Original Article

Management of fourth degree obstetric perineal tear without colostomy using non - stimulated gracilis - our experience over eleven years

Jiten Kulkarni, Anuradha J. Patil, Bhaskar Musande, Abhishek B. Bhamare

Department of Plastic Surgery, MGM Medical College, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India

Address for correspondence: Dr. Jiten Kulkarni, Nirmiti Hospital, 4, Ashok Nagar, Garkheda, Aurangabad - 431005, Maharashtra, India. E-mail: drjitenkulkarni@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Although gracilis muscle transposition for faecal incontinence has been well-described method, its literature for use in obstetric perineal tear without colostomy is sparse. In this study, we have tried to analyse its use in fourth-degree obstetric perineal tears. Patients and Methods: A total of 30 patients with recto-vaginal fistula with faecal incontinence secondary to obstetric perineal tear were retrospectively studied between February 2003 and May 2014. The recto-vaginal fistula was explored, dissected and identification of sphincters was done using muscle stimulator. Fistula closure was done followed by sphincter repair, vaginal tightening procedure and single gracilis transposition. None of the patients had covering colostomy. Faecal incontinence was assessed pre- and post-operatively by digital rectal examination (single examiner), Park's score and Corman's score in all cases and using barium hold and transperineal ultrasonography, manometric studies in a few cases. The outcome was measured at an average follow-up of 8.8 months (7-24 months). Results: As per Park's score 26 patients had Grade I continence, two had Grade II and two patients had Grade III continence. Corman's score improved from fair to excellent in 26 patients. The patients in whom manometry was performed showed a remarkable rise in both resting and squeeze pressures. Two patients developed post-operative infections in upper 1/3 thigh incision site and three patients at gluteal region scar site. **Conclusion:** Satisfactory continence following gracilis muscle could be achieved.

KEY WORDS

Anal incontinence; gracilis; obstetric perineal tear; recto-vaginal fistula

Videos Available on: www.ijps.org				
Access this article online				
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.ijps.org			
	DOI: 10.4103/0970-0358.182236			

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Kulkarni J, Patil AJ, Musande B, Bhamare AB. Management of fourth degree obstetric perineal tear without colostomy using non - stimulated gracilis - our experience over eleven years. Indian J Plast Surg 2016;49:26-34.

INTRODUCTION

econstruction of the anal sphincter was first described in 1946 by Pickrell et al.,^[1] reporting their results in four children with faecal incontinence, with a follow-up study of further cases reported shortly thereafter.^[2] The subsequent literature, while useful in defining the surgical technique, is mostly concerned with faecal incontinence, which is not obstetric in origin. The first reference to the use of this technique in obstetric practice was not until 1979,^[3] although a defect of the anal sphincter following vaginal delivery is the most common cause of faecal incontinence in women.^[4] The incidence of anal sphincter tears at delivery varies from 0.6% to 6%^[4,5] with nearly 50% of women developing anal incontinence after primary repair.^[4-8] In one study, all patients with anal incontinence following primary repair had persistent anal sphincter defects.^[4] However, 35–41% of all primipara had identifiable sphincter defects at follow-up investigation by endosonography,^[8,9] although most of these did not affect anal function.^[9] Analysis of the long-term effects of anorectal function after third-degree obstetric tears as a result of obstetric injury suggested that anal function deteriorates further over time and with subsequent vaginal deliveries.^[10]

Use of the gracilis muscle to correct obstetric perineal tears is reported to have good results.^[11-20]

This study reports the results of treatment of faecal incontinence of obstetric origin by exploration of the recto-vaginal fistula, reconstitution of the posterior vaginal wall and the anterior wall of the ano-rectum, using a single non-stimulated gracilis reconstruction of the anal sphincter without colostomy in thirty female patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Between February 2003 to May 2014, thirty female patients with an age range of 21–59 years (mean: 36.7) with complete posterior vaginal tears into the rectum and faecal incontinence as a result of obstetrical injury were treated by exploration of the recto-vaginal fistula, fistula closure, sphincter repair, vaginal tightening procedure and single gracilis reconstruction of the anal sphincter by gracilis transposition. The intention was to recreate the sphincters, separate the vaginal mucosa from the anal wall and provide soft tissue interposition using gracilis muscle. Patients had an average follow-up of 8.8 months (3–24 months). The patients underwent thorough preoperative counselling.

