

Metachronous Second Primary in the Form of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Following Treatment of Small Cell Neuroendocrine Carcinoma of the Head and Neck: Dual Tracer PET/CT Findings Highlighting SSTR2 Expression and Its Theranostic Implications

Yeshwanth Edamadaka^{1,2} Sunita Nitin Sonavane^{1,2} Sandip Basu^{1,2}

¹Radiation Medicine Centre, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Tata Memorial Hospital Annexe, Jerbai Wadia Road, Parel, Mumbai, India ²Homi Bhabha National Institute, Mumbai, India,

World J Nuclear Med 2024;23:317-320.

Abstract

Keywords

- sinonasal carcinoma
- small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma
- ► NEC
- ► ¹⁸F-FDG
- ► [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-DOTATATE
- nasopharyngeal carcinoma
- metachronous second primary malignancy

Patients of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) experience increased risk of developing second primary cancer (SPC) necessitating active surveillance during their disease course. SPCs are associated with poor prognosis and are the leading cause of long-term morbidity and mortality impacting survival of patients with HNSCC. Small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (SmNEC) is a rare but aggressive neoplasm with poor prognosis and high risk of local recurrence and distant metastasis. We report an exceedingly rare case of nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) presenting as a recurrence in the form of metachronous second primary to primary SmNEC 9 years after chemotherapy. The dual tracer positron emission tomography and computed tomography (PET/CT) imaging approach ([⁶⁸Ga]Ga-DOTATATE-PET/CT with ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT) was explored in such metachronous NPCs, and the findings are illustrated with its potential for theranostic applications. NPC is a rare malignancy with significant geographical variations in incidence rates. Somatostatin receptor 2 (SSTR2) expression in NPC is well documented and can serve as a potential theragnostic marker in advanced NPC where the successful outcome is minimal with currently available treatment modalities.

Introduction

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) shows increased risk of developing second primary cancer (SPC). Patients with HNSCC have an approximately yearly incidence of 3 to 7% of SPC with a 20-year cumulative risk of 36%.¹ SPC is associated with three times higher risk of mortality than

article published online July 24, 2024

DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0044-1790599. ISSN 1450-1147.

metastatic disease and accounts for one-third of HNSCC deaths.² The incidence of SPC in a large population cohort was found to be 12.3%. Excess SPC risk was found to be standardized incidence ratios (SIRs) of 2.07 and absolute excess absolute risk (EAR) of 164.3 cases per 10,000 personyears at risk.³ We present a case of small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (SmNEC) treated on chemotherapy alone, which

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction so long as the original work is properly cited. (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Pvt. Ltd., A-12, 2nd Floor, Sector 2, Noida-201301 UP, India

Address for correspondence Sandip Basu, MBBS (Hons), DRM, Diplomate NB, MNAMS, Radiation Medicine Centre (BARC), Tata Memorial Hospital Annexe, Jerbai Wadia Road, Parel, Mumbai 400012, India (e-mail: drsanb@yahoo.com).

^{© 2024.} The Author(s).

showed significant clinical and imaging response. However, clinical evidence of recurrence was observed 9 years later, and the final histological diagnosis was nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC).

Case History

A 30-year-old male patient presented with recurrent epistaxis, headache, and swelling on the right side of the face for 3 months with progressive epiphora in the right eye. He was a known smoker for 15 years and tobacco chewer for 5 years. On clinical examination, a mass was seen occupying the right nostril with adjacent fullness in the cheek, right-sided proptosis with normal vision, and no enlarged neck nodes. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) evaluation showed right nasal cavity mass causing buckling of the lateral nasal wall with remodeling of the right inferior orbital wall and associated minimal displacement of the right eye suspicious for malignancy. Fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography and computed tomography (¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT) scan done for staging revealed a right nasal cavity mass measuring $8.7 \times 4.7 \times 6$ cm, maximum standardized uptake value (SUVmax) of 6.3 with locoregional extension into the right maxillary sinus and the nasopharynx. There was evidence of destruction of the cribriform plate of the right ethmoid sinus with the mass reaching up to the skull base. The right nasal mass biopsy showed a small round cell tumor with crushing artifacts and areas of necrosis. On immunohistochemistry (IHC), the tumor cells were positive for AE1/AE3 and CD56 and negative for LCA, Mic-2, desmin, synaptophysin, and chromogranin with Mib-1 labeling index of 50 to 55% establishing a diagnosis of SmNEC. He then underwent neoadjuvant chemotherapy with cisplatin and etoposide. After the first cycle, there was significant response with decreased headache, epistaxis, epiphora, and complete relief of nasal blockade. Postchemotherapy hematology workup showed a grade III neutropenia and his chemotherapy was delayed. He received his second chemotherapy after recovery. His computed tomography (CT) scan showed no disease, and he was unwilling to undergo any local treatment. He was asymptomatic when evaluated 6 months following the two cycles of chemotherapy and no other treatment. He did not follow up despite multiple efforts explaining the risk of recurrence by the attending physician and presented with similar symptoms as 9 years earlier including epistaxis and breathing difficulty, which were progressive in nature.

