

Determinants of self-efficacy in patients with Parkinson's disease

Determinantes de autoeficacia en pacientes con enfermedad de Parkinson

Ingrid ESTRADA-BELLMANN¹, Jesús Daniel MELÉNDEZ-FLORES^{1,2}, Carlos Rodrigo CÁMARA-LEMARROY^{1,3,4}, Sergio Andrés CASTILLO-TORRES¹

ABSTRACT

Background: Self-efficacy is the individual's assessment of his or hers ability to complete a specific task successfully and has been closely related to self-management and quality of life in several diseases. **Objective:** To investigate self-efficacy in a population of Parkinson's disease (PD) patients in Mexico and study the factors that are associated with this measure. **Methods:** We carried out a cross-sectional observational study involving patients with PD in an outpatient neurology clinic in Mexico, using the following instruments: Spanish version of the Chronic Disease Self-Efficacy Scale (CDESES), Quality of Life Questionnaire PDQ-8, Movement Disorders Society-Unified Parkinson's disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS), Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), and Non-Motor Symptom Scale (NMSS). Clinical and demographic variables were also recorded. **Results:** We included 73 patients with a mean age of 65 years and most patients were male. Patients with lower CDESES scores (<7.75) had worse scores in MDS-UPDRS, NMSS, and PDQ-8 scales. CDESES scores were significantly correlated with MDS-UPDRS Part I ($r=-0.497$, $p<0.001$), Part II ($r=-0.271$, $p=0.020$), Part III ($r=-0.304$, $p<0.001$), PDQ-8 ($r=-0.472$, $p<0.001$), and NMSS ($r=-0.504$, $p<0.001$). Furthermore, when assessing the simultaneous effect of covariates associated with CDESES score, only Mood/Apathy domain of NMSS was significant ($\beta=-0.446$, $t=-3.807$, $p=0.012$). **Conclusions:** PD patients with lower self-efficacy scores had worse motor and non-motor symptomatology and quality of life. Mood/Apathy disorders were negatively associated with self-efficacy and contributed significantly to this measure.

Keywords: Parkinson Disease; Self Efficacy; Quality of Life; Mood Disorders; Cognition.

RESUMEN

Antecedentes: La autoeficacia es la autoevaluación de un individuo sobre su capacidad para completar una tarea con éxito y se ha relacionado con automanejo y calidad de vida en otras enfermedades. **Objetivo:** Investigar la autoeficacia en una población de pacientes con enfermedad de Parkinson (EP) en México y estudiar factores asociados con esta medida. **Métodos:** Realizamos un estudio observacional transversal con pacientes con EP en una clínica de neurología en México. Se registraron datos demográficos y escalas que evalúan la función motora (MDS-UPDRS), no motora (NMSS) y cognitiva (MoCA), así como la calidad de vida (PDQ-8). Para valorar autoeficacia se utilizó la versión en español de la Escala de autoeficacia de enfermedades crónicas (CDESES). **Resultados:** Se incluyeron 73 pacientes, con una edad media de 65 años y la mayoría eran hombres. Pacientes con puntajes CDESES más bajos (<7.75) tuvieron peores puntajes en las escalas MDS-UPDRS, NMSS y PDQ-8. Las puntuaciones de CDESES se correlacionaron significativamente con la escala MDS-UPDRS Parte I ($r=-0.497$, $p<0.001$), Parte II ($r=-0.271$, $p=0.020$), Parte III ($r=-0.304$, $p<0.001$), PDQ-8 ($r=-0.472$, $p<0.001$), y NMSS ($r=-0.504$, $p<0.001$). Al evaluar el efecto simultáneo de covariables asociadas con la escala CDESES, solo el dominio estado de ánimo/apatía del NMSS resultó significativo ($\beta=-0.449$, $t=-3.783$, $p<0.001$). **Conclusiones:** Los pacientes con menores puntajes de autoeficacia tienen peor calidad de vida y sintomatología motora y no motora. Los trastornos del estado de ánimo contribuyen negativamente a la autoeficacia.





Palabras clave: Enfermedad de Parkinson; Autoeficacia; Calidad de Vida; Trastornos del Humor; Cognición.

¹Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Hospital Universitario "Dr. José E. González", Servicio de Neurología, Monterrey, Nuevo León, México.

²Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Facultad de Medicina, Monterrey, Nuevo León, México.

³University of Calgary, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Cumming School of Medicine, Calgary, Canada.

⁴University of Calgary, Hotchkiss Brain Institute, Cumming School of Medicine, Calgary, Canada.