All the patients were assessed preoperatively by Park's score^[21] and Corman's score [Table 1]. Digital rectal examination (DRE) was performed by the author pre- and post-operatively in all the patients. The patients were examined in left lateral and lithotomy position [Figure-1]. Anal sphincter tone was assessed at rest, on squeeze and on thigh adduction^[22] [Table 2].

Transperineal ultrasonography and barium enema was done pre-operatively in all patients. Transperineal ultrasound was performed to demonstrate the anal sphincter thickness^[10] [Table 3]. Barium enema was done using a thin paste of 50% barium prepared in 300 ml normal saline. The patient was advised rotatory movements in supine position on table and walking for 20–30 m. Lateral and supine films were taken with a

	Table	1: Pre-	and	post-o	perative	scores
--	-------	---------	-----	--------	----------	--------

Case	Pre-	Post-	Pre-	Post-	
number	operative	operative	operative	operative	
	Corman score	Corman score	Parks score	Parks score	
1	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
2	Poor	Good	4	2	
3	Poor	Excellent	4	1	
4	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
5	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
6	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
7	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
8	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
9	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
10	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
11	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
12	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
13	Fair	Good	3	2	
14	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
15	Fair	Fair	3	3	
16	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
17	Poor	Excellent	4	1	
18	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
19	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
20	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
21	Fair	Fair	3	3	
22	Fair	Excellent	4	1	
23	Fair	Excellent	4	1	
24	Fair	Excellent	3	1	
25	Poor	Excellent	3	1	
26	Poor	Excellent	4	1	
27	Poor	Excellent	4	1	
28	Poor	Excellent	4	1	
29	Poor	Excellent	4	1	
30	Poor	Excellent	4	1	

12 11 1		<u> </u>			•		
Kulkarni /	$r t 2 l \cdot$	()hototric	2021	Incontinanca	ueina	aracilie	mucolo
Nukai III.	τι aι	Obsterne	anai		usilie	graums	IIIUSUIC
, .						0	

CASE NO	Age yrs	Post delivery yrs	Pre-op PR exam at rest	on Squeeze	Postop PR exam at rest	on Squeeze	on Adduction of thigh
1	28	4	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
2	29	5	Lax	No gripping	Weak	Weak	Weak
3	58	35	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
4	36	16	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
5	38	12	Lax	No gripping	Weak	Weak	Weak Constricting feel
6	46	13	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
7	35	12	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
8	47	15	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
9	34	12	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
10	26	7	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
11	34	7	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
12	21	1.5	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
13	33	8	Lax	No gripping	Weak	Weak	Weak
14	34	12	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
15	59	34	Lax	No gripping	Weak	Weak	Weak
16	35	12	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
17	24	4		No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
18	30	4	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
19	41	13	Lax	No gripping	Weak	Weak	Constricting feel
20	45	14	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
21	38	13	Lax	No gripping	Weak	Weak	Weak
22	40	19	Lax	No gripping	Weak	Weak	Constricting feel
23	49	24	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
24	36	14	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
25	47	30	Lax	No gripping	Weak	Weak	Constricting feel
26	25	8	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
27	36	4	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
28	36	5	Lax	No gripping	Weak	Weak	Constricting feel
29	34	4	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel
30	28	5	Lax	No gripping	Normal	Gripping	Constricting feel

Table	2. ∆nal	snhincter	tone	assessmen	t with	DRF

marker at anal verge^[18] [Table 4]. Barium holding time was noted in the patients.

Six patients underwent rectal manometry to assess resting and squeeze pressures [Table 5].

Surgical technique

Bowel preparation was done the day before the surgery. Under combined spinal and epidural anaesthesia, with a urinary catheter *in situ*, the patient was placed in the exaggerated lithotomy position with head low. A line joining the adductor tightness/tubercle and the midpoint of the knee joint line was marked. Two fingerbreadths below and parallel to the above line three incisions were marked. The fourth incision was marked on the upper third leg encircling the tibial tuberosity [Figure 2]. After infiltration, single gracilis was harvested along its length to include 1–2 cm of adjoining tibial periosteum beyond the insertion [Figure 3]. The harvested gracilis was tunnelled into the perineum through a subcutaneous tunnel, the pivot point being the pedicle with a cm of fat around it. Double opposing skin flaps were marked at the junction of vaginal and anal mucosae [Figure 4].