He underwent a contrast-enhancing CT scan, which showed a solid enhancing mass in the posterior half of the nasal cavity with local infiltration into the sphenoid sinus and osteolysis of the bony nasal septum. An illustrative image showing a dual tracer, that is, ¹⁸F-FDG and [⁶⁸Ga] Ga-DOTA-Tyr3-octreotate ([⁶⁸Ga]-Ga-DOTATATE) PET/CT demonstrating a suspicious mass in the nasal cavity is shown in **-Fig. 1**. Repeat biopsy showed keratinizing stratified squamous epithelium with individual cells having hyperchromatic round nucleus and moderate amount of



Fig. 1 Dual tracer PET/CT (¹⁸F-FDG and [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-DOTATATE) illustrative images showing nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) measuring 4.1 × 1.8 × 4 cm (*red arrow*). (A) Left lateral maximum-intensity projection (MIP) of ¹⁸F-FDG-PET scan (upper image) showing uptake in the posterior half of the nasal cavity (maximum standardized uptake value [SUVmax] of 6.59) and ¹⁸F-FDG-PET axial image (lower image) showing a well-delineated mass from physiological brain uptake. (B) Sagittal fused ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT (upper image) showing mass reaching up to the cribriform plate superiorly and posteriorly causing osteolysis with protrusion into the sphenoid sinus and the axial fused image (lower image) showing involvement of the bony nasal septum with SUVmax 7.04. (C) Left lateral MIP of [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-DOTATATE-PET (upper image) and axial image (lower image) show increased somatostatin receptor (SSTR) expression in a well-delineated mass with good lesion to background contrast. (D) Sagittal fused [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-DOTATATE-PET/CT (upper image) and axial fused image (lower image) showing heterogenous SSTR expression with SUVmax 10.17.

eosinophilic cytoplasm features consistent with NPC. He underwent five cycles of injection carboplatin and injection paclitaxel every 3 weeks. Clinically, his symptoms improved with reduction in size of the NPC, 9 months from the diagnosis.

Discussion

An SPC is defined as the subsequent primary cancer occurring at least 2 months after the first cancer diagnosis, tumors arising in different sites or at the same site with different histological findings.⁴ SPCs are associated with poor prognosis and are the leading cause of long-term morbidity and mortality, impacting survival of patients. Field cancerization effect describes the association of HNSCC with SPC in which carcinogenic effects from tobacco and alcohol may act simultaneously on the aerodigestive tract, elevating the risk of cancer through the head and neck, lung, and esophagus.⁵ The risk of HNSCC attributable to alcohol and tobacco exposure varies by subsite; a case control study showed that tobacco is strongly associated with risk of laryngeal cancers, while alcohol is associated with oropharyngeal cancers.⁶

SmNEC of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses is a rare but aggressive neoplasm with poor prognosis and high risk of local recurrence and distant metastasis. Extrapulmonary SmNEC accounts for 2.5 to 5% of all SmNECs.⁷ The most commonly affected sites in the head and neck are the larynx, oral cavity, and pharynx, with SNEC of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses constituting an even smaller proportion.⁷ A systemic review of 80 cases of sinonasal SmNEC reported nasal cavity as the most common site of involvement and the majority of cases presented with the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) stage IV disease. The median time for recurrence was 9 months in a total of 32 patients who had a local, regional, or distant metastasis.⁸ The histopathology of sinonasal SmNEC mirrors the pulmonary equivalent with dense cellular tumors arranged in sheets, cords, and ribbons with cells having high nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratios. Conclusive diagnosis of SmNEC is based on IHC, such as positive CD56 and cytokeratin markers; positive synaptophysin or chromogranin A expression is not necessary for diagnosis. The MiB-1 index represents the cell proliferation activity of SmNEC.⁹ The treatment response depends on the stage, differentiation grade, and cell proliferation rate rather than by the TNM (tumor size, node involvement, and metastasis status) classification.^{10 18}F-FDG-PET/CT metabolic parameters help in characterization of some of the common sinonasal neoplasms into different histopathological subgroups, but there is a considerable overlap.¹¹ Liu et al¹² demonstrated significant somatostatin receptor (SSTR) expression in a metastatic high-grade SmNEC in nasal cavity and vertebral body lesions, which were not detected on CT scan. Adnan and Basu¹³ reported a case of recurrent metastatic SmNEC. Due to limited options and significant SSTR and FDG expression, a combined protocol of [177Lu]Lu-DOTATATE and platinum-based chemotherapy was found to be an management effective.

