()

THIEME OPEN ACCESS

Botulinum Toxin's Effects on Muscle Tone and Joint Mobility in Children with Congenital Zika Syndrome – A Case Series

Efeitos da toxina botulínica sobre o tônus muscular e a mobilidade articular de crianças com síndrome congênita do Zika – Uma série de casos

Patrícia Juliana da Silva¹ Ana Stela Salvino de Brito¹ Mariana Balbino da Silva¹ Ana Stela Salvino de Brito¹ Mariana Balbino da Silva¹ Ana Stela Salvino de Brito¹ Gabriela Lopes Gama^{1,2}

¹ Instituto de Pesquisa Professor Joaquim Amorim Neto (IPESQ), Campina Grande, PB, Brazil

² Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF), Governador Valadares, MG, Brazil

Address for correspondence Gabriela Lopes Gama, PhD, Instituto de Pesquisa Professor Joaquim Amorim Neto (IPESQ), Rua Neuza Borborema de Souza, 297, 58406-115 Campina Grande, PB, Brazil (e-mail: gabriela.gama@ufjf.br).

Rev Bras Ortop 2024;59(6):e936-e943.

Abstract

Objective To evaluate the effects of the botulinum toxin (BTX-A) on muscle tone and joint mobility in children with congenital Zika syndrome (CZS).

Methods This was a longitudinal case series carried out in a Support Center for Children with Microcephaly, located in Northeastern Brazil. We collected data from the institution's medical records, containing information about muscle tone and passive joint mobility measured at least 3 months before and 4 weeks after BTX-A application.

Results We evaluated 13 children (9 boys) with a mean age of 77 ± 7.1 months. After BTX-A application, a bilateral reduction in the hypertonia level was observed in the elbow flexor (p < 0.01) and hip abductor (p < 0.05) muscles.

Keywords

- arthrometry articular
- muscle hypertonia
- muscle spasticity
- Zika virus infection

Resumo

Palavras-chave

- ► artrometria articular
- ► espasticidade muscular
- ► hipertonia muscular
- ► infecção pelo Zika vírus

Conclusion No changes were observed in joint mobility and no adverse effects were reported by caregivers after application. The use of BTX-A can reduce hypertonia in CZS children, with no impact on joint mobility.

Objetivo Avaliar os efeitos da toxina botulínica (BTX-A) sobre o tônus muscular e mobilidade articular de crianças com síndrome congênita do Zika (SCZ).

Métodos Trata-se de uma série de casos longitudinal realizada em um Centro de Apoio a Criança com Microcefalia, localizado no nordeste do Brasil. A coleta de dados foi realizada a partir dos prontuários dessa instituição, com o registro de informações sobre o tônus muscular e a mobilidade articular passiva, mensuradas pelo menos 3 meses antes e 4 semanas após a aplicação da BTX-A.

Work carried out at the Professor Joaquim Amorim Neto Research Institute (IPESQ), Campina Grande, PB, Brazil.

received January 30, 2024 accepted August 15, 2024 DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0044-1792114. ISSN 0102-3616. © 2024. The Author(s).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Thieme Revinter Publicações Ltda., Rua do Matoso 170, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, CEP 20270-135, Brazil

Resultados Foram avaliadas 13 crianças (9 meninos) com idade média de $77 \pm 7,1$ meses. Após a aplicação da BTX-A, foi observada redução bilateral do nível de hipertônia nos músculos flexores do cotovelo (p < 0,01) e adutores de quadril (p < 0,05)..

Conclusão Nenhuma mudança foi observada na mobilidade articular e nenhum efeito adverso foi relatado pelos cuidadores após a aplicação. O uso da BTX-A é capaz de promover a redução do nível de hipertonia de crianças com SCZ, sem impactar a mobilidade articular.

Introduction

First described in 2015, the congenital Zika syndrome (CZS) is characterized by brain malformations resulting from intrauterine infections by the Zika virus.¹ At birth, the main clinical finding in children is microcephaly. However, other signs become evident during development, including delayed motor skill acquisition, difficult-to-control seizures, changes in muscle tone, and others.^{2–4}

With special regards to the muscle tone in children with CZS, Pereira et al.⁴ described clinical signs of pyramidal lesions, such as hypertonia and hyperreflexia, in 93% of the subjects evaluated. Corroborating these findings, Tavares et al.² observed the presence of appendicular hypertonia in 94.8% of the children from their sample. These findings, which may result from musculoskeletal hypertonia and daily care,^{5,6} suggest the need for therapeutic approaches to treat muscle tone changes in this population.