IEB  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7812-0462>; JDMF  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5252-3637>; CRCL  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0676-6675>; SACT  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4727-2535>

Correspondence: Ingrid Estrada-Bellmann; Email: ingridestmann@hotmail.com.

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to report.

Authors' contributions: IEB, CRCL: contributed to the conception and design of the work; IEB, JDMF, SACT: contributed to acquisition and analysis of data; IEB, SACT: contributed to drafting of manuscript; IEB, CRCL: contributed to revision for intellectually important content; IEB, JDMF, CRCL, SACT: review and approval of the final version of the work.

Received on April 30, 2020; Received in its final form on October 09, 2020; Accepted on October 15, 2020.

INTRODUCTION

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a multisystem disorder, and besides the classical motor symptoms, patients also suffer from a variety of non-motor symptoms¹. The burden of PD over patients' daily activities is significant and often contributes to a poor quality of life (QOL). Traditional treatment has focused on ameliorating motor-symptoms, but a more comprehensive approach is often needed to care for patients and their caregivers^{2,3}. Self-management offers a way of helping people with chronic and neurodegenerative diseases to play an active role in managing their condition and could have an impact on QOL^{4,5}.

Self-efficacy is a patient attribute that has received limited attention in PD. It may be defined as an individual's assessment of his or hers ability to complete a specific task successfully⁶. In the context of disease management, it has been able to predict health behaviors in neurological diseases such as Alzheimer's disease and multiple sclerosis^{7,8}. A recent study showed that general self-efficacy was independently associated with overall life satisfaction in patients with PD⁹, highlighting the importance of this attribute.

Before establishing if self-efficacy in PD could be successfully targeted in interventional studies, there is a need to study the factors that determine self-efficacy levels in this population. Determinants of self-efficacy have been studied in other populations, showing that depression and anxiety levels, occupational status, and age were associated with this measure. Moreover, these studies have shown that measurements specific of the disease affect self-efficacy¹⁰⁻¹². This leads to hypothesize that motor and non-motor symptomatology in PD might contribute to this measure as well. In this study, we evaluated self-efficacy in a population of PD patients in Mexico and studied the factors that are associated with this measure.

METHODS

We conducted a cross-sectional observational study on consecutive patients with PD from our outpatient clinic at the Department of Neurology of the University Hospital Dr. José Eleuterio González, Monterrey, Mexico, recruited from October 2014 to January 2016. Diagnosis of PD was made by a neurologist with competence in movement disorders according to the UK PD Brain Bank Criteria. This study was approved by the ethics committee of our institution and all patients signed informed consent for inclusion in this study; the procedures were all in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Besides standard assessment, a semi structured interview was used to obtain information on disease history and other sociodemographic data and all patients completed the non-motor symptoms scale for PD (NMSS)¹³, the Montreal

Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)¹⁴, the Parkinson's disease questionnaire-8 (PDQ-8) scale for QOL¹⁵, and the Movement Disorders Society-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS)¹⁶. Disease stage was evaluated per the Hoehn and Yahr (HY) staging. The HY grade was dichotomized as mildly impaired (≤ 2) and moderately to severely impaired (≥ 3)¹⁷.

Self-efficacy analysis

For evaluation of self-efficacy in our population, we used the Spanish version of Chronic Disease Self-Efficacy Scale (CDESES), a 4-item scale developed and tested in the Chronic Disease Self-Management study, with an alpha coefficient of 0.85 and a test-retest validity of 0.80¹⁸. This scale assesses the individual's confidence in managing fatigue (1), pain (2), emotional status (3), and other symptoms (4) related to the disease that interfere with intended activities. The minimum score in each item is 0, which represents no confidence, and 10, which represents total or full confidence. The score for this scale is the mean of the four items. Higher scores indicate higher self-efficacy.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS computer program (SPSS version 23.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, United States). Data was tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and continuous variables were thus expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or as median (interquartile range, IQR), and categorical variables were expressed as percentages. For the bivariate analysis, CDESES scores were divided into low and high scores based on the median value of the scale for this population, as it followed a non-parametric distribution. Thus, all scores in the CDESES ≥ 7.75 were considered as high, and scores < 7.75 as low. Quantitative data were analyzed using student's T test or Mann-Whitney U-test, as appropriate. Categorical variables (expressed as percentages) were assessed using Chi-square or Fisher exact test.

