of life.

Much of the literature indicates that the rates of spontaneous recovery (both partial and total) for SSNHL range from 32 to 65%. Most cases resolve within 2 weeks after onset.^{8–11} The only effective treatment using medications reported is systemic high-dose corticosteroid therapy;^{4,12} however, despite lasting 2 weeks, the prognosis is not satisfying for some of the patients.¹³ For these patients, as well as for those with a contraindication to oral corticosteroids, salvage intratympanic (IT) corticosteroid therapy is mostly indicated,^{14,15} even though a metanalysis¹⁶ has reported no differences in the therapeutic outcomes of oral, IT, or the combined treatment.

Furthermore, the IT treatment presents several advantages (**-Table 1**),¹⁷ such as:

- The procedure is well tolerated and easy to administer under local (topical) anesthesia.
- The use of general anesthesia is avoided.
- The concept of IT infiltration is easy to understand: a high concentration of a drug is injected into the perilymphatic cochlear fluid.

The purpose of the present work is not to describe the protocol of investigative exams used by our group, but to evaluate the effects of betamethasone in the treatment of SSNHL, used as salvage therapy for IT infiltration, and to correlate the results with the factors for better prognosis.

The first study that found an improvement in hearing in patients receiving oral steroids, published in 1980 by Nadol and Wilson,¹⁸ showed a rate of 78% of improvement, compared to the rate of 38% for placebo.

Other recommended treatment options are antiviral agents, hyperbaric oxygen therapy, plasma volume expanders, carbogenic therapy, vasodilator agents, and diuretics. In some cases, middle-ear surgery for fistula repair is also necessary. At the end of the treatment, patients with partial or no hearing recovery and the persistence of tinnitus or vertigo will require multidisciplinary management, including psychotherapy and audiological rehabilitation.

Regarding medication, the currently accepted gold standard for the treatment of sudden hearing loss is oral steroid therapy, with prednisolone (in doses of 1 mg/kg) is the most used drug. The treatment using IT corticosteroids is well recognized, with positive evidence worldwide, but it remains controversial in the literature concerning the type of corticosteroid, the dosage, and the treatment protocol.¹⁹

In the literature, IT steroid infiltration is recommended as salvage therapy when patients present incomplete recovery after therapy with oral steroids for approximately 3 weeks after the onset of symptoms, or in cases of patients with any comorbidity that contraindicates the use of oral corticosteroids^{12,15,20}

This therapy aims to reduce inflammation in the inner ear and help to inhibit the injury to cochlear hair cells with a considerably higher concentration of steroids inside the perilymph and inner ear compared with oral systemic administration in guinea pigs; however, IT steroids showed no considerable corticosteroids absorbed into the systemic circulation.²¹

In the literature, there is a variability in terms of drug selection, the proposed concentration of steroids, and posology using dexamethasone with dosages of 4, 10, or 24 mg/mL, or methylprednisolone 30 to 40 mg/mL delivered using needle perforation, myringotomy or, in some cases, via tympanostomy tube.²² But there is only one study²³ about

Advantages	Disadvantages
Low degree of difficult	Invasive procedure
Outpatient procedure	Risk of tympanic membrane perforation
It can be administered shortly after diagnosis	Pain
Relatively painless	Vertigo (usually temporary)
Can be used in patients to whom oral corticosteroids are contraindicated	Hearing loss risk in case of trauma in the ossicular chain
Higher concentration of corticoids in the cochlear fluid, as detected in research	Risk of otitis media

 Table 1
 Advantages and disadvantages of intratympanic steroid therapy

Lopes et al.

the use of betamethasone for IT salvage therapy as an alternative treatment for SSNHL. Betamethasone is considered a potent corticosteroid, with the same plasma half-life of -300 and 300 minutes, and anti-inflammatory potential of -30 and 30 when compared to dexamethasone. However, the ampoules contain a dose of betamethasone of 7 mg, while in Brazil commercial dexamethasone has a maximum concentration of 4mg/mL. As such, it would be necessary to manipulate the dosage of dexamethasone proposed by international protocols to reach 24 mg, which would lengthen the time until the start of the treatment. Inserting the methyl group at the 16-beta position led to the creation of betamethasone, which is 1.2 times more active and potent than dexamethasone.²⁴

The similar chemical configuration of these two substances can influence the biopharmacological activity. This also happens with many other chemical compounds with similar structures, in which only the orientation of a group is changed. In this case, the CH3 method, or adding other chemical elements, if it manages to partially or greatly alter its pharmacological behavior. The potency of both substances is very similar. They are still 20 times more potent than hydrocortisone, and 5 to 7 times more potent than prednisone. However, some authors²⁵ consider betamethasone slightly more potent than dexamethasone.