In this context, the intramuscular application of botulinum toxin type-A (BTX-A) has been described as an efficient therapeutic approach for controlling hypertonia in patients with neurological conditions.^{7–9} This application aims at the reversible chemical denervation of the muscle with an increased tone, reducing inappropriate muscle activity.¹⁰

In children with cerebral palsy (CP), studies have shown positive outcomes after BTX-A application, in muscle tone control and improving joint mobility and functionality.^{7,11,12} In children with CZS, the procedure showed positive effects for sialorrhea control.¹³ Moreover, in this population, the only study to investigate the BTX-A effects on the musculo-skeletal system described hypertonia reduction and joint mobility improvement based on parental reports.¹⁴ However, this last study did not describe the effects of this therapeutic approach on the hypertonia level of specific muscle groups and the range of joint motion.

Considering the promising results of BTX-A and the need for a better understanding of its impact in children with CZS, our study aims to evaluate its effects on muscle tone and joint mobility in this population.

Materials and Methods

The present is a longitudinal case series conducted at Dr. Arthur Eugênio Azevedo Support Center for Children with Microcephaly from the Professor Joaquim Amorim Neto Research Institute (IPESQ), in Campina Grande, Paraíba, Brazil. The Ethics and Research Committee of the Higher Education and Development Center approved this study (CAAE: 58018022.9.0000.5178). Before data collection, the person in charge of the support center authorized file handling.

Sample

Sample recruitment was nonprobabilistic, occurring conveniently among children attending the support center mentioned above. The inclusion criteria were (1) having a CZS diagnosis confirmed by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), or imaging exams performed in the first months of life; (2) presenting increased muscle tone in at least one group with a grade higher than 1 per the modified Ashworth scale (MAS); and (3) undergoing BTX-A application at the study's center. We excluded children with fixed contractures, not evaluated by professionals from our specialized center at least 3 months before or 4 weeks after the BTX-A application, and those receiving the drug 6 months before the first evaluation.

Data Collection Procedures

We collected data from clinical records from the Dr. Arthur Eugênio Azevedo Support Center for Children with Microcephaly. The children who met the study eligibility criteria received BTX-A at this center and were included in this study. We extracted general data, such as weight, head circumference, and presence of microcephaly at birth, from their medical records, in addition to their age, weight, head circumference at the time of drug treatment, and level of motor impairment according to the Gross Motor Function Classification Measure (GMFCS). We also recorded information regarding BTX-A administration, including the date and the muscles for medication injection.

The assessment of BTX-A effects relied on data from up to 3 months before (preassessment) and 4 weeks after (postassessment) its administration. These data included muscle tone evaluation by MAS,¹⁵ and passive joint mobility determined by goniometric measurements.¹⁶

We used MAS to measure muscle resistance to passive movement and assesses muscle tone in patients with neurological impairments, such as children with CZS² and CP.⁷ According to this scale, resistance to passive motion ranges from 0 (no increase in muscle tension) to 4 (rigid segment with no movement).¹⁷ In our study, we assessed the following muscle groups of the upper limbs using MAS: shoulder flexors and adductors, elbow extensors and flexors, wrist extensors and flexors, and finger flexors. In the lower limbs, the muscle groups assessed were the hip flexors, hip adductors, knee flexors and extensors, dorsiflexors, and plantar flexors.

We measured the maximum passive joint range of motion using a manual goniometer. This assessment considered shoulder, elbow, wrist, hip, knee, and ankle joint movements in the sagittal plane, as well as shoulder and hip joint abduction movements in the frontal plane.

All children received BTX-A at the outpatient clinic from the Dr. Arthur Eugênio Azevedo Support Center for Children with Microcephaly after use of 20 mg lidocaine hydrochloride gel in the application regions. The same professional performed all procedures, considering the hypertonia level and the needs of each child. The procedure did not require any auxiliary instrument. Additionally, trained professionals with experience in treating children with CZS and using the assessment instruments conducted all evaluations before and after the BTX-A application.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics characterize the sample, considering mean and standard deviation values for continuous variables, such as the child's age and maximum passive joint range assessed before and after the application of BTX-A. We calculated the absolute and relative frequencies of categorical variables, such as the MAS scores before and after the procedure.

Then, we proceeded to inferential statistics. The paired Wilcoxon tests were used, considering MAS scores as dependent variables to evaluate the procedure's effects on muscular resistance to passive movement. To evaluate BTX-A on joint mobility, we initially calculated data normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Since data distribution was nonnormal, the nonparametric paired Wilcoxon test compared the variables. We did all analyses using the Medcalc (Med-Calc Software BVBA, Ostend, Belgium), version 19.0.7, and the statistical significance level was 5%.