Meticulous dissection was performed to separate the anorectal and vaginal mucosae, keeping close to the latter. Once the dissection reached the virgin tissue above the recto-vaginal tear, blunt finger separation of the vagina and rectum was carried out up to 8–9 cm, to the full length of the operator's index finger [Figure 5 and Video 1]. Two horizontal curvilinear incisions were marked on the buttocks 1.5–2.0 cm posterior to the anus and overlying the ischial tuberosities. Tunnels were made superior to the ano-coccygeal raphe by blunt finger dissection from these incisions. Tunnels were also made on either side of anal canal [Video 2]. The posterior vaginal mucosa was trimmed judiciously [Figure 6]. The anal fistula was then repaired with interrupted 3/0 Vicryl sutures. The anorectal sphincter tissue was identified and confirmed by use of a muscle stimulator on either side of the tear and repaired end to end using interrupted 2/0 Vicryl. The gracilis muscle was then passed twice

Table 3: Transperineal ultrasonography for thickness	in	mm
with follow-up in months		

Case number	Transperineal USG	Transperineal USG	Follow-up
1	3	8	18
2	2.6	Not done	8
3	3	Not done	6
4	2.4	Not done	7
5	3.2	Not done	7
6	2.8	Not done	6
7	2.2	10	24
8	3.2	Not done	12
9	2	Not done	6
10	2.8	Not done	6
11	3.2	Not done	6
12	2.4	Not done	4
13	2	4	8
14	2.6	Not done	9
15	2.2	Not done	7
16	2.9	9	10
17	2.7	8	7
18	3	11	24
19	2.4	6	14
20	2.6	8	9
21	2.2	Not done	7
22	2	Not done	12
23	1.3	8	6
24	2	8	6
25	2.4	6	6
26	2.6	Not done	6
27	3.2	Not done	3
28	2.4	Not done	6
29	2.2	Not done	6
30	2.6	Not done	6

USG: Ultrasonography

in a clockwise direction so that bulk of the muscle was between the critical areas of fistula. A periosteal stitch with no.1 prolene was taken through ischial tuberosity under direct vision using an illuminated retractor. This prolene stitch was taken through the contralateral ischial tuberosity in 26 patients. The donor's thigh was taken out from lithotomy position and held in adduction. The prolene suture of ischial periosteal stitch was weaved through the gracilis tendon and tension adjustment was done, in adduction by assessing the anal tone [Figure 7 and Video 3].

None of the patients in this series were subjected to the colostomy.

Post-operative management

The patients were mobilised the following day with instructions to avoid extreme abduction of the thighs. Liquid diet was given in the first 48 h. Soft diet was



Figure 1: Clinical presentations. (a) Anorectal fistula, (b) complete tear, (c) gaping anus, (d) anal scar with faecal matter



Figure 2: Markings



Figure 3: Harvest of gracilis with distal periosteum

advised for 5 days, regular diet thereafter. Stool softener was started from 5th day and continued for 3 months.

From 5th day onwards, the patients were instructed to do pelvic floor strengthening exercises and thigh adduction exercises 5 times every hour (anal sphincter contracted

Kulkarni	et al ·	Obstetric	anal	incontinence	using	gracilis	muscle
Kuikaini,	<i>σι αι</i>	Obsteriic	anai	Incontinence	using	graums	IIIuscie