There have been a couple of case reports on the incidence of SmNEC after successful treatment of NPC, which was diagnosed on histopathology.^{14,15} On the contrary, we present a case of NPC presenting as a recurrence to SmNEC treated with chemotherapy alone.

NPC is a rare malignancy with significant geographical variations in incidence rates. We report a case of NPC as an SPC in an already treated case of SmNEC, which to our knowledge has not been reported yet.¹⁶ ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT did not provide any survival benefit in stage I and II NPC patients However, ¹⁸F-FDG can provide accurate diagnosis of lymph nodes compared to conventional modalities including MRI/CT in NPC.¹⁷ It is highly recommended for patients with bilateral lymphadenopathy or palpable lymph nodes below cricoid cartilage, due to high risk of occult distant metastasis. ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT helps in radiotherapy planning, prognostic information, assessment of therapeutic response, and follow-up for detection of recurrence.¹⁸

Somatostatin receptor 2 (SSTR2) expression has been documented in NPC in case reports.¹⁹ LMP1, a protein product of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), governs proliferative signaling pathways associated with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and nuclear factor kappa B (NF-кB). NF-кB signaling has been demonstrated to regulate SSTR2 expression in NPC.²⁰ Xu et al²¹ showed a positive correlation between EGFR and SSTR2, associated with higher risk of progression and worse outcome. EGFR-targeted drugs have been shown to be effective in recent studies, but drug resistance poses a significant challenge. SSTR2 expression in NPC can be a potential theragnostic biomarker. Zhao et al²² reported comparable diagnostic efficiency of [68Ga]Ga-DOTATATE-PET/CT with ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT, with better image contrast. Recently Zheng et al²³ reported [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-DOTA-TATE to be superior to [68Ga]Ga-FAPI PET/CT in visualizing the primary cancer and in detecting local recurrence and metastatic lesions in NPC. Intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) is the mainstay of treatment with good outcome, and optimal treatment strategy for patients with advanced NPC is not standardized.²⁴ Dual-tracer PET/CT functional imaging can biologically characterize tumors so that their treatments can be optimized by assessing tumor biology on a continuous scale and evaluating intra- and inter-lesional heterogeneity.²⁵

Conclusion

We conclude that the relationship between SmNEC and NPC is unusual and can be attributed to shared risk factors. Further, somatostatin-based molecular imaging can serve as a feasible option in the management of recurrence or metastatic NPC.

Conflict of Interest None declared.

References

- 1 Chuang SC, Scelo G, Tonita JM, et al. Risk of second primary cancer among patients with head and neck cancers: a pooled analysis of 13 cancer registries. Int J Cancer 2008;123(10):2390–2396
- 2 van der Schroeff MP, van de Schans SA, Piccirillo JF, Langeveld TP, Baatenburg de Jong RJ, Janssen-Heijnen ML. Conditional relative

survival in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: permanent excess mortality risk for long-term survivors. Head Neck 2010;32 (12):1613–1618