Results

The total sample consisted of 13 children (9 boys), of whom 7 (53.8%) had microcephaly at birth. At the time of BTX-A administration, the children were aged 70 to 89 (77 ± 7.1) months. None of them could walk independently, 12 (92.3%) had spastic tetraparesis, and all presented severe motor impairment, classified as levels IV (15.4%) and V (84.6%) per GMFCS. **Table 1** shows the general individual data of the children participating in the study.

 Table 1
 Individual profile of the children evaluated and muscle groups of BTX-A injection

Patient	Age at application	Gender	Head c (cm)	ircumference	Weight (l	(g)	Muscle groups for BTX-A application
	(months)		At birth	At application	At birth	At application	
1	71	М	32.5	45	3.32	16.5	Biceps brachii, wrist flexors, finger flexors, and triceps surae
2	77	М	32	45	3.3	19.02	Biceps brachii, wrist flexors, finger flexors, and hamstrings
3	77	М	33	49	3.06	23.24	Biceps brachii, wrist flexors, hip adduc- tors, hamstrings, and triceps surae
4	70	F	28	41.5	3.01	17.83	Hip adductors and triceps surae
5	70	F	31.2	45.5	3.55	20.63	Biceps brachii, finger flexors, hamstring, and triceps surae
6	76	М	31	49.5	2.02	22.86	Hip adductors and triceps surae
7	78	М	30	40	2.95	11.21	Biceps brachii, wrist flexors, hip adduc- tors, and hamstrings
8	87	М	30	45	2.78	17.94	Hip adductors, hamstring, and triceps surae
9*	76	F		41		16.59	Biceps brachii, finger flexors, hip adductors, and hamstrings
10	77	М	29	38.5	2.60	13.60	Wrist flexors and hip adductors
11	89	F	29.9	37.5	3.31	14.02	Hip adductors and hamstrings
12	88	М	29	45	2.64	17.83	Biceps brachii, wrist flexors, and hamstrings
13	89	М	32	47	2.76	24.86	Finger flexors, hip adductors, and triceps surae

Abbreviations: BTX-A, botulinum toxin-A; F, female; M, male. Notes: * Adopted child with no birth information.

Joint movement	Preapplicati	on		Postapplicat	tion		
	Median	Min	Max	Median	Min	Max	<i>p</i> -value
Shoulder flexion	•				•		
Right	170	90	180	168	90	180	0.93
Left	156	90	180	150	90	180	0.68
Shoulder elevation			•	•	•		
Right ^a	45	45	45	45	45	45	-
Left ^a	45	45	45	45	45	45	-
Shoulder abduction	•				•		
Right	160	90	180	150	110	180	0.68
Left	160	90	180	140	110	180	0.84
Elbow flexion					•		
Right ^a	145	145	145	145	145	145	-
Left ^a	145	145	145	145	145	145	-
Elbow extension				•			
Right	0	0	120	0	0	60	0.12
Left	0	0	66	0	0	70	0.62
Wrist flexion					•		
Right ^a	90	30	140	90	30	70	-
Left	90	0	140	90	20	70	1.00
Wrist extension				•			
Right ^a	70	0	70	70	20	70	-
Left	70	20	70	70	20	70	0.81
Hip flexion				•	•		•
Right	110	90	125	125	90	125	0.29
Left	110	90	125	120	90	125	0.62
Hip extension							
Right	10	0	10	10	0	10	0.62
Left	10	0	10	10	0	10	0.31
Hip abduction				•			
Right	30	2	45	35	6	45	0.46
Left	24	0	45	30	8	45	-
Hip adduction	ł	I					I
Right ^a	15	8	15	15	15	15	-
Left ^a	15	15	15	15	15	15	-
Knee flexion		Į	P				
Right ^a	140	140	140	140	140	140	-
Left ^a	140	140	140	140	140	140	-
Knee extension	ł	I		•			
Right	0	0	28	0	0	30	1.00
Left	10	0	135	0	0	40	0.06
Dorsiflexion			I		I	I	
Right	20	10	30	0	0	20	0.81
Left ^a	20	10	20	20	0	20	-

 Table 2
 Joint range of movement before and after BTX-A application

(Continued)

Table 2 (continued)

Joint movement	Preapplication			Postapplication			
	Median	Min	Max	Median	Min	Max	p-value
Plantar flexion							
Right	45	30	45	45	35	45	0.21
Left	45	30	45	45	25	45	0.87

Abbreviation: BTX-A, botulinum toxin-A.

Note: ^aStatistical tests were not possible as there were no numerical changes in the joint angle between assessments.