Case number	Barium hold pre-operative	Pre-operative hold time	Barium hold post-operative	Post-operative hold time
1	Leak	Not able	Beaking appearance	8 min
2	Leak	Not able	Not done	Not done
3	Leak	Not able	Not done	Not done
4	Rounded	2 min	Not done	Not done
5	Leak	Not able	Not done	Not done
6	Rounded appearance	2 min	Not done	Not done
7	Rounded appearance	3 min	Beaking appearance	10 min
8	Rounded appearance	2 min	Not done	Not done
9	Rounded appearance	2 min	Not done	Not done
10	Leak	Not able	Not done	Not done
11	Leak	Not able	Not done	Not done
12	Leak	Not able	Not done	Not done
13	Leak	Not able	Beaking appearance	5 min
14	Leak	Not done	Not done	Not done
15	Leak	Not able	Not done	Not done
16	Rounded appearance	3 min	Beaking appearance	8 min
17	Leak	Not able	Beaking appearance	6 min
18	Leak	Not able	Beaking appearance	12 min
19	Leak	Not able	Beaking appearance	8 min
20	Rounded appearance	2 min	Beaking appearance	10 min
21	Leak	Not able	Not done	Not done
22	Leak	Not able	Not done	Not done
23	Rounded appearance	2 min	Beaking appearance	8 min
24	Leak	Not able	Beaking appearance	10 min
25	Leak	Not able	Not done	Not done
26	Leak	Not able	Not done	Not done
27	Leak	Not able	Not done	Not done
28	Leak	Not able	Not done	Not done
29	Leak	Not able	Not done	Not done
30	Leak	Not able	Not done	Not done

Table 5: Manometry					
Case number	Pre- operative resting presssure	Post- operative resting pressure	Pre- operative squeeze pressure	Post- operative squeeze pressure	
24	49	70	65	100	
25	8	14	34	55	
26	21	50	23	120	
27	48	64	52	127	
28	24	40	32	61	
29	17	39	25	80	

for 10 s, and subsequently thigh adducted for 10 s). Confirmation regarding the proper execution of these exercises was carried out by rectal examination by the operating surgeon on the 7th day. Intercourse was not advised for 3 months and squatting was not permitted for 3 months. No electrical stimulation of the muscle was done post-operatively.

Patients were followed up every week for a month, and once a month for 3 months. At each follow-up, patients



Figure 4: Perineal markings

were questioned about (i) faecal continence (ii) perineal/ vaginal soiling and (iii) ability to hold and duration. Per rectal examination was carried out as previously described. Barium hold enema and transperineal ultrasound were done at 3 months of follow-up.



Figure 5: Dissection of anorectal and vaginal mucosa



Figure 6: Trimming and repair of vaginal mucosa



Figure 7: Tension adjustment

RESULTS

In this series 26 of the thirty patients had a satisfactory outcome and were a continent at an average follow-up of 8.8 months. The average age of the patients in the study was 36 years. Of the four patients who had unsatisfactory outcome average age was 39.7 years. The post-delivery duration in this series was ranging from 1.5 to 35 years with an average of 12.8 years.

In 26 patients, the pre-operative Park's score Grade IV improved to Grade I post-operatively. Two patients had Grade III and 2 patients Grade II Park's score post-operatively. Corman's score in 26 patients also improved from fair to excellent.

The DRE in 26 patients was noted to shift from lax and non-gripping to normal and gripping, at rest and squeeze, respectively. The distiguising finding on adduction of the thigh was very distinct constricting feel to the examining finger. We believe this was due to the contraction of the gracilis sling. It was noted that the feel to the examining finger at rest, squeeze and on adduction was weak in patients with a poor score.

The transperineal ultrasound, which measured thickness of external anal sphincter ranged from 1.3 to 3.2 mm (average 3.1 mm) preoperatively. Post-operatively, it was done in 11 patients only due to socioeconomic reasons and the range was from 4 to 11 mm (average 10.1 mm).

The barium enema hold demonstrated leak in the majority (22 patients) who could not hold at all. Remaining eight patients could hold the enaema for 2–3 min. Post-operatively, it was done in ten patients only due to socioeconomic reasons and the holding time was notably improved between 5 and 12 min.

Manometric studies showed significant improvement in both resting and squeeze pressures [Charts 1 and 2]. The preoperative resting pressure average was 27.83 mm of Hg which improved to 46.1 mm of Hg post-operatively. Furthermore, the pre-operative squeeze pressure average of 38.5 mm of Hg escalated to 90.5 mm of Hg post-operatively.