According to the literature, the recovery prognosis is dependent on several factors that will also be analyzed in the present study, including the degree of hearing loss, patient age, and time between symptom onset and the start of the treatment.

The Siegel criteria²⁶ are used to quantify the final hearing outcomes and absolute hearing gain, and they are as follows:

- · Complete recovery -final pure tone average (PTA) threshold better than 25 dB
- Slight recovery final PTA threshold between 25 and 45 dB.
- Partial recovery gain of 15 dB.
- Poor recovery gain of 15 dB with and a final PTA threshold worse than 45dB.

The decision to perform salvage IT steroid therapy is based on the amount of persistent hearing loss following the initial therapy, patient preference, along with the doctor's opinion. The risks and benefits of this treatment should be taken into consideration as well.

All the patients in the present study received an initial or concomitant oral treatment, which included steroids and other medications, with a variation among the cases, considering the protocol of their previous treatment center. The decision to initiate steroid salvage therapy before the end of the oral treatment is dependent on the level of hearing loss. In cases in which the initial PTA was worse than 45 dB, the IT administration of steroids was initiated at the first appointment. When the initial PTA was better than 45 dB, the IT administration of steroids was proposed as a salvage therapy, if there was partial or no response at the end of the oral treatment, considering that the deadline for this salvage therapy is of up to 3 months after the first symptoms. However, in the literature, we found a deadline of up to 1 month after the event.

The procedure was performed every 3 days, for 3 meetings. One week after treatment completion, audiometry was performed and compared with the preinjection values of PTA and speech recognition threshold (SRT) to evaluate if there was an improvement or not. In cases of partial improvement, the 3 applications, 1 every 2 days, were repeated and, after 1 week, a new audiometry was performed.

The salvage therapy ends when the audiogram no longer presents improvement, and, in the present study, this occurred after a maximum of 6 injections.

Hearing improvement was defined as a decrease of 15 dB or more in the PTA at the four frequencies (0.5, 1, 2, and 3 kHz) after the final treatment. Then, the PTA threshold difference was analyzed at each frequency. Therefore, the criteria used to define refractory SSNHL was fewer than 15 dB in PTA gain.²⁶

Patient characteristics, including sex, age, and time until the IT steroid treatment are summarized in -Table 2.

The PTAs and SRT levels, before and after the salvage treatment, as well as the number of IT injections, are summarized in ►Table 3.

Inclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria were: presence of SSNHL, defined as a sensorineural hearing loss of at least 30 dB at 3 contiguous frequencies over 3 days; age \geq 18 years; patients who did not respond well to the oral treatment; those who presented a mean PTA (at the frequencies of 500, 1,000, 2,000, and 4,000 kHZ) worse than 30 dB of 15 dB worse than the opposite ear at the end of the oral systemic treatment; patients with a diagnosis of diabetes, renal failure, or any contraindication to the oral therapy; time from hearing loss onset to the start of the treatment longer than 14 days, considering oral corticosteroids as the first treatment when the initial PTA was better than 45 dB; patients to whom the IT steroid treatment was proposed during the first consultation (that is, it was not administered as an alternative treatment), if the initial PTA was worse than 45 dB; and patients without a history of ear diseases, except for Menière disease.

Exclusion Criteria

The exclusion criteria were: patients with contraindications to the IT administration of steroids, such as those with middle- and outer-ear infections; subjects recently submitted to ear surgery; those with middle-ear pathologies, such as eardrum rupture; patients recently submitted to radiation therapy or chemotherapy; subjects with congenital cochlear malformations or cochlear hemorrhage observed on the radiological examination; recent use of ototoxic medications; patients only treated more than 3 months after the event; pregnant subjects; and patients with glaucoma.