The BTX-A was administered to the upper limbs of 9 children, especially at the biceps brachii (n = 7) and the flexor carpi ulnaris muscle (n = 6). In the lower limbs, the application occurred in all children in at least one muscle group, mostly hip adductors (n = 9), hamstrings (n = 8), and triceps surae (n = 7). Caregivers reported no adverse effects between assessments.

After application, no changes were observed in the maximum passive joint range for any movement evaluated (**-Table 2**). In contrast, regarding muscle tone, we noted significant differences in the hypertonia degree on the right (p = 0.01) and left (p = 0.008) elbow flexor muscle groups, and right (p = 0.02) and left (p = 0.04) hip abductors, as shown in **-Table 3**.

Discussion

Our findings demonstrated that the BTX-A application can promote a tone graduation in children with CZS, despite not affecting joint mobility. Furthermore, we recorded no adverse effects 4 weeks after the application.

Spastic hypertonia characterizes the muscle tone of most children with CP¹⁸ and CZS,² often resulting in reduced mobility, contractures, and deformities potentially compromising development and functionality.¹⁹ Specifically in children with reduced mobility, such as those with GMFCS levels IV and V, generalized hypertonia also results in pain, discomfort, and difficulties in daily care.^{20,21} Thus, for this population, simple tasks, such as changing clothes, can be difficult.

Despite the impacts of increased muscle tone on the health and quality of life of children with severe motor impairments who are unable to walk, studies evaluating the effects of BTX-A are scarce. This can be explained by the need to apply it to multiple muscles and a higher risk of adverse effects.⁷ On the other hand, a systematic review by Pin et al.²² showed that BTX-A applied to children with GMFCS levels IV and V was efficient in reducing pain, facilitating daily activities, and promoting motor skill improvements. However, these outcomes should be viewed with caution since most studies had low or moderate methodological quality.²²

In children with CZS, only two of the studies investigated the effects of BTX-A use. One of them evaluated its impacts in the sialorrhea treatment,¹³ demonstrating an improvement in symptom severity and the occurrence of adverse effects in a small portion of the sample (2/23). The other study investigated the effects of BTX-A on spasticity and motor performance in a sample predominantly presenting severe motor impairment (85%). Their results demonstrated that most parents reported improvements in their children's range of motion or spasticity after the application of BTX-A, without any adverse effects.¹⁴

Despite the importance of these results, previous studies did not demonstrate the impacts of BTX-A application on the muscle tone of specific muscle groups evaluated using scales such as MAS. This occurred because Armani-Franceschi et al.¹⁴ evaluated only the sum of MAS scores, rather than the individual muscle groups evaluated, which limited the detailed understanding of the impacts of BTX-A in children with CZS.

Tavares et al.² proposed the evaluation of hypertonia of specific muscle groups by MAS in a cross-sectional study in which most children with CZS presented axial hypotonia and appendicular hypertonia, with the elbow flexors and hip adductors being more resistant to passive movement. These muscle groups were among those most frequent receiving BTX-A in the present studies (53.8 and 69.2%, respectively), unlike the study by Armani-Franceschi et al.,¹⁴ in which 50% of the children received the drug in the long adductor and 35% in the biceps brachii.

In children with neurological impairments, hypertonia of the hip muscles is common, resulting in a higher susceptibility to dislocations and pain.¹⁹ Specifically in children with CZS, a high prevalence of hip dislocation and sublocation has been described, apparently related to the hypertonia level,²³ resulting in pain and functional limitations.²⁴ Given these findings, the reduced resistance to passive movement after the BTX-A application observed by us may represent a therapeutic alternative for preserving the hips of these children, relieving pain, and facilitating their daily care.

To date, no study has evaluated the impacts of hypertonia in children with CZS. Despite this, the reduction in resistance to passive movement of the elbow flexors described by our study may represent a positive point, facilitating daily care, play, and the child's interaction with the environment. This can occur because children with severe motor impairments present upper limb muscle tone grades, facilitating reaching tasks and playing with toys.⁷

We observed no statistical differences regarding joint mobility based on the maximum passive joint range of motion. This finding may raise some hypotheses. First is the multicausal nature of reduced joint range of motion, including hypertonia and other factors, such as muscle and Table 3 Assessment of the spasticity level according to the MAS before and after botulinum toxin-A application

