A Modification of the Newborn Operation for Cloacal Exstrophy: Leaving the Cecal Plate Untouched

Elizaveta Bokova¹ Shimon E. Jacobs² Laura Tiusaba³ Christina P. Ho⁴ Briony K. Varda⁵ Hans G. Pohl⁴ Christina Feng³ Victoria A. Lane⁶ Caitlin A. Smith⁷ Andrea T. Badillo⁸ Richard J. Wood⁹ Marc A. Levitt³

¹ Division of Colorectal and Pelvic Reconstruction, Children's National Hospital, Washington, District of Columbia, United States

² Department of Surgery, Children's National Hospital, Washington, District of Columbia, United States

³ Department of Surgery, Colorectal and Pelvic Reconstructive Surgery, Children's National Hospital, Washington, District of Columbia, United States

⁴Department of Urology, Children's National Hospital, Washington, District of Columbia, United States

⁵Department of Urology, Children's National Hospital, Washington, District of Columbia, United States

⁶Department of Paediatric Surgery, Great North Children's Hospital, Newcastle Upon Tyne, United Kingdom

European J Pediatr Surg Rep 2024;12:e63-e67.

Address for correspondence Marc A. Levitt, MD, Division of Colorectal and Pelvic Reconstruction, Children's National Hospital, 111 Michigan Avenue NW, Washington, District of Columbia 20010-2916, United States (e-mail: mlevitt@childrensnational.org).

⁷ Department of Pediatric and Thoracic General Surgery, Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, Washington, United States

⁸ Department of Colorectal and Pelvic Reconstruction, Children's National Hospital, Washington, District of Columbia, United States

⁹Department of Pediatric Colorectal and Pelvic Reconstructive Surgery, Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, Ohio, United States

Abstract

Keywords

cloaca

cecum

bladder

cloacal exstrophy

augmentation

reconstruction

The conventional approach to managing a newborn with cloacal exstrophy typically includes separating the cecal plate from between the two hemibladders, tubularizing it to be included in the fecal stream, creating an end colostomy, and bringing the two bladder halves together. This study introduces an alternative approach wherein the cecal plate is retained in its original position and designated for future use as an autoaugment of the bladder. Four cases of cloacal exstrophy cases managed between November 2019 and February 2024 are described, with surgical approach and postoperative outcomes reported. Two patients who underwent traditional reconstruction experienced bacterial overgrowth attributed to stasis in the cecal plate, which manifested in increased ostomy output and feeding intolerance. Treatment in these two cases was to remove the cecum from the fecal stream and use it instead for a bladder augment. Learning from these cases, the third and fourth newborn's approach involved retaining the cecum in situ for autoaugmentation of the bladder and performing an ileal to hindgut anastomosis. No postoperative acidosis occurred in these patients. The alternative approach to the newborn management of cloacal exstrophy whereby the cecal plate is left in situ can decrease stasis and postoperative bacterial overgrowth. It allows for an autoaugmentation of the bladder and is technically easier than the traditional rescue of the cecal plate from within the two hemibladders.

received April 5, 2024 accepted after revision August 1, 2024 DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0044-1791814. ISSN 2194-7619. © 2024. The Author(s).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction so long as the original work is properly cited. (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) Georg Thieme Verlag KG, Rüdigerstraße 14, 70469 Stuttgart, Germany

Importance for a Pediatric Surgeon

This manuscript introduces a novel approach to the newborn management of cloacal exstrophy by leaving the cecal plate in situ between the hemibladders. This departure from the traditional treatment protocol aims to improve postoperative outcomes by avoiding issues like excessive bacterial overgrowth and increased ostomy output associated with removing the cecum from its original location and including it in the fecal stream.

Introduction

Cloacal exstrophy, a rare condition diagnosed in approximately 1 in 320,000 live births,¹ has undergone a significant evolution in its surgical treatment development.² The traditional management described in the literature involves excising the cecal plate from between the two hemibladders, tubularizing it, incorporating it into the fecal stream, creating an end colostomy, and reapproximating together the two bladder halves now with the cecum removed from within them.³

The goal for the newborn operation is to maximize colonic length, with the concept being that saving the cecum for the fecal stream would be beneficial and would remove the risk of urinary absorption by the cecum leading to acidosis.^{4–6} However, several cases the authors experienced led to a reconsideration of this strategy. The patulous cecum in the fecal stream led to bacterial overgrowth and prompted its removal from the fecal stream and use of it instead for bladder augmentation. This maneuver eliminated the bacterial overgrowth and prompted the idea that the cecal plate should be left within the two hemibladders at the newborn operation.

Based on our experience with the traditional surgical approach that resulted in suboptimal outcomes in the long term in two cases, we propose a surgical strategy for the newborn cloacal exstrophy repair that avoids removing the cecal plate and tubularizing it and instead leaving it behind as an autoaugment of the bladder. We hypothesized that this approach would reduce the risk of stasis- and inflammationrelated complications such as bacterial overgrowth and excessive stomal output compared to the traditional technique, would be technically easier and advantageous for later bladder closure, and would not lead to problematic acidosis related to urinary absorption by the colonic mucosa.