As soon as the MRI scan showed any of the exclusion criteria, such as cochlear hemorrhage, perilimphatic fistula or acoustic neuroma, the patients were removed from the statistical analyses.

Variable	Total (N = 37)	Improvement (n=28)	No improvement (n = 9)	
Laterality				
Right	21 (56.8%)	15 (53.6%)	6 (66.7%)	
Left	16 (43.2%)	13 (46.4%)	3 (33.3%)	
Sex				
Female	10 (27.0%)	8 (28.6%)	2 (22.2%)	
Male	27 (73.0%)	20 (71.4%)	7 (77.8%)	
Age (years)	51.0 (37.0–61.0)	51.0 (36.8–61.2)	45.0 (43.0-61.0)	
Time until treatment (days)	21.0 (7.0–35.0)	17.5 (7.0–31.2)	30.0 (30.0-60.0)	
Baseline audiometry (dB)				
250 Hz	50.0 (30.0-60.0)	47.5 (28.8–60.0)	55.0 (40.0–75.0)	
500 Hz	55.0 (35.0–70.0)	52.5 (38.8–70.0)	60.0 (30.0-70.0)	
1,000 Hz	55.0 (30.0–70.0)	50.0 (30.0-72.5)	70.0 (15.0–70.0)	
1,500 Hz	60.0 (25.0–75.0)	57.5 (25.0–76.2)	60.0 (10.0–70.0)	
2,000 Hz	60.0 (25.0–75.0)	57.5 (23.8–77.5)	70.0 (45.0–75.0)	
4,000 Hz	65.0 (35.0-80.0)	60.0 (28.8–76.2)	70.0 (60.0-80.0)	
6,000 Hz	70.0 (35.0–85.0)	70.0 (30.0-86.2)	75.0 (55.0–80.0)	
8,000 Hz	70.0 (50.0–90.0)	70.0 (32.5–90.0)	70.0 (60.0–75.0)	
Pure tone average				
Pretreatment	55.0 (30.0-80.0)	45.0 (30.0-80.0)	60.0 (10.0–70.0)	
Posttreatment	25.0 (15.0–45.0)	25.0 (15.0-40.0)	65.0 (10.0–75.0)	
Etiology				
Idiopathic	16 (43.2%)	8 (28.6%)	8 (88.9%)	
Nonidiopathic	21 (56.8%)	20 (71.4%)	1 (11.1%)	

Table 2	Descriptive	data st	ratified i	in t	erms	of	improv	ement
---------	-------------	---------	------------	------	------	----	--------	-------

Note: Data presented as n (%) or median (interquartile range) values.

Intratympanic Steroid Therapy

Under otomicroscopy or through a 0-degree endoscope attached to an m-scope, using a cellphone application, after confirming an intact tympanic membrane, the patients were placed in the surgical otological position (affected ear up, with the head rotated 45 degrees toward the healthy ear). Topical anesthesia was applied to the external acoustic canal, and lidocaine 10% pump spray, at 10 mg/dose, was applied in the tympanic membrane. The patients were kept in this position for 5 minutes.

After cleaning the local anesthesia using a surgical stylet and cotton through the posteroinferior quadrant of the tympanic membrane, a single myringotomy was performed using 25-G spinal needles, and between 0,5 and 1 mL was slowly injected until it filled the middle ear, which was enough to see overflow in the external auditory canal. During this procedure, the patients were instructed to avoid moving, with the head tilted 45 degrees towards the healthy side, and avoid swallowing for 2 min. During the procedure, most of the patients, reported tasting the medication in their mouth, as it reaches the eustachian tube and the oropharynx. Also, some reported dizziness as the medication filled the tympanic cavity. After the procedure, the patients were instructed to protect the eardrum until the last meeting, when the doctor ensured there was no residual eardrum perforation.