01Shoulder adductors $5 (38.5)$ $1 (7.7)$ Right $5 (38.5)$ $1 (7.7)$ Left $6 (46.2)$ $2 (15.4)$ Hip flexors $7 (53.8)$ $3 (23.1)$ Right $7 (53.8)$ $3 (23.1)$ Left $7 (53.8)$ $3 (23.1)$ Left $7 (53.8)$ $3 (23.1)$ Right $7 (53.8)$ $3 (23.1)$ Left $7 (53.8)$ $3 (23.1)$ Left $4 (30.8)$ $4 (30.8)$ Left $8 (66.7)$ $3 (25)$ Left $8 (66.7)$ $3 (25)$ Left $8 (66.7)$ $3 (23.1)$ Left $3 (23.1)$ $2 (15.4)$ Left $3 (23.1)$ $2 (15.4)$ Left $3 (23.1)$ $2 (15.4)$ Right $2 (15.4)$ $3 (23.1)$ Left $3 (23.1)$ $2 (15.4)$ Right $2 (15.4)$ $3 (23.1)$ Left $3 (23.1)$ $2 (15.4)$ Krist extensors $A (61.5)$ $3 (23.1)$ Left $8 (61.5)$ $3 (23.1)$ </th <th>1+ 1+ 2 4) 1 1) 0 1) 8) 1 7)</th> <th>2 3 (23.1) 2 (15.4) 3 (23.1) 3 (23.1)</th> <th>3 2 (15.4) 2 (15.4)</th> <th>4</th> <th>0</th> <th>1</th> <th>+</th> <th>2</th> <th>3</th> <th>4</th> <th><i>p</i>-valor</th>	1+ 1+ 2 4) 1 1) 0 1) 8) 1 7)	2 3 (23.1) 2 (15.4) 3 (23.1) 3 (23.1)	3 2 (15.4) 2 (15.4)	4	0	1	+	2	3	4	<i>p</i> -valor
ler adductors5 (38.5)xors $5 (38.5)$ $6 (46.2)$ xors $7 (53.8)$ $7 (53.8)$ ker flexors $7 (53.8)$ $7 (53.8)$ ler flexors $7 (53.8)$ $7 (53.8)$ ker flexors $7 (53.8)$ $7 (53.8)$ ler flexors $7 (53.8)$ $7 (53.8)$ ker flexors $7 (53.8)$ $7 (53.8)$ ler flexors $7 (53.8)$ $7 (53.8)$ ler flexors $8 (66.7)$ $8 (66.7)$ flexors $3 (23.1)$ $2 (15.4)$ sxtensors $3 (23.1)$ $8 (61.5)$ lexors $8 (61.5)$ $8 (61.5)$ lexors $8 (61.5)$ $8 (61.5)$		3 (23.1) 2 (15.4) 3 (23.1) 3 (23.1)	2 (15.4) 2 (15.4)								
5 (38.5) 5 (38.5) xors 6 (46.2) xors 7 (53.8) ker flexors 7 (53.8) flexors 9 (30.8) sttensors 8 (66.7) flexors 2 (15.4) sttensors 3 (23.1) sttensors 8 (61.5) lexors 8 (61.5) lexors 8 (61.5)		3 (23.1) 2 (15.4) 3 (23.1) 3 (23.1)	2 (15.4) 2 (15.4)								
6 (46.2) xors xors 7 (53.8) 7 (53.8) 7 (53.8) 8 (6.7) 9 (75) extensors extensors 9 (75) flexors 2 (15.4) 3 (23.1) extensors 2 (15.4) extensors 2 (15.4) 2 (15.5) 9 (61.5) 8 (61.5) 8 (61.5) 1exors		2 (15.4) 3 (23.1) 3 (23.1)	2 (15.4)	0	4 (30.8)	6 (46.2)	0	3 (23.1)	0	0	0.15
xors 7 (53.8) Rer flexors 7 (53.8) ler flexors 7 (53.8) ler flexors 5 (38.5) extensors 4 (30.8) extensors 8 (66.7) flexors 2 (15.4) flexors 3 (23.1) extensors 2 (15.4) flexors 3 (23.1) extensors 8 (61.5) flexors 8 (61.5) flexors 8 (61.5)		3 (23.1) 3 (23.1)		0	3 (23.1)	8 (61.5)	0	2 (15.4)	0	0	0.54
7 (53.8) 7 (53.8) Rer flexors 7 (53.8) Rer flexors 5 (38.5) 6 (30.8) 8 (60.7) 8 (66.7) 8 (66.7) flexors 2 (15.4) 9 (25.1) 10 (20.8)		3 (23.1) 3 (23.1)									
7 (53.8) ler flexors 5 (38.5) 4 (30.8) 9 (75) extensors 8 (65.7) flexors 1 (30.8) 2 (15.4) 3 (23.1) extensors 2 (15.4) 3 (23.1) extensors extensors 8 (61.5) 8 (61.5) 8 (61.5) 1exors		3 (23.1)	0	0	9 (69.2)	4 (30.8)	0	0	0	0	0.09
er flexors 5 (38.5) extensors 4 (30.8) extensors 9 (75) extensors 8 (66.7) flexors 2 (15.4) flexors 3 (23.1) extensors 3 (21.5) extensors 8 (61.5) flexors 8 (61.5)			0	0	8 (61.5)	3 (23.1)	0	2 (15.)	0	0	I
5 (38.5) extensors 4 (30.8) extensors 9 (75) flexors 9 (75) flexors 3 (61.7) extensors 3 (61.5) extensors 8 (61.5) flexors 8 (61.5)											
4 (30.8) extensors extensors 9 (75) 8 (66.7) flexors 2 (15.4) 3 (23.1) extensors extensors 8 (61.5) 8 (61.5) 8 (61.5)		1 (7.7)	3 (23.1)	0	5 (38.5)	5 (38.5)	1 (7.7)	1 (7.7)	1 (7.7)	0	0.56
extensors 9 (75) 9 (75) 8 (66.7) flexors 2 (15.4) flexors 3 (23.1) extensors 3 (21.5) extensors 8 (61.5) lexors 8 (61.5)	<u> </u>	1 (7.7)	3 (23.1)	0	3 (23.1)	6 (46.2)	1 (7.7)	2 (15.4)	1 (7.7)	0	0.08
9 (75) 8 (66.7) Recors 2 (15.4) 3 (23.1) 3 (23.1) sxtensors sxtensors 8 (61.5) Recors	2										
8 (66.7) flexors 2 (15.4) 3 (23.1) sxtensors 8 (61.5) exors		0	1 (8.3)	0	8 (61.5)	3 (23.1)	1 (7.7)	1 (7.7)	0	0	1.00
flexors 2 (15.4) 2 3 (23.1) extensors 3 (61.5) 8 (61.5) 8 (61.5)		0	1 (8.3)	0	9 (69.2)	4 (30.8)	0	0	0	0	0.62
2 (15.4) 2 (15.4) 3 (23.1) 2 (21.5) 8 (61.5) R (61.5)											
3 (23.1) extensors 8 (61.5) 8 (61.5)	.1) 1 (7.7)	4 (30.8)	1 (7.7)	2 (15.4)	6 (46.2)	3 (23.1)	0	2 (15.4)	1 (7.7)	1 (7.7)	0.01
extensors 8 (61.5) 8 (61.5)	4) 1 (7.7)	4 (30.8)	1 (7.7)	2 (15.4)	7 (53.8)	3 (23.1)	0	1 (7.7)	1 (7.7)	1 (7.7)	0.008
8 (61.5) 8 (61.5)											
8 (61.5) t flexors	.1) 1 (7.7)	1 (7.7)	0	0	10 (76.9)	2 (15.4)	0	1 (7.7)	0	0	I
Wrist flexors	.1) 0	2 (15.4)	0	0	10 (76.9)	2 (15.4)	0	0	1 (7.7)	0	0.87
Right 8 (61.5) 1 (7.7)	1 (7.7)	0	2 (15.4)	1 (7.7)	8 (61.5)	1 (7.7)	0	1 (7.7)	3 (23.1)	0	1.00
Left 9 (69.2) 1 (7.7)) 1 (7.7)	0	1 (7.7)	1 (7.7)	10 (76.9)	1 (7.7)	0	1 (7.7)	1 (7.7)	0	0.56
Finger flexors											
Right ^a 9 (69.2) 2 (15.4)	.4) 0	1 (7.7)	1 (7.7)	0	10 (76.9)	2(15.4)	0	0	1 (7.7)	0	I
Left 10 (76.9) 1 (7.7)	0 (2 (15.4)	0	0	9 (69.2)	3 (23.1)	1 (7.7)	0	0	0	0.68
Hip adductors											
Right 2 (15.4) 2 (15.4)	.4) 1 (7.7)	5 (38.5)	3 (23.1)	0	3 (23.1)	5(38.5)	2 (15.4)	3 (23.1)	0	0	0.02
Left 2 (15.4) 2 (15.4)	.4) 1 (7.7)	5 (38.)	3 (23.1)	0	4 (30.8)	3 (23.1)	2 (15.4)	4 (30.8)	0	0	0.04
Knee extensors											
Right 9 (69.2) 1 (7.7)	0 (,	3 (23.1)	0	0	7 (53.8)	3 (23.1)	2 (15.4)	1 (7.7)	0	0	1.00