- ³ Adjei Boakye E, Buchanan P, Hinyard L, Osazuwa-Peters N, Schootman M, Piccirillo JF. Incidence and risk of second primary malignant neoplasm after a first head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2018;144(08):727–737
- 4 Muir CS, Percy C. Cancer registration: principles and methods. Classification and coding of neoplasms. IARC Sci Publ 1991; 95:64–81
- 5 Slaughter DP, Southwick HW, Smejkal W. Field cancerization in oral stratified squamous epithelium; clinical implications of multicentric origin. Cancer 1953;6(05):963–968
- 6 Lubin JH, Purdue M, Kelsey K, et al. Total exposure and exposure rate effects for alcohol and smoking and risk of head and neck cancer: a pooled analysis of case-control studies. Am J Epidemiol 2009;170(08):937–947
- 7 Pointer KB, Ko HC, Brower JV, et al. Small cell carcinoma of the head and neck: an analysis of the National Cancer Database. Oral Oncol 2017;69:92–98
- 8 Rivero A, Liang J. Sinonasal small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma: a systematic review of 80 patients. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol 2016; 6(07):744–751
- 9 Rosenthal DI, Barker JLJr, El-Naggar AK, et al. Sinonasal malignancies with neuroendocrine differentiation: patterns of failure according to histologic phenotype. Cancer 2004;101(11):2567–2573
- 10 Naito Y, Yamada K, Imamura Y, et al. Rechallenge treatment with a platinum-based regimen in patients with sensitive relapsed small-cell lung cancer. Med Oncol 2018;35(05):61
- 11 Ozturk K, Gencturk M, Caicedo-Granados E, Li F, Cayci Z. Utility of FDG PET/CT in the characterization of sinonasal neoplasms: analysis of standardized uptake value Parameters. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2018;211(06):1354–1360
- 12 Liu KY, Goldrich DY, Ninan SJ, et al. The value of ⁶⁸Gallium-DOTATATE PET/CT in sinonasal neuroendocrine tumor management: a case series. Head Neck 2021;43(06):E30–E40
- 13 Adnan A, Basu S. Combined ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTATATE peptide receptor radionuclide therapy and platinum-based chemotherapy in recurrent, metastatic sinonasal neuroendocrine carcinoma: a promising therapeutic option. J Nucl Med Technol 2020;48(03):292–294
- 14 Lin CH, Chiang TP, Shum WY, et al. Primary small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma of the nasal cavity after successful curative therapy of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a case report. Kaohsiung J Med Sci 2009;25(03):145–150

- 15 Madhusudhan K, Hassan N, Shukri N, Hitam S, Bakrin I. Small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma: a rare second primary malignancy after treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Romanian Journal of Rhinology 2018;8:187–192
- 16 Haleshappa RA, Thanky AH, Kuntegowdanahalli L, Kanakasetty GB, Dasappa L, Jacob L. Epidemiology and outcomes of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: experience from a regional cancer center in Southern India. South Asian J Cancer 2017;6(03):122– 124
- 17 Yang SS, Wu YS, Chen WC, et al. Benefit of [¹⁸F]-FDG PET/CT for treatment-naïve nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2022;49(03):980–991
- 18 Mohandas A, Marcus C, Kang H, Truong MT, Subramaniam RM. FDG PET/CT in the management of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2014;203(02):W146–W157
- 19 Schartinger VH, Dudás J, Url C, et al. (68)Ga-DOTA (0)-Tyr (3)octreotide positron emission tomography in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2015;42(01):20–24
- 20 Lechner M, Schartinger VH, Steele CD, et al. Somatostatin receptor 2 expression in nasopharyngeal cancer is induced by Epstein Barr virus infection: impact on prognosis, imaging and therapy. Nat Commun 2021;12(01):117
- 21 Xu Y, Quan Z, Zhan Y, et al. SSTR2 positively associates with EGFR and predicts poor prognosis in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Clin Pathol 2023:jcp-2023-208987
- 22 Zhao L, Pang Y, Wang Y, et al. Somatostatin receptor imaging with [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-DOTATATE positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2022;49(04):1360–1373
- 23 Zheng J, Wang G, Ru Q, et al. A head-to-head comparison of [⁶⁸Ga] Ga-DOTATATE and [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-FAPI PET/CT in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a single-center, prospective study. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2024;51(11):3386–3399
- 24 Bossi P, Chan AT, Licitra L, et al; ESMO Guidelines Committee. Electronic address: clinicalguidelines@esmo.org EURACAN. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma: ESMO-EURACAN Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up[†]. Ann Oncol 2021;32(04):452–465
- 25 Basu S, Sirohi B, Shrikhande SV. Dual tracer imaging approach in assessing tumor biology and heterogeneity in neuroendocrine tumors: its correlation with tumor proliferation index and possible multifaceted implications for personalized clinical management decisions, with focus on PRRT. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2014;41(08):1492–1496