Simple correlation analysis (using Pearson's or Spearman tests as appropriate) were used to evaluate the direction and strength of the relationship between CDESES and variables that showed significance in bivariate analysis. Multiple linear regression analysis was conducted to assess the simultaneous effect of covariates associated with CDESES scores. Independent variables included in the analysis were those that showed significance in the bivariate analysis. Multicollinearity was assessed using variation inflation factors (VIFs). Covariables with VIFs value > 5 were excluded from the analysis. R squared (R^2) was used to assess goodness of fit. A p value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Population characteristics

We included 73 patients, with a mean age of 65.6 ± 11.6 years. Of this population, 24 patients were female (33%) and 49 (67%) were male. The median years of schooling was 9 (6-12) years, whereas median years with diagnosis was 8 (6-13) years. Regarding comorbidities, frequencies of type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and dyslipidemia were 11.4%, 19.0%, and 5.7%, respectively. Median total CDSES was 7.75 (6-8.75). Median total MDS-UPDRS score was 60 (39-93) while median NMSS, PDQ-8, and MoCA scores were 37 (14-61), 25 (13-44), and 26 (22-28), respectively.

Bivariate analysis

When comparing patients with low versus high CDSES scores, no significant differences in age, sex, years of

schooling, and years with diagnosis were observed. Patients with low CDSES scores had significantly worse scores in MDS-UPDRS, NMSS, and PDQ-8 compared to patients with high CDSES scores. HY stage distribution was different between groups, showing a higher prevalence of lower grades (1-2) in patients with high CDSES values. No difference was observed between groups in MoCA scores (Table 1). Among the non-motor symptomatology, worse scores in Mood/Apathy, Sleep/Fatigue, and Miscellaneous domains were observed in patients with low CDSES scores. No difference in scores was observed among other NMSS domains between groups (Table 2).

Correlation analysis

CDSES scores were significantly correlated with MDS-UPDRS Part I ($r = -0.497$, $p < 0.001$), MDS-UPDRS Part II ($r = -0.271$, $p = 0.020$), MDS-UPDRS Part III ($r = -0.304$, $p < 0.001$),

Table 1. Differences between patients by CDSES scores.

	Low CDSES scores (n=35)	High CDSES scores (n=38)	p
Age (mean \pm SD)	66.5 \pm 10.9	65.1 \pm 12.2	0.636
Sex (male, %)	21 (60)	28 (73)	0.565
Years of education, median (IQR)	9 (6-12)	9 (6-12)	0.471
Years since diagnosis, median (IQR)	10 (6-14)	7 (6-11)	0.090
NMSS, median (IQR)	60 (32-99)	20 (10-39)	<0.001
PDQ-8, median (IQR)	38 (20-55)	16 (9-28)	0.012
MoCA, median (IQR)	26 (21-28)	25 (22-28)	0.916
MDS-UPDRS Total, median (IQR)	89 (54-105)	48 (35-65)	0.001
MDS-UPDRS Part I, median (IQR)	15 (8-19)	5 (3-9)	<0.001
MDS-UPDRS Part II (mean \pm SD)	16.9 \pm 9.3	10.1 \pm 8.1	0.008
MDS-UPDRS Part III (mean \pm SD)	47.7 \pm 20.5	34.2 \pm 19.2	0.007
MDS-UPDRS Part IV, median (IQR)	3 (0-7)	0 (0-3)	0.006
HY stage			0.028
1-2 (%)	16 (46)	27 (71)	
3-5 (%)	19 (54)	11 (29)	

CDSES: Chronic Disease Self-Efficacy Scale; NMSS: Non motor symptoms scale; PDQ-8: Parkinson's disease questionnaire 8; MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment Scale; MDS-UPDRS: Movement Disorders Society Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; SD: Standard deviation; IQR: Interquartile range.

Table 2. Comparison of NMSS domains between patients by CDSES scores.

Domains	Low CDSES scores (n=35)	High CDSES scores (n=38)	P
Cardiovascular, median (IQR)	2 (0-4)	0 (0-2)	0.122
Sleep/Fatigue, median (IQR)	12 (6-19)	4 (2-9)	0.004
Mood/Apathy, median (IQR)	10 (2-22)	2 (0-4)	0.001
Perceptual Problems, median (IQR)	0 (0-1)	0 (0)	0.640
Attention/Memory, median (IQR)	3 (0-8)	1 (0-3)	0.239
Gastrointestinal, median (IQR)	2 (0-9)	1 (0-4)	0.258
Urinary, median (IQR)	2 (0-9)	1 (0-4)	0.640
Sexual function, median (IQR)	0 (0-16)	0 (0-2)	0.813
Miscellaneous, median (IQR)	9 (1-19)	0 (0-2)	0.002

NMSS: Non-motor symptom scale; CDSES: Chronic Disease Self-Efficacy Scale; IQR: interquartile range.