 Table 3
 Multivariate logistic regression with improvement as the dependent variable

Variables	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval	<i>p</i> -value
Laterality (left)	0.77	0.10–5.71	0.794
Age (years)	1.03	0.98–1.09	0.274
Time until treatment (days)	1.01	0.99–1.03	0.189
Etiology (idiopathic)	60.60	5.02–5,017	0.011

Results

As shown in **-Table 2**, from the sample of 37 patients, 28 showed improvement (75,7%) with the salvage therapy, while 9 patients did not (24%); considering the PTA, 56% had the right ear affected and 43.2%, the left. Also, 73% of patients were male, with a median age of 51 years. The median time until the initiation of the salvage therapy with the application of IT corticoid was of 21 days, and 56.8% had the etiology of the deafness suddenly identified, while in 43.2% it was defined as idiopathic. The median PTA preinfiltration was of 55 dB, and the postinfiltration value was of 25 dB.

- Table 3 shows the analysis of each dependent variable to obtain hearing improvement after the IT therapy. Factors such as sex, age, and laterality were not statistically responsible for the hearing improvement outcome. Among the patients who received IT injection therapy, the time of treatment initiation was not a dependent variable for success, with no demonstration of statistical significance up to the 3 months stipulated as the time limit for corticosteroid application.

In **- Table 3**, we also divided the time to start the treatment with IT corticosteroid between up to 15 days and over 15 days from the sudden deafness event, with no statistically significant difference between the results, despite demonstrating a tendency toward better outcomes when treatment started earlier, with a higher percentage of improvement (32.1%) over the patients with no improvement (11.1%). On the other hand, in those with > 15 days until the start of the treatment, the chance of not presenting improvement was higher (88.9%), while 67.9% presented improvement.

Considering all patients, **- Graphic 1** shows that the greatest PTA difference in improvement occurred in the low frequencies, with 8 kHz being practically unaffected by the



Graphic 1 The difference in PTA pre- and postsalvage therapy of the 37 patients.



Graphic 2 The difference in PTA pre- and postsalvage therapy of the patients who presented improvement.

therapy, and the vast majority having an improvement in the 7-kHz frequency.

According to **Graphic 2**, hearing improvement occurs when there is a difference greater than 15 dB between preand post-IT infiltration levels, which is concentrated in frequencies lower than 4 kHz.

- Graphic 3 shows the PTA median graphs before and after IT therapy, demonstrating a decrease in their values.

Complications

No severe adverse effects were noted in any of the patients in the present study. Among the final number of 37 patients who received ITS treatment, minor side effects such as local pain at the moment of medication injection was felt by 9 patients, and transient dizziness which lasted for 1 minute



Graphic showing PTA median graphs before intratympanic therapy and after, showing a decrease in their values.

Graphic 3 Pre- and posttherapy results of the patients who presented improvement.

Variables	Total (N = 37)	Improvement (n = 28)	No improvement (n=9)	<i>p</i> -value
Time until treatment: n (%)				0.393
\geq 15 days	27 (73.0%)	19 (67.9%)	8 (88.9%)	
< 15 days	10 (27.0%)	9 (32.1%)	1 (11.1%)	

Tab	le 4	Association	between	time unt	l treatment	and	outcome
-----	------	-------------	---------	----------	-------------	-----	---------

on average was felt by 18 of them. One of the patients had dizziness lasting more than 1 minute, described as dizziness, followed by imbalance. This last one felt the symptoms for about 3 hours, which then vanished after taking 1 tablet of symptomatic medication, such as dimenhydrinate. No permanent tympanic membrane perforation was observed at the end of the follow-up, at the last audiogram.

Statistical Analysis

After data entry, we performed the statistical analysis; the continuous variables were expressed as median and quartile values, And the categorical variables, as relative and absolute frequencies. ► **Table 2** shows the stratification of the sample according to the degree of improvement.

To assess the factors associated with hearing improvement, logistic regression models were used, with the dependent variable in binary format (improvement or not), and categorized as mild, partial, or complete improvement. The sex, age, laterality, and time from onset of symptoms to treatment initiation were analyzed as potential predictors.

All tests were two-tailed and final *p*-values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyzes were conducted using the R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) software.

Discussion

Although SSNHL will often spontaneously improve without any treatment, directed therapy against its known causes and corticosteroid therapy, either systemic or IT, for the idiopathic condition are the mainstays of these patients' care. The possibility of hearing improvement makes this a reasonable treatment, considering its profound impact on quality of life.