Muscle group assessed	MAS preapplication, N (%)	plication, N	(%)				MAS posta	MAS postapplication, N (%)	N (%)				
	0	1	1+	2	3	4	0	1	1+	2	S	4	<i>p</i> -valor
Left	9 (69.2)	1 (7.7)	0	3 (23.1)	0	0	6 (46.2)	4 (30.8)	2 (15.4)	1 (7.7)	0	0	0.91
Knee flexors													
Right ^a	10 (76.9)	10 (76.9) 2 (15.4)	0	1 (7.7)	0	0	11 (84.6)	11 (84.6) 1 (7.7)	1 (7.7)	0	0	0	I
Left	9 (69.2)	2 (15.4)	1 (7.7)	1 (7.7)	0	0	12 (92.3)	0	0	1 (7.7)	0	0	0.37
Plantar flexors													
Right	2 (15.4)	5 (38.5)	2 (15.4)	2 (15.4)	2 (15.4) 2 (15.4)	0	4 (30.8)	2 (15.4)	0	5 (38.5)	0	2 (15.4)	0.82
Left	1 (7.7)	5 (38.5)	3 (23.1)	2 (15.4)	2 (15.4)	0	3 (23.1)	1 (7.7)	0	7 (53.8)	2 (15.4)	0	0.49
Dorsiflexors													
Right	6 (46.2)	3 (23.1) 2 (15.4)	2 (15.4)	0	2 (15.4)	0	8 (61.5)	3 (23.1)	0	1 (7.7)	0	1 (7.7)	0.64
Left	6 (46.2)	3 (23.1)	2 (15.4)	0	2 (15.4)	0	9 (69.2)	1 (7.7)	0	3 (23.1)	0	0	0.57
Abbreviations: MAS, modified Ahworth scale.	Ahworth scale.												