Complications and unfavourable outcomes

Scar hyperpigmentation was the commonest finding (26 patients) followed by scar hypertrophy (twenty patients). There was a tiny residual fistula in two patients with intermittent soiling. Gluteal wound infection was noted in three patients and thigh infection in two patients. One patient had deep vein thrombosis. Three patients complained of perineal pain after surgery [Table 6].

DISCUSSION

The results of gracilis muscle transposition for anal incontinence using Pickrell's original operation^[1,2] have





Table 6: Complications

Complications	Number of patients
Flap related complications	0
Thigh infection	2
Gluteal infection	3
Incontinence to flatus	4
Incontinence to liquids	1
Incontinence to stools	0
Residual fistula	2
Perineal pain	3
Deep vein thrombosis	1
Scar hypertrophy	20
Scar hyperpigmentation	26

been conflicting, and the mechanism of action of gracilis transposition in achieving continence is uncertain. The muscle does not seem to act as a dynamic sphincter but does offer passive resistance to outflow, and it has been suggested that the muscle acts as no more than an inert sling.^[23,24]Presentseriesdealswithcasesoftherecto-vaginal fistula with incontinence wherein the gracilis has not only acted as a vascularised interpositional tissue between the repaired fistulae but also a distinct contracting ring being felt to the finger on DRE on adduction of the thigh. There can be difficulty in re-establishing the physiological length-tension relationship of the muscle and patients are reported as having to perform awkward movements to achieve continence.^[23] Contrary to this, it was noted in our series that none of the patients had to resort to any awkward position or movement to maintain continence or to defecate. Striated muscle is unable to maintain a contraction for a prolonged period^[18] and this problem has been addressed by implantation of a neuromuscular stimulator after gracilis transposition.^[25,26] The literature on gracilis reconstruction for faecal incontinence is



Chart 2: Squeeze pressure

dominated by the use of this technique in children, after trauma and after bowel surgery. In our series, we have not used stimulator for gracilis and all these cases are post-delivery recto-vaginal fistulae.

Discussion of post-obstetric cases of faecal incontinence in the literature remains small and mostly includes reports of sporadic cases in larger series of cases of faecal incontinence resulting from other causes. Despite an exhaustive search of the literature, we have managed to find ten cases recorded in which gracilis was used in the reconstruction.^[8,11,18-20,27] This study reports thirty women with post-obstetric faecal incontinence as a result of recto-vaginal tears who were treated with a single gracilis sling with a success rate of 86% (26 patients of thirty having a satisfactory outcome.) relieved entirely of faecal incontinence at all times. All the thirty patients had continence to solid stools. Further, of the four patients who had unsatisfactory outcome two patients had a considerable reduction of this problem with incontinence only to flatus. In this series, we noted that the interposed gracilis conferred adequate vascularised soft tissue interphase over the repaired fistula. The appropriate tension adjustment in adducted thigh by hitching the tendon to the ischial tuberosity gave a unique constricting feel on DRE, post-operatively. Rasmussen (2003) identified poorer results among patients older than 40-year-old when compared to those of younger patients. This study does not show this, as we had nine patients who were above forty of which only one had suboptimal outcome.

The need for a defunctioning colostomy as a preliminary or concurrent step, with the treatment of anal incontinence surgically, is debated. Successful results without faecal diversion have been reported after direct repair, local procedures and gracilis transposition and most surgeons would now agree that a colostomy is not required for treatment of a straightforward anal sphincter injury.^[28-32] This study includes thirty reconstructions after obstetrical injury carried out successfully without colostomy, suggesting that this practice is not necessary.

Various objective methods of assessment of faecal continence have been used^[11,20,23,33-39] and reviewed.^[20] These include DRE, barium enema, anorectal manometry, endoanal ultrasound, electromyography, pudendal nerve terminal motor latency and defaecography and transit time of the colon. In this study, DRE, barium enaema and transperineal ultrasound have been used for assessment. Rectal manometry was done preoperatively and post-operatively in only a six cases, as it was previously unavailable. The manometric studies revealed the contraction of the gracilis as a distinct band. It was observed that the duration of squeeze pressures with gracilis contraction was twice that after only sphincter contraction.