The mechanisms through which the drugs act have not been entirely understood, but it is thought that they reduce cytotoxic immune response through their potent antinflammatory effect. They may also increase microvascular blood flow.^{27,28}

The prognosis for hearing recovery using IT therapy was based on several factors, including duration and degree of deafness, age, starting time of oral medication use, and starting time of IT salvage therapy.

In the present study, even dividing the analysis of increasing the PTA or SRT into time until treatment initiation of the salvage therapy up to 15 days of sudden deafness event, and between 15 days and 3 months of the event, there was no difference in hearing improvement. As shown in **– Table 4**, we believe the reason for the lack of statistical difference was due to the prescription of IT corticosteroids within 15 days of sudden deafness in patients who had their first consultation with PTA results worse than 40 dB, which were already considered the worst. However, in general, in patients with early onset of treatment (< 15 days after sudden deafness), the results of the therapy tended to be better. This lead us to believe that a statistical difference with better results from early therapy could be reached if the present study had a larger number of patients to evaluate during follow-up, or if the patients who received IT corticosteroids in the first medical appointment, associated with oral treatment, had the same median SRT as those who received it after finishing the oral treatment.

In studies examining the improvement of sudden SNHL by corticosteroid IT therapy, Koo et al.²⁹ and Liebau et al.³⁰ reported recovery rates of about 78 and 55% respectively. In the present study, effective results using betamethasone were observed, with a 75.7% recovery rate and a similar median age of the affected patients.

Conclusion

Intratympanic corticosteroid therapy is prescribed when conventional therapy fails, or when there is a limitation to the use of corticosteroids due to systemic disorders, such as diabetes mellitus or renal failure.

Based on the results of the present article, further prospective studies are needed, with a control group and treatment standardization, to compare the data obtained and the applicability of the proposed therapy and audiological follow-up with acoustic and electrophysiological exams.

It is not simple to prove the effectiveness of this treatment, but the proposed therapy with betamethasone was also administered to patients with long-term SSNHL, of up to 3 months, who were already stable and had no improvement of the SRT and PTA levels, with the results shown being similar to those of dexamethasone. Finally, the medication chosen by us is more accessible and timesaving for patients, given how the dexamethasone dosage proposed in the literature would require pharmacological manipulation.

Funding

The authors declare that they have not received funding from agencies in the public, private or non-profit sectors to conduct the present study.

Lopes et al.

Conflict of Interests The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

References

- 1 Hughes GB, Freedman MA, Haberkamp TJ, Guay ME. Sudden sensorineural hearing loss. Otolaryngol Clin North Am 1996;29 (03):393-405
- 2 Vijayendra H, Buggaveeti G, Parikh B, Sangitha R. Sudden sensorineural hearing loss: an otologic emergency. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2012;64(01):1–4
- 3 National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders. NIDCD Fact Sheet: Sudden Deafness. Washington, DC:: US Department of Health and Human Services;; 2018
- 4 Kuhn M, Heman-Ackah SE, Shaikh JA, Roehm PC. Sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a review of diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis. Trends Amplif 2011;15(03):91–105https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4040829/
- 5 Stevens JR, Pfannenstiel TJ. The otologist's tuning fork examination-are you striking it correctly? Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2015;152(03):477-479
- 6 Ahmed OH, Gallant SC, Ruiz R, Wang B, Shapiro WH, Voigt EP. Validity of the hum test, a simple and reliable alternative to the Weber test. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2018;127(06):402–405
- 7 Saunders JE, Luxford WM, Devgan KK, Fetterman BL. Sudden hearing loss in acoustic neuroma patients. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1995;113(01):23–31
- 8 Alexander TH, Harris JP. Incidence of sudden sensorineural hearing loss. Otol Neurotol 2013;34(09):1586–1589
- 9 Byl FM. Seventy-six cases of presumed sudden hearing loss occurring in 1973: prognosis and incidence. Laryngoscope 1977;87(5 Pt 1):817–825
- 10 Mattox DE, Simmons FB. Natural history of sudden sensorineural hearing loss. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1977;86(4 Pt 1):463–480
- 11 Plontke SK, Meisner C, Agrawal S, et al. Intratympanic corticosteroids for sudden sensorineural hearing loss. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2022;7(07):CD008080
- 12 Ho HG, Lin HC, Shu MT, Yang CC, Tsai HT. Effectiveness of intratympanic dexamethasone injection in sudden-deafness patients as salvage treatment. Laryngoscope 2004;114(07):1184–1189
- 13 Chandrasekhar SS, Tsai Do BS, Schwartz SR, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline: Sudden Hearing Loss (Update). Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2019;161(1_suppl):S1–S45
- 14 Rauch SD, Halpin CF, Antonelli PJ, et al. Oral vs intratympanic corticosteroid therapy for idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a randomized trial. JAMA 2011;305(20):2071–2079
- 15 Hong SM, Park CH, Lee JH. Hearing outcomes of daily intratympanic dexamethasone alone as a primary treatment modality for ISSHL. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2009;141(05):579–583
- 16 Mirian C, Ovesen T. Intratympanic vs Systemic Corticosteroids in First-line Treatment of Idiopathic Sudden Sensorineural Hearing

