

Reply

Resposta

Mauricio F. Villamar¹

Dear Editors,

I thank Drs. Sookaromdee and Wiwanitkit for their comments on the manuscript entitled “Acute methanol poisoning”¹. The readers argue that “it is difficult to judge that the case is an actual neurological problem due to methanol poisoning” and that “the high plasma methanol level, but normal osmolar gap, should be discussed”.

Early in the course of methanol ingestion, the accumulation of methanol typically leads to an increase in the osmolar gap (OG). Later, as methanol is metabolized, the OG falls and the anion gap increases. A combination of a normal OG and elevated anion gap can be seen in the later stages of this process². However, if the serum OG is low at baseline, this could obscure any increase in OG that is secondary to the accumulation of methanol³. Some patients with definite toxic alcohol ingestion have a normal OG^{2,4}. Conversely, a high OG may be seen in processes other than toxic alcohol ingestion, including lactic acidosis, ketoacidosis, chronic kidney disease, the sick cell syndrome, and following the use of mannitol^{3,5}. A patient with methanol intoxication could have either a normal or high OG and either a normal or high anion gap^{3,4}. For these reasons, a normal OG cannot be used to rule out a toxic alcohol ingestion³.

It is unknown whether the patient described in this case had a low OG at baseline before his intoxication as no prior laboratory testing was available. The time interval between his ingestion and presentation to the emergency department was unclear.

The patient described in this report had a plasma methanol level of 40 mg/dL. Similar levels have been lethal in some individuals. However, there are reports of patients who survived and had complete recovery following methanol poisoning despite concentrations as high as 920 mg/dL^{6,7}. The readers point out that plasma methanol may be falsely positive in cases with ketoacidosis or hyperglycemia. Nevertheless, a diagnosis of ketoacidosis secondary to ethanol or hyperglycemia is unlikely in this patient. At the time of presentation, ethanol level was undetectable and glycemia was 132 mg/dL.

Bilateral necrosis of the lentiform nucleus, particularly of the putamen, is the most characteristic neuroimaging abnormality in acute methanol poisoning⁸. This is certainly not a pathognomonic finding, and it can also be seen in conditions such as Wilson's disease and Leigh's syndrome, among others⁸. However, methanol intoxication was clearly the underlying etiology in this patient.

References

- Villamar MF. Acute methanol poisoning. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*. 2018 Sep;76(9):636-7. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0004-282x20180060>
- Purssell RA, Lynd LD, Koga Y. The use of the osmole gap as a screening test for the presence of exogenous substances. *Toxicol Rev*. 2004;23(3):189-202. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00139709-200423030-00005>
- Kraut JA, Mullins ME. Toxic Alcohols. *N Engl J Med*. 2018 Jan;378(3):270-80. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1615295>
- Krasowski MD, Wilcoxon RM, Miron J. A retrospective analysis of glycol and toxic alcohol ingestion: utility of anion and osmolar gaps. *BMC Clin Pathol*. 2012 Jan;12(1):1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6890-12-1>
- Kraut JA, Xing SX. Approach to the evaluation of a patient with an increased serum osmolar gap and high-anion-gap metabolic acidosis. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2011 Sep;58(3):480-4. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2011.05.018>
- Martens J, Westhovens R, Verberckmoes R, Delooz H, Daenens P. Recovery without sequelae from severe methanol intoxication. *Postgrad Med J*. 1982 Jul;58(681):454-6. <https://doi.org/10.1136/pgmj.58.681.454>
- Lushine KA, Harris CR, Holger JS. Methanol ingestion: prevention of toxic sequelae after massive ingestion. *J Emerg Med*. 2003 May;24(4):433-6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0736-4679\(03\)00041-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0736-4679(03)00041-6)
- Blanco M, Casado R, Vázquez F, Pumar JM. CT and MR imaging findings in methanol intoxication. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2006 Feb;27(2):452-4.

¹Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Department of Neurology, Massachusetts, USA.

Mauricio F. Villamar  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4503-8152>

Correspondence: Mauricio F. Villamar; Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Department of Neurology; 60 Fenwood Road, Hale BTM 4th Floor; Boston, MA, USA 02115-6128; E-mail: mvillamar@bwh.harvard.edu

Conflict of interest: There is no conflict of interest to declare.

Received 05 February 2019; Accepted 12 February 2019.