Note: ^astatistical tests were not possible as there were no numerical changes in the joint angle between assessments.

tendon shortening, extracellular matrix abnormalities, and others, that can compromise joint mobility in children with neurological impairment.^{25–27} The second hypothesis is the need for a longer association between BTX-A and physical therapy programs to achieve significant therapeutic outcomes.²⁸

Despite the absence of differences in joint range of motion before and after BTX-A application, during the study period, physical therapists monitored the children evaluated and reported that their motor skills were better during the sessions after the procedure. This observation may result from the reduced resistance to passive movement in adjacent body segments. Although this finding was not an outcome of the present study, it should be considered since facilitating handling in rehabilitation programs can lead to better longterm therapeutic responses.

It is worth highlighting that our results were potentially influenced by monitoring of the children by a multidisciplinary team, including physical therapists. However, we did not control the number of sessions and interventions performed, which can be a limitation of our study. Other limitations deserve consideration, such as the small number of well-evaluated children, the variety of muscle groups for BTX-A application, and the short follow-up period after the procedure. Therefore, we suggest that future studies involve a larger number of participants with serial and longer-term follow-ups to verify the prolonged effects of this application.

Conclusion

Despite the generalized hypertonia presented by children with CZS, our study only performed the application of BTX-A in some muscle groups, defined individually and based on the clinical evaluation of a specialized multidisciplinary team to avoid overdose. This may explain the absence of adverse effects in the participating children and suggests the need for a specialized and experienced clinical approach for this population.

Treatment with BTX-A can promote muscle tone graduation in children with CZS, reducing the resistance to passive movement. Nevertheless, this therapy could not change joint mobility, as it is determined by the passive range of motion.

Financial Support

The authors declare that the did not receive financial support from agencies in the public, private, or non-profit sectors to conduct the present study.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

References

- 1 Melo AS, Aguiar RS, Amorim MM, et al. Congenital Zika Virus Infection: Beyond Neonatal Microcephaly. JAMA Neurol 2016;73 (12):1407–1416
- 2 Tavares JS, Gama GL, Dias Borges MC, et al. Classification of Congenital Zika Syndrome: Muscle Tone, Motor Type, Body

Table 3 (continued)