PDQ-8 ($r=-0.472$, $p<0.001$), NMSS total score ($r=-0.504$, $p<0.001$), NMSS Sleep/Fatigue domain ($r=-0.380$, $r=0.001$), NMSS Miscellaneous domain ($r=-0.351$, $p=0.002$), and NMSS Mood/Apathy domain ($r=-0.560$, $p<0.001$).

Multiple regression analysis

The multiple linear regression analysis adjusted by disease severity stage (HY) showed that only NMSS Mood/

Apathy domain remained a significant contributor to CDSES scores ($\beta=-0.449$, $t=-3.783$, $p<0.001$). The model (shown in Table 3), which included MDS-UPDRS Part I, II, III, and IV scores, and the NMSS domains Mood/Apathy, Miscellaneous, and Sleep/Fatigue, explained 40% of the variance in CDSES scores, of which NMSS Mood/Apathy domain contributed with 30%.

Table 3. Multivariate analysis of factors affecting CDSES scores in PD patients adjusted by disease stage.

Variable	Beta	t	P
MDS-UPDRS Part I	-0.216	-1.405	0.156
MDS-UPDRS Part II	0.169	1.066	0.290
MDS-UPDRS Part III	-0.196	-1.341	0.185
MDS-UPDRS Part IV	-0.024	-0.215	0.831
NMSS Mood/Cognition Domain	-0.449	-3.783	<0.001
NMSS Miscellaneous Domain	-0.134	-1.355	0.180
NMSS Sleep/Fatigue Domain	0.004	0.031	0.895

CDSES: Chronic Disease Self-Efficacy Scale; PS: Parkinson's disease; MDS-UPDRS: Movement Disorder Society Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; NMSS: Non motor symptoms scale.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we found that patients with lower CDSES scores have worse scores in MDS-UPDRS, NMSS, and PDQ-8 scales. In addition, CDSES scores were significantly and negatively correlated to MDS-UPDRS Part I-III, NMSS Sleep/Fatigue, Miscellaneous, and Mood/Apathy domains, and PDQ-8 scores. Furthermore, when assessing the simultaneous effect of covariates associated with CDSES score, only Mood/Apathy domain of NMSS was significant.

The finding that non-motor symptoms, especially mood/apathy, contribute significantly to self-efficacy compared to motor symptomatology supports other studies that show a greater impact of non-motor symptoms towards health-related outcomes in PD patients¹⁹⁻²¹. These symptoms are highly prevalent and exhibit the involvement of other neurotransmitters aside from dopamine, as well as other systems, based on a caudal-rostral progression hypothesis²², where non-motor symptoms like hyposmia, constipation, and REM sleep behavior disorders may precede motor symptomatology for several years²³⁻²⁴, explaining the shift in research focus to the early diagnosis and treatment.

Worse QOL in patients with lower self-efficacy scores in this study might support the idea that these two measurements are closely related, as other studies evaluating self-efficacy and QOL have shown similar results, demonstrating a direct relation exists between these measures^{25,26}. This relationship highlights the importance of assessing determinants for self-efficacy in this population, as more focus has been attributed to QOL in PD treatment²⁷.

Few studies have evaluated self-efficacy in PD. In a study of 251 persons with PD, self-efficacy was found to be positively associated with a high life satisfaction, even after adjusting for disease stage⁹. Another concept that has been associated with this attribute is self-management. Patients with PD who have higher self-efficacy are able to manage better their symptoms and have a greater sense of support from family and others²⁸. On the other hand, self-efficacy and psychosocial wellbeing are often positively correlated⁸. This could partly explain why mood/apathy domain from NMSS contributed significantly to self-efficacy scores in this study.

Various studies in other populations have focused on assessing the relationship between mood disorders and self-efficacy, where a correlation between these measures has been demonstrated²⁹⁻³². Interestingly, the approach to understanding this association has been bidirectional, as mood disorders, especially depressive symptoms, might contribute to lower self-efficacy as these are related to greater stress generation and unfulfillment of tasks³³, whereas a lack of self-efficacy might lead to depressive symptoms related to expectations of poor control over ones' life³⁴. Our study found a significant negative correlation between these variables, and the importance of this finding lies in potential implementation of therapies that improve self-efficacy and in doing so, decrease the burden of mood disorders. In this manner, interventions aimed at improving self-efficacy could be essential in PD care at all stages of the disease, but more rigorous studies in this area are needed.