The author feels that the use of gracilis in successfully treating these complex defects with an extreme degree of incontinence and psychological problems can be a boon to those faced with this problem.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Pickrell KL, Broadbent TR, Masters FW, Metzger JT. Construction of a rectal sphincter and restoration of anal continence by transplanting the gracilis muscle; a report of four cases in children. Ann Surg 1952;135:853-62.
- Pickrell K, Masters F, Georgiade N, Horton C. Rectal sphincter reconstruction using gracilis muscle transplant. Plast Reconstr Surg 1954;13:46-55.
- Corman ML. Management of fecal incontinence by gracilis muscle transposition. Dis Colon Rectum 1979;22:290-2.
- Sultan AH, Kamm MA, Hudson CN, Bartram CI. Third degree obstetric anal sphincter tears: Risk factors and outcome of primary repair. BMJ 1994;308:887-91.
- Sander P, Bjarnesen J, Mouritsen L, Fuglsang-Frederiksen A. Anal incontinence after obstetric third/fourth-degree laceration.

One-year follow-up after pelvic floor exercises. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct 1999;10:177-81.

- Bek KM, Laurberg S. Risks of anal incontinence from subsequent vaginal delivery after a complete obstetric anal sphincter tear. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1992;99:724-6.
- Sørensen M, Tetzschner T, Rasmussen OO, Bjarnesen J, Christiansen J. Sphincter rupture in childbirth. Br J Surg 1993;80:392-4.
- Sultan AH, Kamm MA, Hudson CN, Thomas JM, Bartram CI. Anal-sphincter disruption during vaginal delivery. N Engl J Med 1993;329:1905-11.
- Rieger N, Schloithe A, Saccone G, Wattchow D. A prospective study of anal sphincter injury due to childbirth. Scand J Gastroenterol 1998;33:950-5.
- Fornell EU, Matthiesen L, Sjödahl R, Berg G. Obstetric anal sphincter injury ten years after: Subjective and objective long term effects. BJOG 2005;112:312-6.
- 11. Corman ML. Follow-up evaluation of gracilis muscle transposition for fecal incontinence. Dis Colon Rectum 1980;23:552-5.
- 12. Corman ML. Anal incontinence following obstetrical injury. Dis Colon Rectum 1985;28:86-9.
- 13. Corman ML. Gracilis muscle transposition for anal incontinence: Late results. Br J Surg 1985;72 Suppl:S21-2.
- Leguit P Jr., van Baal JG, Brummelkamp WH. Gracilis muscle transposition in the treatment of fecal incontinence. Long-term follow-up and evaluation of anal pressure recordings. Dis Colon ssswRectum 1985;28:1-4.
- Baeten CG, Bailey HR, Bakka A, Belliveau P, Berg E. Safety and efficacy of dynamic graciloplasty for fecal incontinence: report of a prospective, multicenter trial. Dynamic Graciloplasty Therapy Study Group. Dis Colon Rectum. 2000 J;43(6):743-51.
- Fenner DE, Genberg B, Brahma P, Marek L, DeLancey JO. Fecal and urinary incontinence after vaginal delivery with anal sphincter disruption in an obstetrics unit in the United States. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2003;189:1543-9.
- 17. Rygh AB, Körner H. The overlap technique versus end-to-end approximation technique for primary repair of obstetric anal sphincter rupture: a randomized controlled study. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2010;89:1256-62.
- Christiansen J, Sørensen M, Rasmussen OO. Gracilis muscle transposition for faecal incontinence. Br J Surg 1990;77:1039-40.
- George BD, Williams NS, Patel J, Swash M, Watkins ES. Physiological and histochemical adaptation of the electrically stimulated gracilis muscle to neoanal sphincter function. Br J Surg 1993;80:1342-6.
- 20. Zmora O, Tulchinsky H, Gur E, Goldman G, Klausner JM, Rabau M. Gracilis muscle transposition for fistulas between the rectum and urethra or vagina. Dis Colon Rectum 2006;49:1316-21.
- Browning GG, Parks AG. Postanal repair for neuropathic faecal incontinence: Correlation of clinical result and anal canal pressures. Br J Surg 1983;70:101-4.
- Hallan RI, Marzouk DE, Waldron DJ, Womack NR, Williams NS. Comparison of digital and manometric assessment of anal sphincter function. Br J Surg 1989;76:973-5.
- 23. Sangwan YP, Coller JA. Fecal incontinence. Surg Clin North Am 1994;74:1377-98.
- 24. Yoshioka K, Keighley MR. Clinical and manometric assessment of gracilis muscle transplant for fecal incontinence. Dis Colon Rectum 1988;31:767-9.
- 25. Cera SM, Wexner SD. Muscle transposition: Does it still have a role? Clin Colon Rectal Surg 2005;18:46-54.
- 26. Tillin T, Chambers M, Feldman R. Outcomes of electrically stimulated gracilis neosphincter surgery. Health Technol Assess 2005;9:1-102.