- 3 Melo A, Gama GL, Da Silva Júnior RA, et al. Motor function in children with congenital Zika syndrome. Dev Med Child Neurol 2020;62(02):221–226
- 4 Pereira HVFS, Dos Santos SP, Amâncio APRL, et al. Neurological outcomes of congenital Zika syndrome in toddlers and preschoolers: a case series. Lancet Child Adolesc Health 2020;4 (05):378–387
- 5 Singhi P, Ray M. Botulinum toxin in children with cerebral palsy. Indian J Pediatr 2004;71(12):1087–1091
- 6 Dietz V, Sinkjaer T. Spastic movement disorder: impaired reflex function and altered muscle mechanics. Lancet Neurol 2007;6 (08):725-733
- 7 Multani I, Manji J, Hastings-Ison T, Khot A, Graham K. Botulinum Toxin in the Management of Children with Cerebral Palsy. Paediatr Drugs 2019;21(04):261–281
- 8 Jabbari B, Comtesse SM. Botulinum Toxin Treatment of Motor Disorders in Parkinson Disease-A Systematic Review. Toxins (Basel) 2023;15(02):81
- 9 Ostrowski H, Roszak J, Komisarek O. Botulinum toxin type A as an alternative way to treat trigeminal neuralgia: a systematic review. Neurol Neurochir Pol 2019;53(05):327–334
- 10 Picelli A, Filippetti M, Sandrini G, et al. Electrical Stimulation of Injected Muscles to Boost Botulinum Toxin Effect on Spasticity: Rationale, Systematic Review and State of the Art. Toxins (Basel) 2021;13(05):303
- 11 Kawamura A, Campbell K, Lam-Damji S, Fehlings D. A randomized controlled trial comparing botulinum toxin A dosage in the upper extremity of children with spasticity. Dev Med Child Neurol 2007; 49(05):331–337
- 12 Aktaş E, Ömeroğlu H. Botulinum toxin type A injection increases range of motion in hip, knee and ankle joint contractures of children with cerebral palsy. Eklem Hastalik Cerrahisi 2019;30 (02):155–162
- 13 Sales HF, Cerqueira C, Vaz D, et al. The impact of botulinum toxin type A in the treatment of drooling in children with cerebral palsy secondary to Congenital Zika Syndrome: an observational study. Neurol Res 2021;43(01):54–60
- 14 Armani-Franceschi G, Luz C, Lucena PH, et al. Botulinum Toxin Type A in the Spasticity of Cerebral Palsy Related to Congenital Zika Syndrome: An Observational Study. Dev Neurorehabil 2022; 25(03):162–169
- 15 Bohannon RW, Smith MB. Interrater reliability of a modified Ashworth scale of muscle spasticity. Phys Ther 1987;67(02):206–207

- 16 Marques AP. Manual de goniometria. 2a. ed. Barueri: Manole; 2003
- 17 Bohannon RW. Comfortable and maximum walking speed of adults aged 20-79 years: reference values and determinants. Age Ageing 1997;26(01):15–19
- 18 Sanger TD, Delgado MR, Gaebler-Spira D, Hallett M, Mink JWTask Force on Childhood Motor Disorders. Classification and definition of disorders causing hypertonia in childhood. Pediatrics 2003;111 (01):e89–e97
- 19 Lundy CT, Doherty GM, Fairhurst CB. Botulinum toxin type A injections can be an effective treatment for pain in children with hip spasms and cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol 2009;51 (09):705–710
- 20 Thorley M, Donaghey S, Edwards P, et al. Evaluation of the effects of botulinum toxin A injections when used to improve ease of care and comfort in children with cerebral palsy whom are nonambulant: a double blind randomized controlled trial. BMC Pediatr 2012;12:120
- 21 Cosgrove AP, Corry IS, Graham HK. Botulinum toxin in the management of the lower limb in cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol 1994;36(05):386–396
- 22 Pin TW, Elmasry J, Lewis J. Efficacy of botulinum toxin A in children with cerebral palsy in Gross Motor Function Classification System levels IV and V: a systematic review. Dev Med Child Neurol 2013;55(04):304–313
- 23 da Fonseca JO, de Oliveira Vianna RA, Carvalho FR, et al. The Hip of Children with Congenital Zika Syndrome: A Prospective Observational Study. J Pediatr 2023;256:27–32
- 24 Pone MVDS, Gomes da Silva TO, Ribeiro CTM, et al. Acquired Hip Dysplasia in Children with Congenital Zika Virus Infection in the First Four Years of Life. Viruses 2022;14(12):2643
- 25 Smith LR, Lee KS, Ward SR, Chambers HG, Lieber RL. Hamstring contractures in children with spastic cerebral palsy result from a stiffer extracellular matrix and increased in vivo sarcomere length. J Physiol 2011;589(Pt 10):2625–2639
- 26 Willerslev-Olsen M, Lorentzen J, Sinkjaer T, Nielsen JB. Passive muscle properties are altered in children with cerebral palsy before the age of 3 years and are difficult to distinguish clinically from spasticity. Dev Med Child Neurol 2013;55(07):617–623
- 27 Howard JJ, Herzog W. Skeletal Muscle in Cerebral Palsy: From Belly to Myofibril. Front Neurol 2021;12:620852
- 28 Yana M, Tutuola F, Westwater-Wood S, Kavlak E. The efficacy of botulinum toxin A lower limb injections in addition to physiotherapy approaches in children with cerebral palsy: A systematic review. NeuroRehabilitation 2019;44(02):175–189