This study had some important limitations, commonly associated with a cross-sectional design. Our sample size was

small, and the age and gender characteristics of our population might not be representative of the most common epidemiological characteristics of PD patients in general. Also, we used a single measure of cognitive function. Another important limitation is the lack of a formal evaluation for mood disorders in PD patients, considering the relationship between these and self-efficacy, and the findings of our study. Therefore, studies in larger populations are needed to establish the role self-efficacy has in affecting QOL, where addition of a formal

evaluation of mood disorders would further support our findings.

In conclusion, self-efficacy is an attribute that should be further assessed in PD patients, considering its correlation with motor and non-motor symptomatology and quality of life. Mood disorders are important contributors to low self-efficacy, thus representing an opportunity for therapeutic interventions.

REFERENCES

- Chaudhuri KR, Odin P, Antonini A, Martinez-Martin P. Parkinson's disease: the non-motor issues. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2011 Dec 1;17(10):717-23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2011.02.018>
- Uitti RJ. Treatment of Parkinson's disease: focus on quality of life issues. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2012 Jan 1;18 Suppl 1:S34-6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1353-8020\(11\)70013-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1353-8020(11)70013-X)
- Martinez-Martin P. The importance of non-motor disturbances to quality of life in Parkinson's disease. *J Neurol Sci*. 2011 Nov 15;310(1-2):12-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2011.05.006>
- Lee C-N, Kim M, Lee HM, Jang J-W, Lee S-M, Kwon DY, et al. The interrelationship between non-motor symptoms in Atypical Parkinsonism. *J Neurol Sci*. 2013 Apr 15;327(1-2):15-21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2013.01.034>
- Li H, Zhang M, Chen L, Zhang J, Pei Z, Hu A, et al. Nonmotor symptoms are independently associated with impaired health-related quality of life in Chinese patients with Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord*. 2010 Dec 15;25(16):2740-6. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.23368>
- Bandura A. Self efficacy: the exercise of control. New York (NY): Freedman Press; 1997. 604p.
- Quinn C, Toms G, Anderson D, Clare L. A review of self-management interventions for people with Dementia and Mild Cognitive Impairment. *J Appl Gerontol*. 2016 Nov;35(11):1154-88. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0733464814566852>
- Eccles FJR, Simpson J. A review of the demographic, clinical and psychosocial correlates of perceived control in three chronic motor illnesses. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2011 Sep 23;33(13-14):1065-88. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09638288.2010.525287>
- Rosqvist K, Hagell P, Odin P, Ekström H, Iwarsson S, Nilsson MH. Factors associated with life satisfaction in Parkinson's disease. *Acta Neurol Scand*. 2017 Jul;136(1):64-71. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ane.12695>
- Maly MR, Costigan PA, Olney SJ. Determinants of self efficacy for physical tasks in people with knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2006 Feb 15;55(1):94-101. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.21701>
- Rahman A, Ambler G, Underwood MR, Shipley ME. Important determinants of self-efficacy in patients with musculoskeletal pain. *J Rheumatol*. 2004 Jun;31(6):1187-92.
- Kahraman BO, Savci S, Ozsoy I, Acar S, Ozpelit E, Sevinc C, et al. Determinants of self-efficacy in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension: a pilot study. *Eur Respir J*. 2016 Nov 8;48 Suppl 60:PA4436. <https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.congress-2016.PA4436>
- Martinez-Martin P, Rodriguez-Blazquez C, Abe K, Bhattacharyya KB, Bloem BR, Carod-Artal FJ, et al. International study on the psychometric attributes of the non-motor symptoms scale in Parkinson disease. *Neurology*. 2009 Nov 10;73(19):1584-91. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181c0d416>
- Hoops S, Nazem S, Siderowf AD, Duda JE, Xie SX, Stern MB, et al. Validity of the MoCA and MMSE in the detection of MCI and dementia in Parkinson disease. *Neurology*. 2009 Nov 24;73(21):1738-45. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181c34b47>
- Jenkinson C, Fitzpatrick R, Peto V, Greenhall R, Hyman N. The PDQ-8: development and validation of a short-form parkinson's disease questionnaire. *Psychol Health*. 1997;12(6):805-14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08870449708406741>
- Martinez-Martin P, Rodriguez-Blazquez C, Alvarez-Sanchez M, Arakaki T, Bergareche-Yarza A, Chade A, et al. CG. Expanded and independent validation of the Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS). *J Neurol*. 2013 Jan;260(1):228-36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-012-6624-1>
- Martinez-Martin P, Skorvanek M, Rojo-Abuin JM, Gregova Z, Stebbins GT, Goetz CG. Validation study of the hoehn and yahr scale included in the MDS-UPDRS. *Mov Disord*. 2018 Apr;33(4):651-2. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.27242>
- Lorig KR, Ritter PL, González VM. Hispanic chronic disease self-management: a randomized community-based outcome trial. *Nurs Res*. 2003 Nov-Dec;52(6):361-9. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006199-200311000-00003>
- Hermanowicz N, Jones SA, Hauser RA. Impact of non-motor symptoms in Parkinson's disease: a PMDAAlliance survey. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2019 Aug 5;15:2205-12. <https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S213917>
- Berganzo K, Tijero B, González-Eizaguirre A, Somme J, Lezcano E, Gabilondo I, et al. Motor and non-motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease and their impact on quality of life and on different clinical subgroups. *Neurologia*. 2016 Nov-Dec;31(9):585-91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nrl.2014.10.010>
- García DS, Fonticoba T de D, Castro ES, Borrué C, Mata M, Vila BS, et al. Non-motor symptoms burden, mood, and gait problems are the most significant factors contributing to a poor quality of life in non-demented Parkinson's disease patients: results from the COPPADIS study cohort. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2019 Sep;66:151-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2019.07.031>
- Braak H, Del Tredici K, Rüb U, de Vos RA, Steur ENHJ, Braak E. Staging of brain pathology related to sporadic Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2003 Mar-Apr;24(2):197-211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0197-4580\(02\)00065-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0197-4580(02)00065-9)
- Munhoz RP, Moro A, Silveira-Moriyama L, Teive HA. Non-motor signs in Parkinson's disease: a review. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*. 2015 May;73(5):454-62. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0004-282X20150029>
- Schapira AHV, Chaudhuri KR, Jenner P. Non-motor features of Parkinson disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2017 Jul;18(7):435-50. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2017.62>
- Tonga JB, Eilertsen D-E, Solem IKL, Arnevik EA, Korsnes MS, Ulstein ID. Effect of self-efficacy on quality of life in people with mild cognitive impairment and mild dementia: the mediating roles of depression and anxiety. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Dement*. 2020 Jan-Dec;35:1533317519885264. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1533317519885264>