- 27. Chapman AE, Geerdes B, Hewett P, Young J, Eyers T, Kiroff G, *et al.* Systematic review of dynamic graciloplasty in the treatment of faecal incontinence. Br J Surg 2002;89:138-53.
- Corman ML. The management of anal incontinence. Surg Clin North Am 1983;63:177-92.
- 29. Fang DT, Nivatvongs S, Vermeulen FD, Herman FN, Goldberg SM, Rothenberger DA. Overlapping sphincteroplasty for acquired anal incontinence. Dis Colon Rectum 1984;27:720-2.
- Pezim ME, Spencer RJ, Stanhope CR, Beart RW Jr., Ready RL, Ilstrup DM. Sphincter repair for fecal incontinence after obstetrical or iatrogenic injury. Dis Colon Rectum 1987;30:521-5.
- Konsten J, Baeten CG, Spaans F, Havenith MG, Soeters PB. Follow-up of anal dynamic graciloplasty for fecal continence. World J Surg 1993;17:404-8.
- Phillips RK, Brown T. Surgical management of anal incontinence part A. Secondary anal sphincter repair. In: Sultan, Thaker, Fenner, editors. Perineal and Anal Sphincter Trauma. London: Springer-Verlag; 2007. p. 144-53.
- 33. Swash M. Anorectal incontinence: Electrophysiological tests. Br

J Surg 1985;72 Suppl: S14-5.

- 34. Schoetz DJ Jr. Operative therapy for anal incontinence. Surg Clin North Am 1985;65:35-46.
- 35. Goei R. Anorectal function in patients with defecation disorders and asymptomatic subjects: Evaluation with defecography. Radiology 1990;174:121-3.
- Kaushal JN, Goldner F. Validation of the digital rectal examination as an estimate of anal sphincter squeeze pressure. Am J Gastroenterol 1991;86:886-7.
- Han SJ, Park HJ, Kim CB, Hwang EH. Long-term follow-up of gracilis muscle transposition in children. Yonsei Med J 1995;36:372-7.
- Bock JU, Jongen J. Diagnostic and therapeutic procedures in fecal incontinence in general practice of the surgically educated proctologist. Zentralbl Chir 1996;121:659-64.
- Dobben AC, Terra MP, Deutekom M, Gerhards MF, Bijnen AB, Felt-Bersma RJ, *et al.* Anal inspection and digital rectal examination compared to anorectal physiology tests and endoanal ultrasonography in evaluating fecal incontinence. Int J Colorectal Dis 2007;22:783-90.

Author Help: Reference checking facility

The manuscript system (www.journalonweb.com) allows the authors to check and verify the accuracy and style of references. The tool checks the references with PubMed as per a predefined style. Authors are encouraged to use this facility, before submitting articles to the journal.

- The style as well as bibliographic elements should be 100% accurate, to help get the references verified from the system. Even a single spelling error or addition of issue number/month of publication will lead to an error when verifying the reference.
- Example of a correct style Sheahan P, O'leary G, Lee G, Fitzgibbon J. Cystic cervical metastases: Incidence and diagnosis using fine needle aspiration biopsy. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2002;127:294-8.
- Only the references from journals indexed in PubMed will be checked.
- Enter each reference in new line, without a serial number.
- Add up to a maximum of 15 references at a time.
- If the reference is correct for its bibliographic elements and punctuations, it will be shown as CORRECT and a link to the correct article in PubMed will be given.
- If any of the bibliographic elements are missing, incorrect or extra (such as issue number), it will be shown as INCORRECT and link to
 possible articles in PubMed will be given.