Loss: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2020;146(05):421–428

- 17 Crane RA, Camilon M, Nguyen S, Meyer TA. Steroids for treatment of sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Laryngoscope 2015;125(01):209–217
- 18 Nadol J, Wilson W. Treatment of sudden hearing loss is illogical. Controversy in Otolaryngology 1980:22–32
- 19 Wei BP, Stathopoulos D, O'Leary S. Steroids for idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013; 2013(07):CD003998
- 20 Dallan I, Bruschini L, Nacci A, et al. Transtympanic steroids as a salvage therapy in sudden hearing loss: preliminary results. ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec 2006;68(05):247–252
- 21 Chandrasekhar SS, Rubinstein RY, Kwartler JA, et al. Dexamethasone pharmacokinetics in the inner ear: comparison of route of administration and use of facilitating agents. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2000;122(04):521–528
- 22 Schreiber BE, Agrup C, Haskard DO, Luxon LM. Sudden sensorineural hearing loss. Lancet 2010;375(9721):1203–1211
- 23 Abdullah H, Erfanian R, Yazdani N, Hajbegloo S, Tajdini A. Evaluation and comparison of the efficacy of long-acting betamethasone and dexamethasone as injections in the treatment of idiopathic sudden hearing loss. Am J Otolaryngol 2021;42(04):102955https:// pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33588138/ cited 2023May30
- 24 Synthesis of Essential Drugs | ScienceDirect [Internet]. www. sciencedirect.com. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect. com/book/9780444521668/synthesis-of-essential-drugs
- 25 xPharm: The Comprehensive Pharmacology Reference [Internet]. www.scienceopen.com. [cited 2023 May 30]. Available from: https://www.scienceopen.com/book?vid=ca175ad1-ec77-4c01-9e26-6091527c76ed
- 26 Cheng YF, Chu YC, Tu TY, Shiao AS, Wu SL, Liao WH. Modified Siegel's criteria for sudden sensorineural hearing loss: Reporting recovery outcomes with matched pretreatment hearing grades. J Chin Med Assoc 2018;81(11):1008–1012
- 27 Skarzynska M, H. Skarzynski P. Corticosteroids in Otorhinolaryngology. Corticosteroids - A Paradigmatic Drug Class [Internet]. 2021 Nov 24; Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.98636
- 28 Alexander TH, Harris JP, Nguyen QT, Vorasubin N. Dose Effect of Intratympanic Dexamethasone for Idiopathic Sudden Sensorineural Hearing Loss: 24 mg/mL Is Superior to 10 mg/mL. Otol Neurotol 2015;36(08):1321–1327
- 29 Koo J-W, Chang MY, Yun S-C, et al. The efficacy and safety of systemic injection of Ginkgo biloba extract, EGb761, in idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2016;273(09): 2433–2441
- 30 Liebau A, Pogorzelski O, Salt AN, Plontke SK. Hearing changes after intratympanically applied steroids for primary therapy of sudden hearing loss: a meta-analysis using mathematical simulations of drug delivery protocols. Otol Neurotol 2017;38(01):19–30