26. Peters M, Potter CM, Kelly L, Fitzpatrick R. Self-efficacy and health-related quality of life: a cross-sectional study of primary care patients with multi-morbidity. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2019 Feb 14;17(1):37. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-019-1103-3>
27. Martinez-Martin P, Kurtis MM. Health-related quality of life as an outcome variable in Parkinson's disease. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord*. 2012 Mar;5(2):105-17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1756285611431974>
28. Chenoweth L, Gallagher R, Sheriff JN, Donoghue J, Stein-Parbury J. Factors supporting self-management in Parkinson's disease: implications for nursing practice. *Int J Older People Nurs*. 2008 Sep;3(3):187-93. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-3743.2008.00123.x>
29. Craig A, Wijesuriya N, Tran Y. The influence of self-efficacy on mood states in people with Spinal Cord Injury. *ISRN Rehabilitation*. 2013;2013:232978. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/232978>
30. Ahmad ZR, Yasien S, Ahmad R. Relationship between perceived social self-efficacy and depression in adolescents. *Iran J Psychiatry Behav Sci*. 2014;8(3):65-74.
31. Parada P, Oliva M, Lázaro E, Amayra I, Paz JFL, Martínez O, et al. Anxiety, depression and self-efficacy in patients with Myasthenia Gravis. *Int J Psychol Psychol Ther*. 2014;14(1):105-13.
32. Tak YR, Brunwasser SM, Lichtwarck-Aschoff A, Engels RCME. The prospective associations between self-efficacy and depressive symptoms from early to middle adolescence: a cross-lagged model. *J Yoth Adolesc*. 2017 Apr;46(4):744-56. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-016-0614-z>
33. Hammen CL. Stress generation in depression: reflections on origins, research, and future directions. *J Clin Psychol*. 2006 Sep;62(9):1065-82. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.20293>
34. Bandura A. On the functional properties of perceived self-efficacy revisited. *J Managet*. 2012 Jan 1;38(1):9-44. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206311410606>