Case Reports

Case 1

A 4-year-old girl with cloacal exstrophy (**Fig. 1**) was initially managed with an ileostomy creation in the newborn period. She underwent reconstruction according to the traditional treatment protocol, which included hindgut rescue, cecal plate tubularization, and end colostomy creation. After the procedure, the patient was repeatedly admitted to the intensive care unit for recurrent episodes of bacteremia and obstructive symptoms. Additionally, she experienced intolerance to feeds resulting in dependence on parenteral nutrition.

An exploratory laparotomy at the age of 5 years revealed a dilated and redundant cecum. This spherical structure was removed from the fecal stream with an anastomosis created between the terminal ileum and distal hindgut. The isolated cecum was then brought to the abdominal wall, irrigated via the appendix, and ultimately used for bladder augmentation.

Case 2

A 4-year-old boy with omphalocele, exstrophy of the bladder, imperforate anus, and spinal defects (OEIS) complex suffered from short bowel syndrome, high ileostomy output, and urinary leakage via the perineum. As a newborn, the cecum was removed from within the two hemibladders and an end colostomy was fashioned.

Endoscopy revealed severe inflammation of the hindgut, which was felt to be contributing to the secretory diarrhea. The end colostomy originated from the right colon measuring 4 cm in length. Drawing from insights gained in the previously described case, the cecum and residual 4-cmlong hindgut were removed from the fecal stream and utilized as a bladder augment. The cecum was connected to the native bladder with a Mitrofanoff channel fashioned from the native appendix. Due to the limited colonic length, no pull-through procedure was considered, and an end ileostomy was created. Following the surgery, the episodes of bacteremia were resolved as well as the high output from the stoma.



Fig. 1 Cloacal exstrophy. (This image is provided courtesy of the Children's National Hospital archives.)



Fig. 2 The cecal plate was left untouched between the hemibladders. After cecal plate separation, the ileum was anastomosed with the hindgut. (A) Omphalocele; (B) Cecal plate; (C) Ileum; (D) Hindgut. (Reproduced from Smith et al.⁷)

Case 3

The third patient, described previously,⁷ was a 32-week-old female born at 1.7 kg with a giant liver-containing omphalocele that posed a challenge to the standard surgical approach of early closure and conversion to bladder exstrophy. In the neonatal period, the patient drained stool from the cecal plate and urine from the bladder halves, which could be managed well with no fecal diversion.

At 4 months of life, the omphalocele sac had fully epithelialized. Given the inability to close the abdominal wall due to the large omphalocele, a unique approach was implemented to bridge the gap between the bladder halves. This involved using a terminal ileum bowel flap, retaining the cecal plate in situ, and effectively autoaugmenting the bladder (**-Fig. 2**). Intraoperative images of the described technique are demonstrated in **-Fig. 3**. Following the surgery, the patient had a favorable outcome. Enteral feeds were accomplished without symptoms or signs of dumping.

Case 4

A premature patient born at 33 weeks of gestation, weighing 1.9 kg, presented with omphalocele and cloacal exstrophy. At the time of the initial surgery on day 3 of life, only 2 cm of hindgut was detected. The omphalocele membrane was excised and closed, while the cecum was left in situ between the hemibladders. The 2-cm hindgut was excised, and an end ileostomy was created. At 10 months of age, weighing 7.2 kg, the patient underwent urologic reconstruction with bladder neck closure. The cecal tissue was utilized to form a colovesicostomy, with a stoma for urinary collection. The native vaginas were pulled through and fashioned into a single vagina, while the ileostomy was left in situ. There were no episodes of acidosis recorded.

Discussion

Addressing cloacal exstrophy presents notable surgical complexities, requiring collaboration from a multidisciplinary surgical team. The traditionally described newborn operation involves separating the cecal plate from the center of the two bladder halves and closing the omphalocele, effectively transitioning the patient to a pure bladder exstrophy, with its subsequent closure occurring at an older age. This approach, seen in the first two presented cases, can lead to significant postoperative complications (bacterial overgrowth and increased ostomy output) related to the cecum's presence in the fecal stream, necessitating later disconnection. Based on these two cases, we propose to leave the cecal plate untouched, within the two hemibladders. This maneuver is technically easier and allows for an ileal to hindgut anastomosis. The cecal plate remains isolated from the fecal stream. The concern noted in the literature of the development of acidosis from urinary absorption by the cecum did not occur, and we know this to not be the case in the vast majority of patients with bladder augmentation either using small bowel or colon.^{8,9}

Preserving colonic length is vital for achieving appropriate stool consistency for future pull-through and ensuring social continence.^{5,6,10,11} However, it is clear from a recent review¹² that very few patients qualify for such a pullthrough as they lack adequate colon to produce solid stool. This report differs greatly from previous reports promoting a



Fig. 3 Novel technique of cloacal exstrophy repair. The cecum remains attached to the bladder halves, and the ileum is anastomosed to the hindgut. (This image is provided courtesy of the Children's National Hospital Archives.)

pull-through for the majority of these patients.⁶ Although separating the cecum from the fecal stream gains some digestive tract length, the increase is small. Conversely, employing the cecum for bladder augmentation eliminates the necessity for bowel resection in traditional bladder reconstruction^{13,14} and allows for a low-pressure bladder by expanding the volume of the continence reservoir and potentially enhancing urinary function. Disconnection of the cecum from the fecal stream also reduces complications related to bacterial translocation and cecal inflammation, such as increased ostomy output, feeding intolerance, and failure to thrive. Moreover, the newborn operation is technically easier and shorter, if the distal ileum is connected to the hindgut, leaving the cecal plate untouched.

The proposed technique has certain disadvantages. There are concerns regarding the development of acidosis from colonic absorption of urine; however, this did not occur in our patient. This risk is highlighted as a potential drawback of using the bowel in urinary reconstruction regardless of the bowel part used as an augment.⁸ While the cecum and right colon have been reported to lead to worse acidosis, this has not been shown to result in worse outcomes.⁷ In addition, the technique involves ileocecal valve disruption, which can potentially have implications for digestive processes, and lead to complications such as fecal incontinence.¹⁵

We suggest an alternative approach to managing cloacal exstrophy in newborns by retaining the cecal plate in its original position, connected to the urinary tract and isolated from the fecal stream. This strategy offers potential longterm advantages, including (1) a technically simpler newborn operation, (2) prevention of stasis-related inflammation in the tubularized bowel, and (3) autoaugmentation of the bladder. While acknowledging the risks of acidosis and loss of the ileocecal valve, our findings suggest these concerns may be manageable and do not outweigh the benefits observed.

Funding

None.

Conflict of Interest None declared.

References

- 1 Cervellione RM, Mantovani A, Gearhart J, et al. Prospective study on the incidence of bladder/cloacal exstrophy and epispadias in Europe. J Pediatr Urol 2015;11(06):337.e1–337.e6
- 2 Ostertag-Hill CA, Delaplain PT, Lee T, Dickie BH. Updates on the care of cloacal exstrophy. Children (Basel) 2024;11(05):544
- ³ Holcomb GW, Murphy JP, Ostlie DJ. Ashcraft's Pediatric Surgery [e-book]. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2014
- 4 Woo LL, Thomas JC, Brock JW. Cloacal exstrophy: a comprehensive review of an uncommon problem. J Pediatr Urol 2010;6(02): 102–111
- 5 Soffer SZ, Rosen NG, Hong AR, Alexianu M, Peña A. Cloacal exstrophy: a unified management plan. J Pediatr Surg 2000;35 (06):932–937

- 6 Levitt MA, Mak GZ, Falcone RA Jr, Peña A. Cloacal exstrophy: pullthrough or permanent stoma? A review of 53 patients. J Pediatr Surg 2008;43(01):164–168, discussion 168–170
- 7 Smith CA, Avansino JR, Merguerian P, Lane V, Levitt M. A novel surgical approach for the management of cloacal exstrophy with a giant omphalocele. European J Pediatr Surg Rep 2021;9(01): e41–e45
- 8 Vasdev N, Moon A, Thorpe AC. Metabolic complications of urinary intestinal diversion. Indian J Urol 2013;29(04):310–315
- 9 Pfitzenmaier J, Lotz J, Faldum A, Beringer M, Stein R, Thüroff JW. Metabolic evaluation of 94 patients 5 to 16 years after ileocecal pouch (Mainz pouch 1) continent urinary diversion. J Urol 2003; 170(05):1884–1887
- 10 Davidoff AM, Hebra A, Balmer D, Templeton JM Jr, Schnaufer L. Management of the gastrointestinal tract and nutrition in patients with cloacal exstrophy. J Pediatr Surg 1996;31(06):771–773

- 11 McHoney M, Ransley PG, Duffy P, Wilcox DT, Spitz L. Cloacal exstrophy: morbidity associated with abnormalities of the gastrointestinal tract and spine. J Pediatr Surg 2004;39(08): 1209–1213
- 12 Srinivas S, Knaus ME, Avansino JR, et al; Pediatric Colorectal and Pelvic Learning Consortium. Outcomes from colonic pull-through for cloacal exstrophy differ by colon length: a multi-institutional study. J Pediatr Surg 2024;59(02):225–229
- 13 Gearhart JP, Jeffs RD. Techniques to create urinary continence in the cloacal exstrophy patient. J Urol 1991;146(2, Pt 2):616–618
- 14 Mitchell ME, Brito CG, Rink RC. Cloacal exstrophy reconstruction for urinary continence. J Urol 1990;144(2, Pt 2):554–558, discussion 562–563
- 15 Palmisano S, Silvestri M, Troian M, Germani P, Giudici F, de Manzini N. Ileocaecal valve syndrome after surgery in adult patients: myth or reality? Colorectal Dis 2017;19(08):e288–e295